

**EFFECTS OF GENOTYPE AND MECHANICAL DAMAGE DURING  
HARVEST ON FIELD PEA (*Pisum sativum* L.) SEED QUALITY**

Đura KARAGIĆ, Slobodan KATIĆ, Aleksandar MIKIĆ, Milka VUJAKOVIĆ,  
Dragan MILIĆ, Sanja VASILJEVIĆ, Branko MILOŠEVIĆ

Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops, Novi Sad, Serbia

Karagić Đ., S. Katić, A. Mikić, M. Vujaković, D. Milić, S. Vasiljević and B. Milošević (2010): *Effects of genotype and mechanical damage during harvest on field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) seed quality* - Genetika, Vol 42, No. 3, 425 -434.

Three field pea genotypes (NS Junior, Jezero and Javor) have been assessed for effects of genotype and mechanical damage during harvest on most important parameters of the physical quality of seed. Four harvest treatments were examined (hand harvest, mechanized harvest at 500, 650 and 800 rpm). After harvest, purity of harvested seed and percentages of seeds damaged by insects, seeds with cracked seed coat and broken seeds

---

*Corresponding author:* Đura Karagić, Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops, Maksim Gorki St. 30, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia, 064/82 05 745, djura.karagic@ifvcns.ns.ac.rs

were analyzed. Highest contents of seeds with damaged seed coat (9.0%) and broken seeds (11.3%) were found in Javor, the genotype with largest seeds. After seed processing, seed vigor, germination, proportion of atypical seedlings and 1000-seed weight were determined. Lowest values of seed vigor and germination (79.8% and 84.9%, respectively) and the largest proportion of atypical seedlings (11.4%) were found in the genotype Javor. Highly significant correlations were found between seed vigor, germination and atypical seedlings on one side and 1000-seed weight on the other ( $r = -0.53^{**}$ ,  $r = -0.51^{**}$ , and  $r = 0.60^{**}$ , respectively). Damages that increase the portion of atypical seedlings have the largest impact on the quality of pea seeds. This characteristic is determined by the genotype, which should be kept in mind when defining objectives of field pea breeding programs.

*Key words:* germination, field pea, seed coat, 1000-seed weight

## INTRODUCTION

Most important factors that affect the physical quality of seed are agroecological conditions during filling and maturation stages, seed moisture at harvest, mechanical damage of seed during harvest and damages by diseases and pests (MATTHEWS, 1973; FOUGEREUX *et al.*, 1997; KANIUCZAK, 2005; MILOSEVIC *et al.*, 2007; LYSIK, 2007).

Mechanical damage of seed during harvest results from contact with working parts of seed harvesters, when seed coat breaks and/or cotyledons are severed from the hypocotyl. Mechanical damage of seed cannot be completely avoided (BLANCHARD, 1990; KARAGIĆ *et al.*, 2002).

In a previous study, KARAGIĆ *et al.* (2008) had found differences in seed quality of field pea depending on the genotype, but sources of variations had not been determined. According to this study, seed germination of genotypes with largest seeds was lower by about 10% on average. Pea cultivars with large seeds are prone to mechanical damage during harvest (BIDDLE, 1981). Seed coat cracking before harvest, at the end of seed filling stage, has been observed in large-seeded genotypes (MOISE *et al.*, 2005; MILOŠEVIĆ *et al.*, 2010).

The objectives of this study were to quantify the extent of mechanical damage of pea seeds during harvest and to determine the magnitude in differences in seed damage among different pea genotypes.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted during the 2007 and 2008 growing seasons at the experiment field of Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops, Novi Sad, northern Serbia (45°20' N, 19°51' E, 80 m above sea level). The area has a continental semiarid to semihumid climate, a mean annual air temperature of 11.0°C, an annual precipitation sum of 617 mm, and an uneven distribution of precipitation. The experiment was established in a loamy soil with pH 7.0, organic matter content of 2.82%, N-NO<sub>3</sub> of 10.7 ppm, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> of 30.8 ppm and K<sub>2</sub>O of 26.6 ppm (0 to 30 cm

depth). The previous crop was winter wheat, whose straw was baled and removed after harvest.

A two-factorial trial was organized in a system of random blocks, in four replications. The first factor, genotype, had 3 variants: NS Junior ( $G_1$ ), Jezero ( $G_2$ ) and Javor ( $G_3$ ). These genotypes have been developed at Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops. The selected genotypes were typical representatives of three types of field pea cultivars, which significantly differed in their morphology. Genotype  $G_1$  was characterized by indeterminate growth and normal leaf type,  $G_2$  had determinate growth and afila leaf type and  $G_3$  had determinate growth and normal leaf type.

Additionally, the studied genotypes differed significantly in seed size. The 1000-seed weight in  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  and  $G_3$  was 150 g, 230 g and 260 g, respectively. The second factor (rpm of combine drum) had 4 treatments: manual harvest ( $H_0$ ), 500 rpm ( $H_1$ ), 650 rpm ( $H_2$ ) and 800 rpm ( $H_3$ ). Plot size was 150 m<sup>2</sup> (5 x 30 m), except in the treatment with manual harvest, where it was 25 m<sup>2</sup> (5 x 5 m). The treatments were separated by a 2 m buffer zone.

In both years, 2007 and 2008, planting was done in the first 10 days of March, at the row distance of 12.5 cm. All cultivation practices typically used in field pea seed production were applied. Harvest was performed in the stage of full maturity. Seed moisture at the time of harvest was 13-14%. After harvest, average samples of 5 kg were measured for the proportion of pure seeds (PS-%), insect-damaged seeds (ID-%), seed coat-damaged seeds (SC-%) and broken seeds (BS-%). Harvested seed was processed in a laboratory thresher, and the quality of the processed seeds was assessed by standard methods, according to ISTA Rules (1999), using average sample of 1000 g. The following quality parameters were assessed: seed vigor (V-%), germination (G-%), the proportion of atypical seedlings (AS-%), the sum of normal and atypical seedlings (GAS-%) and 1000-seed weight (SW-%).

The obtained results were subjected to the analysis of variance (ANOVA). Treatment mean differences were calculated by the least significant difference (LSD) test at 0.05 probability level. Statistical analyses were performed by MSTAT-C software (MSTAT-C, 1988). Because the analyses of variance for seed quality indicated no treatment x experimental time interaction, the values are reported as means of the two growing seasons.

Correlation coefficients were computed between the tested parameters, the number of pairs was  $n = 48$ , correlation significance was tested by the t-test.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Differences in harvested seed purity between  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  were not significant (Table 1). However, the purity in  $G_3$  was significantly lower, by 11.0% and 6.8%, respectively. As expected, the highest purity was obtained by hand harvest, 94.3%. The effect of mechanized harvest on seed purity was significant for all treatments. The purity in  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  was lower by 15.3% and 20.1%, respectively, compared with the value in  $H_0$ . The lowest purity was achieved in  $H_3$ , 66.5%.

Impurities in the harvested seed consisted of seeds damaged by insects, seeds with cracked seed coat and broken seeds. Differences in the portions of seeds

damaged by insects were not significant, both among the genotypes and among the different harvest treatments.

The effect of the genotype on the proportion of SC was significant (Table 1). The smallest portion of cracked seeds was in G<sub>1</sub>, 5.3%. Compared with G<sub>1</sub>, the portions of SC in G<sub>2</sub> and G<sub>3</sub> were higher by 34.0% and 69.8%, respectively.

Table 1. Average contents of pure seed without visible damages (PS-%), seeds with cracked seed coat (SC-%), seeds damaged by insects (ID-%) and broken seeds (BS-%) in harvested seed of field pea, depending on genotype and harvester drum speed, for the period 2007-2008

Harvester drum speed	Genotype	PS	ID	SC	BS
H <sub>0</sub>	G <sub>1</sub>	94.9	5.1	0.0	0.0
	G <sub>2</sub>	94.2	5.8	0.1	0.0
	G <sub>3</sub>	93.9	4.8	1.3	0.0
	Mean	94.3	5.3	0.4	0.0
H <sub>1</sub>	G <sub>1</sub>	82.9	3.9	6.1	7.1
	G <sub>2</sub>	81.3	5.1	6.6	7.0
	G <sub>3</sub>	75.5	5.1	8.1	11.3
	Mean	79.9	4.7	6.9	8.5
H <sub>2</sub>	G <sub>1</sub>	79.8	6.0	6.6	7.6
	G <sub>2</sub>	76.9	4.8	8.9	9.4
	G <sub>3</sub>	69.2	5.3	11.4	14.1
	Mean	75.3	5.4	9.0	10.4
H <sub>3</sub>	G <sub>1</sub>	73.5	5.6	8.3	12.6
	G <sub>2</sub>	66.3	4.4	13.0	16.3
	G <sub>3</sub>	59.7	5.2	15.3	19.8
	Mean	66.5	5.1	12.2	16.2
Mean	G <sub>1</sub>	82.8	5.2	5.3	6.8
	G <sub>2</sub>	79.7	5.0	7.1	8.2
	G <sub>3</sub>	74.6	5.1	9.0	11.3
	Mean	79.0	5.1	7.1	8.8
<i>LSD 0.05 for G</i>		4.68	0.42	1.33	2.12
<i>LSD 0.05 for H</i>		6.22	0.56	1.82	3.36
<i>LSD 0.05 for GxH</i>		12.06	1.14	3.68	8.85

Cracks in the seed coat cause the loss of a basic function of the seed coat, the control of water absorption rate during seed swelling and germination (DEL VALLE *et al.*, 1992). In the process of seed cleaning is not possible to completely remove the seeds with cracked seed coat, which makes the sensitivity of the seed coat to cracking a highly unfavorable property of field pea genotypes (ARMSTRONG, 1995).

The portion of SC in the treatment with hand harvest amounted to 0.4% on average. The differences found among the genotypes were on the verge of

significance. In fact, no seed coat damage was observed in G<sub>1</sub>, the damage was in traces in G<sub>2</sub>, and it amounted to 1.3% in G<sub>3</sub>. In the treatment H<sub>0</sub>, seed cracking occurred before harvest, during the seed filling stage.

In the case of large-seeded genotypes, seed coat cracking has been observed before harvest, at the end of the seed filling stage. The seed coat matures earlier than cotyledons and it loses elasticity. Abundant rainfall during that time will increase soil moisture, cotyledons will continue to grow and the seed coat will inevitably crack (DOBRZANSKI and SZOT, 1997; MOISE *et al.*, 2005). This imperfection of the seed coat is an avenue for pathogenic organisms and adverse environmental factors to affect seed quality (YAKLICH and BARLA-SZABO, 1993). According to FOUGEREUX *et al.* (1997), however, water stress during seed filling decreases seed yield, but the effect on seed quality is not significant.

Effect of mechanized harvest on SC was significant in all treatments, the increased drum speed tending to increase the portion of SC. According to YAKLICH and BARLEUX-SZABÓ (1993) the seeds with a cracked seed coat were larger, on average, than the seeds with a normal seed coat. In our study, however, there was no significant correlation between the portion of SC and 1000-seed weight (Table 3).

The portion of broken seeds after harvest was significantly higher in G<sub>3</sub> than in G<sub>1</sub> and G<sub>2</sub> by 66.2% and 37.8%, respectively. Mechanized harvest significantly increases the portion of broken seeds in all treatments. A significant interaction was found between the G<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub> and G<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub> treatments.

The effect of genotype on seed vigor was significant only in G<sub>3</sub>, where V was lower by 19.3% compared with the other genotypes. In the treatment of hand harvest (H<sub>0</sub>), the average seed vigor was 92.1%, varying from 97.3% in G<sub>1</sub> to 84.7% in G<sub>3</sub> (Table 2). The increased drum speed in treatments H<sub>1</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> did not cause significant differences in relation to H<sub>0</sub>. However, treatment H<sub>3</sub> significantly lowered the energy of germination, to 88.0%. Highly significant negative correlations were found between seed vigor on one side and the portions of seeds with cracked seed coats, broken seeds and 1000-seed weight on the other (Table 3).

Seed germination in G<sub>1</sub> and G<sub>2</sub> was identical to their seed vigor (Table 2). Significantly lower seed germination was noted in the genotype G<sub>3</sub>, 84.9%. KARAGIĆ *et al.* (2002) found domestic field pea varieties to have the average seed vigor of 85.5% and the average viability of 88.0%, varying from 81 to 95%.

The average seed germination in the treatment with hand harvest was 93.7%. The increased drum speed in H<sub>3</sub> significantly reduced the germination to 89.7%. Correlations between seed germination on one side and the portion of seeds with the cracked seed coat and broken seeds were highly significant,  $r = -0.52^{**}$ , and  $r = -0.55^{**}$ , respectively (Table 3). Differences in seed germination between H<sub>1</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> were nonsignificant. Also, there were no significant differences in seed germination between these two treatments and hand harvest. However, seed germination in the G<sub>3</sub> treatment and manual harvest, in which there was no mechanical damage, was 89.7%. This value was significantly lower than those in G<sub>1</sub> and G<sub>2</sub> (97.3% and 94.2%, respectively). The lower germination rate in G<sub>3</sub> can only be due to the genotype. Highly significant negative correlations were found between

germination on one side and the portion of atypical seedlings and 1000-seed weight on the other,  $r = -0.98^{**}$  and  $r = -0.51^{**}$  (Table 3).

Table 2. Average vigor (V-%), germination (G-%), abnormal seedlings (AS-%), sum of normal and abnormal seedlings (GAS-%), and 1000-seed weight (SW-g) in processed field pea seed, depending on genotype and harvester drum speed, for period 2007-2008

Harvester drum speed	Genotype	V	G	AS	GAS	SW
H <sub>0</sub>	G <sub>1</sub>	97.3	97.3	0.3	97.6	157.2
	G <sub>2</sub>	94.2	94.2	3.6	97.9	248.1
	G <sub>3</sub>	84.7	89.7	8.3	97.9	261.9
	Mean	92.1	93.7	4.0	97.8	222.4
H <sub>1</sub>	G <sub>1</sub>	94.6	94.6	1.6	96.2	151.7
	G <sub>2</sub>	96.0	96.0	2.8	98.8	249.4
	G <sub>3</sub>	78.5	85.3	9.9	95.3	256.2
	Mean	89.7	92.0	4.8	96.7	219.1
H <sub>2</sub>	G <sub>1</sub>	95.1	95.1	1.3	96.3	153.8
	G <sub>2</sub>	96.0	96.0	1.8	97.8	248.5
	G <sub>3</sub>	80.2	83.8	11.6	95.4	252.3
	Mean	90.4	91.6	4.9	96.5	218.2
H <sub>3</sub>	G <sub>1</sub>	93.7	93.7	2.8	96.4	155.7
	G <sub>2</sub>	94.5	94.5	2.8	97.3	246.7
	G <sub>3</sub>	75.9	81.0	15.8	96.8	256.6
	Mean	88.0	89.7	7.1	96.8	219.6
Mean	G <sub>1</sub>	95.2	95.2	1.5	96.6	154.8
	G <sub>2</sub>	95.2	95.2	2.7	97.9	248.2
	G <sub>3</sub>	79.8	84.9	11.4	96.3	256.8
	Mean	90.1	91.8	5.2	97.0	219.8
LSD 0.05	for G	2.36	1.86	1.07	1.43	2.65
LSD 0.05	for H	3.82	3.05	1.68	1.97	4.31
LSD 0.05	for GxH	6.73	5.28	2.90	2.33	7.45

The average portion of atypical seedlings was 5.2%, with a high variation of the actual values from 0.3% to 15.8% (Table 2). The coefficient of variation for atypical seedlings was 39.84%. The lowest portion of atypical seedlings was 1.5%, in genotype G<sub>1</sub>. A significantly higher percentage of atypical seedlings was in genotype G<sub>2</sub>, 2.7%. The highest number of atypical seedlings was in genotype G<sub>3</sub>, 11.4%, significantly higher than in the previous two genotypes. There were no significant differences in the portion of atypical seedlings in manual harvest on one side (4.0%) and mechanized harvests H<sub>1</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> on the other. A significant increase of atypical seedlings was registered in H<sub>3</sub> (7.1%).

When impact of mechanical damage during harvest is not considered (treatment H<sub>0</sub>), the lowest portion of atypical seedlings was in genotype G<sub>1</sub>, 0.3%.

while significantly higher portions of atypical seedlings were in G<sub>2</sub> and G<sub>3</sub> (3.6% and 8.3%, respectively).

Table 3. Correlation coefficients for the portions of pure seed (PS), seeds with cracked seed coat (SC), seeds damaged by insects (ID), broken seeds (BS), vigor (V), germination (G), abnormal seedlings (AS), sum of normal and abnormal seedlings (GAS), and 1000-seed weight (SW) depending on genotype and harvester drum speed, for period 2007-2008

	ID	SC	BS	V	G	AS	GAS	SW
PS	ns	-0.99**	-0.99**	0.47**	0.55**	-0.51**	0.45**	ns
ID		ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
SC			0.98**	-0.44**	-0.52**	0.49**	-0.40**	ns
BS				-0.47**	-0.55**	0.50**	-0.47**	ns
V					0.99**	-0.97**	0.52**	-0.53**
G						-0.98**	0.55**	-0.51**
AS							-0.38**	0.60**
GAS								ns

ns, \*, \*\* - insignificant and significant at the 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively

The increase of mechanical impact of seed harvest resulted in an almost linear increase in the portion of atypical seedlings only in treatment G<sub>3</sub>. The percentages of atypical seedlings in H<sub>1</sub>, H<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>3</sub> were 9.9%, 11.6% and 15.8%, respectively (Table 2). The investigation of MIHAILOVIĆ *et al.* (2003) indicated that the average content of atypical seedlings in local field pea cultivars was 4.1%, the actual values varying from 1.1% in Pionir to 5.7% in Javor.

The average sum of seed germination and the portion of atypical seedlings was 96.95% (Table 2). No significant difference was found in the sum of germination and atypical seedlings either among the genotypes or between different methods and speeds of harvest. This allows to indirectly estimate the type and intensity of damage of field pea seed during harvest. Mechanical damage of seed during harvest does not cause severing of cotyledons from the embryo. The dominant form of damage is cracking of seed coat. These cracks result in the loss of the primary role of seed coat and this is the regulation of the rate of water absorption during germination (POWELL and MATTHEWS, 1979; SHEREENA and NEBEESA, 2005; MILOŠEVIĆ *et al.*, 2010a).

The average 1000-seed weight was 219.8 g (Table 2). The lowest weight was in G<sub>1</sub>, 154.8 g, the highest in G<sub>3</sub>, 256.8 g. The weight of 1000 seeds is determined by the genotype, and there were no significant differences among the different harvest treatments. Our results are in agreement with those of MIHAILOVIĆ *et al.* (2003). In addition to highly significant negative correlations with seed vigor and germination, 1000-seed weight was positively correlated with the portion of atypical seedlings  $r = 0.60^{**}$  (Table 3). A number of authors reported a marked proclivity of genotypes with large seeds to damage during harvest (BIDLLE 1981;

BLANCHARD, 1990). Our results indicated that there existed differences among the genotypes with large seeds in the rate of damage during harvest. Content of atypical seedlings was the major source of variation in seed quality of field pea. In other words, damages that increase the portion of atypical seedlings have the largest impact on the quality of pea seeds. This characteristic is determined by the genotype, which should be kept in mind when defining objectives of field pea breeding programs.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was subsidized by Ministry of Science, Technology and Development of the Republic of Serbia (Project No. BT 20090).

Received, Decembar 21<sup>st</sup>, 2009

Accepted, December 6<sup>th</sup>, 2010

#### REFERENCES

- ARMSTRONG, E. (1995): Improvement of field pea genotypes in NSW. Grains Research and Development Corporation Report DAN 43SR, 15 pp.
- BIDLLE, A.J. (1981): Harvesting damage in pea seed and its influence on vigour. ISHS Acta Horticulture 111: Symposium on Vegetable and Flower seed Production, 243-247.
- BLANCHARD, E.D. (1990): The effect of Mechanical Damage on the Seed Viability of Lupin and Field Pea, Grain Legume Seeds. Agric. Eng. Conference, 46-49.
- DEL VALLE J.M., D.W. STANLEY, and M.C. BOURNE (1992): Water absorption and swelling in dry beans. JFPP, 16, 2, 75-98.
- DOBZANSKI, B., and J.B. SZOT (1997): Mechanical properties of pea seed coat. Int. Agrophysics, 11, 301-306.
- FOUGEREUX, J.A., F. LADONNE, T. DORE, and F. DENEUBOURG (1997): Water stress during reproductive stages affects seed quality and yield of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Crop Sci 37:1247-1252.
- INTERNATIONAL SEED TESTING ASSOCIATION (1999): International rules for seed testing 1999. Seed Sci. Technol. Vol.27.
- KANIUCZAK, Z. (2005): Seed damage of some pea cultivars (*Pisum sativum* L.) by pea beetle (*Bruchus pisorum* L.) (Col. Bruchidae). J. Plant Protection Res., 45(2):756-759.
- KARAGIĆ, Đ., V. MIHAILOVIĆ, and A. MIKIĆ (2002): Seed quality of domestic varieties of annual forage legumes. Agro-knowledge Jour., Banja Luka, 1, 79-90.
- KARAGIĆ, Đ., S. KATIĆ, V. MIHAILOVIĆ, S. VASILJEVIĆ, A. MIKIĆ, and D. MILIĆ (2008): What a seed producer need from a plant breeder. GL-TTP Workshop Integrating Legume Science and Crop Breeding, Novi Sad, Serbia, Nov., 2008.
- LYSIAK, G. (2007): Fracture toughness of pea: Weibull analysis. Journal of Food Engineering, 83 (3), 436-443.
- MATTHEWS, S. (1973): A study of seed lots of peas (*Pisum sativum* L.) differing in predisposition to pre-emergence mortality in soil. Ann. App. Biology, 68, 2, 177-183.
- MIHAILOVIĆ, V., A. MIKIĆ, S. KATIĆ, and Đ. KARAGIĆ (2003): A new dry pea cultivar– Javor. A periodical of scientific research on field and vegetable crops, Novi Sad, 38, 79-91.



- 
- MILOŠEVIĆ, B., Đ. KARAGIĆ, V. MIHAILOVIĆ, A. MIKIĆ, S. VASILJEVIĆ, I. PATAKI, and M. VUJAKOVIĆ, (2010): Dry pea seed quality depending on seed moisture at harvest and cultivar. *Ratarstvo i povrtarstvo*, 47, 529-534.
- MILOSEVIC, M., M.MILIVOJEVIC, V. IVANOVIC and M.VUJAKOVIC (2007): Towards the Standardisation of Seed Testing. *Maydica*, 52, 3, 335-341.
- MILOŠEVIĆ M., VUJAKOVIĆ M., and Đ. KARAGIĆ (2010a): *Vigour tests as indicators of seed viability*. *Genetika*, 42(1):103-118.
- MOISE J. A., H. SHOYOU, L. GUDYNAITE-SAVITCH, A.J. DOUGLAS, and L.A.M. BRIAN (2005): Seed Coats: Structure, Development, Composition, and Biotechnology. *In Vitro Cellular and Developmental Biology*, 41, 5, 620-644.
- MSTAT-C (1988): A Microcomputer Program for Design, Management, and Analysis of Agronomic Research Experiments. Crop and Soil Science Dep., MSU, East Lansing.
- POWELL, A.A. and S. MATTHEWS (1979): The influence of Testa Condition on the Imbibition and Vigour of Pea Seeds. *J. Exp. Bot.*, 30: 193-197.
- SHEREENA, J. and S. NABEESA (2006): Influence of Seed Moisture Content and Lekeage on Germination and Viability in *Pisum sativum* L. Seeds. *Int J Bot*, 2 (4): 427-430.
- YAKLICH, R.W., and G. BARLA-SZABO (1993): Seed Coat Cracking in Soybean. *Crop Sci*, 33, 1016-1019.

## UTICAJ GENOTIPA I MEHANIČKIH OŠTEĆENJA TOKOM ŽETVE NA KVALITET SEMENA PROTEINSKOG GRAŠKA (*Pisum sativum* L.)

Đura KARAGIĆ, Slobodan KATIĆ, Aleksandar MIKIĆ, Milka VUJAKOVIĆ,  
Dragan MILIĆ, Sanja VASILJEVIĆ, Branko MILOŠEVIĆ

Institut za ratarstvo i povrtarstvo, Novi Sad

### I z v o d

Ispitivan je uticaj genotipa i mehaničkih oštećenja tokom žetve na najznačajnije parametre fizičkog kvaliteta semena proteinskog graška kod tri genotipa (NS Junior, Jezero i Javor), pri četiri tretmana žetve (ručna žetva, mehanizovana žetva pri 500, 650 i 800 °/min). Utvrđena je čistoća naturalnog semena posle žetve, udeo semena oštećenih od insekata, semena sa napuklom semenjačom i polomljenih semena. Najviši sadržaj semena sa oštećenom semenjačom (9.0%) i polomljnog semena (11.3%) utvrđen je kod genotipa sa najkrupnijim semenom, Javora. Nakon dorade semena utvrđeni su energija klijanja, klijavost, udeo atipičnih klijanaca i masa 1000 semena. Najnižu energiju klijanja (79.8%) i klijavost (84.9%) i najveći udeo atipičnih ponika (11.4%) imao je genotip Javor. Takođe, pucanje semenjače pre žetve najizraženije je kod Javora, koji je na tretmanu ručne žetve imao najnižu energiju klijanja i klijavost (84.7% i 89.7%) i najveći udeo atipičnih ponika (8.3%). Utvrđene su visoko signifikantne korelacije energije klijanja, klijavosti i udela atipičnih ponika sa masom 1000 semena ( $r = -0.53^{**}$ ,  $r = -0.51^{**}$ , i  $r = 0.60^{**}$ ).

Primljeno, 21.XII. 2009.

Odobreno, 06.XII, 2010.