# GENETIC ANALYSIS OF INHERITANCE AND MUTUAL RELATIONSHIPS AMONG YIELD COMPONENTS, MORPHOLOGICAL-BIOLOGICAL TRAITS AND YIELD OF GREEN MASS OF RED CLOVER (TRIFOLIUM PRATENSE L.)

Sanja VASILJEVIĆ<sup>1</sup>, Gordana ŠURLAN-MOMIROVIĆ<sup>2</sup>, Tomislav ŽIVANOVIĆ<sup>2</sup>, Mile IVANOVIĆ<sup>1</sup>, Vojislav MIHAILOVIĆ<sup>1</sup>, Aleksandar MIKIĆ<sup>1</sup>, Slobodan KATIĆ<sup>1</sup> and Dragan MILIĆ<sup>1</sup>

> <sup>1</sup> Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops, Novi Sad <sup>2</sup> Faculty of Agriculture, Zemun-Belgrade, Sebia

Vasiljević Sanja, Gordana Šurlan-Momirović, Tomislav Živanović, Mile Ivanović, Vojislav Mihailović, Aleksandar Mikić, Slobodan Katić, and Dragan Milić (2006): Genetic analysis of inheritance and mutual relationships among yield components, morphological-biological traits and yield of green mass of red clover (Trifolium pratense L.). - Genetika, Vol. 38, No. 1, 1-8.

Diallel crosses involving five divergent red clover genotypes (two varieties, Junior and Diana, and three populations, Vlaška, BL-3 and M-11) were made at the Experiment Field of the Forage Crops Department of the Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops in Novi Sad. During the 2001-2002 period, progenies obtained in the crosses were tested for stem length, stem thickness, length of central lamina, yield of green mass per plant, start of flowering, persistence and growth habit. The analysis of phenotypic variance showed that the additive gene action played the dominant role in the inheritance of stem length, yield of green mass per plant and growth habit. Partial dominance was the most frequent mode of inheritance. A larger contribution of dominant variance

Corresponding author: Sanja Vasiljević, Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops, Novi Sad, Serbia.

to total genotypic variance and low values of narrow sense heritability  $(h_{n^2})$  were obtained for stem thickness (16.67% and 23.07%, respectively). In both study years (2001-2002), using path coefficient analysis, we observed significant direct effects of stem length on green mass yield per plant (9.09\*, and 6.23\*, respectively).

Key words: red clover, (Trifolium pratense L.), diallel cross, mode of inheritance, combining ability, dominant and additive gene effects, heritability, path coefficient analysis

### INTRODUCTION

Previous research on red clover has shown that the most important methods used in its breeding are mass selection, recurrent phenotypic selection and the polycross method, which limits the collection of useful genetic information such as heritability and genetic mapping (TAYLOR and QUESENBERRY, 1996). It is therefore especially important to perform detailed genetic analysis of major quantitative agronomic traits of this forage legume using controlled crosses. Diallel crossing is a very commonly used method for testing red clover progenies in order to obtain more comprehensive information on the combining abilities of parents, mode of inheritance and heritability. It is also particularly important to determine the interdependence of traits breeding is performed for.

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

Based on previous studies, five divergent red clover genotypes were chosen (two cultivars, Junior and Diana, and three populations, Vlaška, BL-3 and M-11). The experimental part of our trial was carried out at the experiment field of the Forage Crops Department of the Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops in Novi Sad. First, complete diallel crosses were made during 1999-2000 among the selected red clover cultivars and populations under field conditions. Then, in 2001-2002, the progeny thus obtained was tested for stem length, stem thickness, central lamina length, green mass yield, start of flowering (UPOV, 2001), persistence (assessment of the number of surviving plants in the third year on a scale of 1 to 9), and growth habit (UPOV, 2001). The trial was set up using a randomized block design with three replicates. Each of the combinations studied was represented by a sample consisting of 30 plants. The row-to-row spacing was 80 x 80. The mode of inheritance of the traits studied was determined first based on parental and progeny means. The GCA and SCA were calculated according to GRIFFING (1956), Method 2, Mathematical Model 2, with no reciprocal crosses included (Table 1).

| Source o | _                     | Sum of                    | Mean                  | Expected mean                                  |
|----------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| GCA      | n-1                   | squares<br>S <sub>g</sub> | square M <sub>g</sub> | $\frac{\text{squares}}{V_e + V_s + (n+2) V_g}$ |
| SCA      | $\frac{1}{2}$ (n-1) n | $S_8$                     | $M_s$                 | $V_e + V_s$                                    |
| E        | M                     | Sc                        | _Me                   | Ve   |

Tab 1. - Analysis of variance for general and specific combining abilities

The components of phenotypic variance were then calculated. (Table 1, Model II), FISHER, 1918; COCKERHAM, 1954. where:

$$V_g = \frac{1}{n+2} (M_g - M_s) - GCA$$
 variance

 $V_s = M_s - M_e - SCA$  variance

 $V_A = 4V_g$  - additive variance (for F = 0)

 $V_D = 2V_s$  - dominant deviation variance (for F = 0)

 $V_G = V_A + V_D$  - genotypic variance

 $V_E$  = environmental variance

 $V_F = V_G + V_E$  - phenotypic variance

An estimate of heritability ( $h^2$ ) in the broad ( $h_b^2 = \frac{V_G}{V_F}$ ) and narrow senses ( $h_n^2 = \frac{V_A}{V_F}$ ) was made.

The direct, indirect and total effects of the traits on green mass yield were determined by path coefficient analysis.

### **RESULTS**

In the majority of the combinations, partial dominance was the most common mode of inheritance of most of the traits under study (stem length, green mass yield in both study years, start of flowering in 2002, growth habit) (pd - Table 2), indicating that additive gene action was of greater importance in the inheritance of these characteristics (Table 4). Analysis of variance revealed highly significant GCA values for the above traits (Table 3). A highly significant PCA value and greater importance of the dominant gene effect were found for the inheritance of start of flowering in 2001.

A greater contribution of dominant variance to total genotypic variance and low values of narrow sense heritability were obtained for the following traits: stem thickness in both study years, start of flowering in 2001, and central lamina length in 2002.

Equal importance of the additive and nonadditive components of genotypic variance and low values of both narrow and broad sense heritability ( $h_n^2 = 22.64\%$ ,  $h_h^2 = 41.51\%$ ) were observed for the inheritance of persistence,

indicating that environmental factors played a greater role in the inheritance of this trait (Table 4). The most frequent mode of inheritance of this character was superdominance of the parent with better persistence (h<sup>+</sup> - Table 2).

Using path coefficient analysis, it was determined that in both study years (2001 and 2002) stem length had a significant direct effect on green mass yield per plant (9.09\* and 6.23\*, respectively - Table 5). In 2001, the effect was pronouncedly negative in itself (r = -0.141), but the overall effects were positive due to the positive indirect effects of stem length (r = 0.379) and growth habit (r = 0.164).

Table 2. - Mean values and mode of inheritance of stem length, stem thickness, central lamina length, green mass yield per plant, start of flowering, persistence and growth habit in 2001-2002

| Parents and F1<br>hybrids (? x ?) | Stem le   | ngth (X)  | (x) Stem thickness $(x)$ |          | Lamina length $(x)$ |           | Green mass yield (X) |            | Start of flowering |          | Persistence | Growth   |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|----------|---------------------|-----------|----------------------|------------|--------------------|----------|-------------|----------|
| nymus (: x : )                    |           |           |                          |          |                     |           |                      |            | (x)                |          | (X)         | habit    |
| 4 X C                             | 2001.     | 2002.     | 2001.                    | 2002.    | 2001.               | 2002.     | 2001.                | XXX2.      | 2001.              | 2002.    |             | ( 1, )   |
| Vlaška                            | 55,8      | 45,4      | 3,94                     | 4.05     | 34,65               | .14,69    | 158,00               | 578,33     | 4,00               | 3.33     | 1,00        | 5,33     |
| Vlaska x Junior                   | 55,7 4    | 48,6 pd.  | 3,83 d                   | 4.29 h   | 36,60 4"            | .W.13 d   | 190.33 pd            | 763,67 pd  | 4.67 d°            | 5.33 d   | 3.00 h      | 5,60 h   |
| Vlaška x Diana                    | 53.5 pd 1 | 47.5 d°   | 3,70 pd                  | 4.04 4"  | 37.64 h*            | 35.27 d°  | 1601(0) pd           | 638,00 pd  | 4.(x) d*           | 5.67 pd* | 4,50 j      | 5.20 pd* |
| Vlaška x BL+3                     | 49,7 pd   | 48,0 h    | 3,74 pd                  | 4.12 h*  | 36,77 h*            | 33,99 h   | 133.34 pd            | 691,00 pd* | 3.67 h             | 6,33 h*  | 4.17 d*     | 5,03 h   |
| Vlaška x M-11                     | 54.1 pd   | 47.0 pd*  | 4,20 h*                  | 4.27 d*  | 38.55 pd°           | 37.12 pd* | 224,67 h*            | 805.34 pd* | 2.67 h             | 3.00 h   | 6.67 h*     | 6,50 pd  |
| Junior                            | 56,6      | 53,4      | 3,86                     | 4.12     | 36,67               | 36,62     | 205,67               | 858,67     | 4.67               | 5.33     | 5,00        | 5.10     |
| Junior x Diana                    | 50,9 pd   | 49,7 pd   | 3,74 pd                  | 3.93 pd  | 34.32 h             | 35,12 d   | 167,33 d             | 854,67 pd* | 4.33 pd            | 5.33 d   | 4.67 h      | 5,75 h*  |
| Junior x BL 3                     | 51,7 pd   | 47,6 d    | 3,56 h                   | 1,98 d   | 37.00 h*            | 36,45 d   | 155,34 pd*           | 745,00 pd  | 3.00 h             | 4,67 d°  | 5.67 h*     | 5,40 h*  |
| Junior x M-11                     | 51,9 h    | 49,6 h    | 3,62 h                   | 4.32 h"  | 37,70 pd            | 35.19 h   | 206,67 d°            | 835,67 h   | 3,67 pd            | 3.67 pd  | 4,83 h      | 6,24 pd  |
| Drana                             | 49.7      | 47.6      | 3.67                     | 3.89     | 3651                | 35.54     | 167,00               | 752,00     | 3.67               | 6.00     | 5.00        | 4.33     |
| Diana x BL-3                      | 48.9 i    | 48.5 h°   | 3.87 b*                  | 4.08 h*  | 38.46 h*            | 35.62 4   | 151.00 pd*           | 847,34 h*  | 3.00 h             | 7.00 h*  | 5,67 h*     | 5,46 h*  |
| Diana x M-11                      | 47.6 h    | 47,4 d    | 3.51 h                   | 4.25 pd* | 34.48 h             | 36.56 pd  | 174.34 pd            | 760,00 pd  | 2.33 h             | 5.33 pd* | 6.83 h'     | 5,83 pd  |
| BL-3                              | 48,1      | 47,X      | 3,68                     | 4.01     | 35.69               | 37.18     | 122.34               | 698,67     | 5.00               | 4,67     | 4.17        | 5.30     |
| BL-3 x M-11                       | \$1.3 pd* | 49.5 pd ' | 3,65 h                   | 3.90 h   | 34,73 h             | 33.92 h   | 204.34 pd 1          | 718,34 pd  | 2.00 h             | 4.67 4   | 7.00 h*     | 5.81 pd  |
| M-11                              | 53.5      | 50,1      | 4,04                     | 1.28     | 40.10               | 40.88     | 218.00               | 839,67     | 3.33               | 3,33     | 5.67        | 7.89     |
|                                   | 3.6       | 2.9       | 0.25                     | 0.21     | 2.85                | 2,78      | 48.22                | 146.83     | 1.16               | 1.69     | 2.28        | 0.82     |
|                                   | 4.8       | 4.0       | 0.33                     | 0.28     | 3,84                | 3,75      | 65,05                | 198,09     | 1.56               | 2.29     | 3.08        | 1,10     |

Table 3. Analysis of variance of combining abilities for stem length, stem thickness, central lamina length, green mass yield per plant, start of flowering, persistence and growth habit in five parental genotypes of red clover

| Source of              |         |                 |        |                   |        | Fex              | perimental |                    |        |             |       |         | Ftal | nular |
|------------------------|---------|-----------------|--------|-------------------|--------|------------------|------------|--------------------|--------|-------------|-------|---------|------|-------|
| variation Stein length |         | Stein thickness |        | Lamina length Gre |        | Green mass yield |            | Start of flowering |        | Persistence | Gmwth | 0.05    | 0.01 |       |
|                        | 2001.   | 2002.           | 2001.  | 2002.             | 2001.  | 2002.            | 2001       | 2002.              | 2001.  | 2002.       |       | habit   |      |       |
| GCA                    | 14,7244 | 7.66**          | 6.35** | 7.44**            | 1,43   | 4,6500           | 9,7364     | 6,49**             | 7,15** | 8,82**      | 3.57* | 23.31** | 2,72 | 4,08  |
| SCA                    | 1.64    | 1.31            | 3.68** | 2,91*             | 3,50** | 2,810            | 0,96       | 1,25               | 3,96** | 2,08        | 1.48  | 2,09    | 2.19 | 3,04  |
| GCA/SCA                | 8.97    | 5.83            | 1.72   | 2.56              | 0,40   | 1,65             | 9.76       | 5,19               | 1.80   | 4.24        | 2.41  | 11.15   |      |       |

Table 4. Components of phenotypic variability and heritability for stem length, stem thickness, central lamina length, green mass yield per plant, start of flowering, persistence and growth habit

| Components of variance | Stem length |         | Stem thickness |         | Lamina length |         | Green mass yield |          | Start of flowering |         | Persistence | Growth |
|------------------------|-------------|---------|----------------|---------|---------------|---------|------------------|----------|--------------------|---------|-------------|--------|
|                        | 2001.       | 2002.   | 2001.          | 2002.   | 2001.         | 2002.   | 2001.            | 2002.    | 2001.              | 2002.   |             | habit  |
| <i>V</i> <sub>A</sub>  | 11,36       | 3.88    | 0,012          | 0,012   | •             | 0,96    | 1389,36          | 7692,40  | 0,28               | 1.32    | 0.72        | 0.96   |
| $F_{\mathbf{D}}$       | 1.964       | 0.64    | 0,04           | 0.02    | 4.8           | 3,34    | -                | 1294,38  | 0,94               | 0,74    | 0,60        | 0,18   |
| Vo                     | 13,32       | 4.52    | 0,052          | 0.032   | 4.8           | 4,3     | 1389.36          | 8986,78  | 1,22               | 2,06    | 1.32        | 1.14   |
| $P_{\rm E}$            | 4.57        | 3.20    | 0.02           | 0.02    | 2,90          | 2,77    | 831,45           | 7710,04  | 0,48               | 1,03    | 1.86        | 0,24   |
| $\nu_{\rm c}$          | 17,89       | 7.72    | 0,072          | 0.052   | 7.7           | 7,07    | 2220,81          | 16696,82 | 1.7                | 3,09    | 3.18        | 1.38   |
| $h_b^2$                | 74,45 %     | 58,55 % | 72.22 %        | 61,54 % | 62.34 %       | 60.82 % | 62.56 %          | 53,82 %  | 71.76%             | 66,67 % | 41.51 %     | 82,61  |
| $h_{i}^{2}$            | 63,50%      | 50,26 % | 16.67 %        | 23,07 % |               | 13.58%  | 62,56 %          | 46,07 %  | 16,47 %            | 42,72 % | 22,64 %     | 69,56  |

of red clover cultivars during 2001-2002 Stem length Stem thickness Lamina length Start of Persistence Growth habit Components flowering of variance 2001 2002 2001 2002 2001 2002 2001. 2(X)2 2001 2002 2001 2(X)2 VIA: Stem ().559 0.379 0,034 0,125 0.102 0,057 0,144 0,116 0,206 0.069 -0.201length

Table 5. Direct, indirect and total effects of the traits studied on green mass yield

VIA: Stem 0,021 -0.1410,204 -0,073 0,068 -0,009 -0,076 100,0 -0,004 -0,064 0.122 -0.079thickness VIA: Lamina 0,014 0.023 0.034 0,037 0,066 0.111 -0,005 -0,046 0,005 0,035 0,032 0.073 length VIA: Start of 0,036 0.035 0.008-0.105 -0,009 -0,118 0.118 0.282 -0.103-0,050 -0.036 -0.181flowering -0,176 0.012 -0,004 -0,004 -0,516 0,591 0.203 0,212 VIA:Persist. 0,044 (),064-0.0360.073 VIA: Growth 0.066 0.039 0.164 0.130 0.175 0.142 -0.111 -0.139 0,129 0,078 0,360 0.217 habit Total effect 0.538 0.690 0.4400.319 0.3470.383 -0.3180.054 0.422 0.296 0.628 0.405 9.09 \* 6,23\* 0,32 0.56 0.09 0,16 0.11 0.98 2,57 0,74 2,58 0.29 F value

Critical values of F-distributions at 1 and 7 degrees of freedom and 5 and 1% probabilities are 5.59 and 12.25

### DISCUSSION

Results obtained in this paper on the inheritance of green mass yield, start of flowering, and persistence are in agreement with those reported by several other authors (Anderson, 1960; Anderson, et al. 1974; Cornelius, et al. 1977; CORNELIUS and TAYLOR, 1980). Concerning the inheritance of growth habit, particularly prominent in the present paper was partial dominance, which is in agreement with the findings of Mirzaie-Nodoushan et al. (1999). Studying the inheritance of red clover stem thickness at the third internode below the terminal inflorescence, Mirzaie-Nodoushan et al. (1999) found that slenderer stems were partially to completely dominant over thicker ones. In the present paper, in agreement with the above, partial dominance or dominance of the parent with the slenderer stem was manifested as the mode of inheritance of stem thickness in four combinations in 2001 and two in 2002 (Table 2). It is interesting to note that in the first year of the study superdominance of the slenderer stem was particularly prominent.

In our study, in agreement with the data reported by CECCARELLI (1968), genotypic variance for red clover stem length was found to have been determined primarily by additive gene action.

A number of researchers (WIONCEK et al., 1976; KUHBANCH and VOIGTLANDER, 1981) have found significant correlations betwen green forage yield and stem length in red clover, which suggests that selection for a longer stem may lead to increased green mass yields. Data obtained in the present paper support this conclusion, because our path coefficient analysis showed there were significant direct effects of stem length on green mass yield in both study years.

### CONCLUSION

Our variance analysis of diallel crosses for GCA revealed years highly significant values of stem length, stem thickness, green mass yield per plant, start of flowering and all the morphological/biological traits in both study years. At the same time, highly significant SCA values were recorded for stem thickness, central lamina length and start of flowering in 2001.

Analysis of phenotypic variance showed additive gene action played the dominant role in the inheritance of stem length and green mass yield in both years of study. Relative to the morphological/biological characteristics studied, a dominant contribution of the additive component of genotypic variance was found in the inheritance of growth habit and start of flowering in 2002. In this connection, partial dominance was the most common mode of inheritance in most of the combinations. In the inheritance of persistence, the additive and nonadditive components of genotypic variance were both equally important. The larger contribution of dominant variance to total genotypic variance and low values of narrow sense heritability ( $h_{m^2}$ ) were obtained for stem length in both years (16.67% and 23.07%), start of flowering in 2001 (16.47%), and central lamina length in 2002 (2.73 %). In both study years, path coefficient analysis revealed a significant direct effect of stem length on green mass yield per plant (9.09\* and 6.23\*, respectively).

### REFERENCES

- Anderson L. B. (1960): Evaluation of general and specific combining ability in late flowering variety of red clover (*Trifolium pratense* L) N.Z.J. Agric. Res., 3 (4), 680-692.
- ANDERSON M. K., N. L. TAYLOR, and R. R. HILL (1974): Combining Ability in Io Single Crosses in Red Clover. Crop Science, Vol. 14, May-June, p. 417-419.
- CECCARELLI S. (1968): Biometric analysis of differences between populations of *Trifolium pratense* L. Genet. Agrar., 22, 81-88.
- COCKERHAM C.C. (1954): An extension of the concept of partitioning hereditary variance for analysis of convariance among relatives when epistasis is present. Genetics, 30, 859 882.
- CORNELIUS P. L., N. L. TAYLOR, and M. K. ANDERSON (1977): Combining ability in II, Single Crosses of Red Clover. Crop Science, 17, 709-713.
- CORNELIUS P. L. and N. L. TAYLOR (1980): Epistasis in Some Crosses of I(1) Red Clover Clones. Crop Science, 20, 496-498.
- FISHER R. A. (1918): The correlation among relatives on the supposition of mendelian inheritance. Trans. Royal Soc. of Edinburgh, 52, 399-433.
- GRIFFING B. (1956): Concepts of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing systems. Anst. J. Biol. Sci., 9, 463-493.
- KUHBANCH W. and G. VOIGTLANDER (1981): Calculation of feeding quality of white clover, red clover and lucerne with morphological criteria and/or from plant constituent. III. Report: Yield performance and feeding quality of red clover and lucerne with special regard of morphological differentiation. (In German) Z. Acker Pflanzenbau, 150, 339 348.
- MIRZAIE-NODOUSHAN H., I. L. GORDON, and W. B. RUMBALL (1999): Inheritance of Growth Hahit Related Attributes in Red Clover (*Trifolium pratense* L). J. Heredity, 90 (5), 550-553.
- TAYLOR N. L. and K. H. QUESENBERRY (1996): Red Clover Science (Current Plant Sciences and Biology in Agriculture, vol 28).
- WIONCEK J., K. KRZACZEK, K. MARCINEK, and T. HULEWICZ (1976): Phenotypic correlations within some characters of mother plants and their offspring in red clover (*Trifolium pratense* L). I. Studies on diploid. Genet. Pol., 17, 171-179.

Received July 5, 2005 Accepted February 15, 2006

# GENETIČKA ANALIZA NASLEĐIVANJA I MEĐUZAVISNOST KOMPONENTI PRINOSA, MORFOLOŠKO-BIOLOŠKIH KARAKTERISTIKA I PRINOSA ZELENE MASE CRVENE DETELINE (*TRIFOLIUM PRATENSE* L.)

Sanja VASILJEVIĆ<sup>1</sup>, Gordana ŠURLAN-MOMIROVIĆ<sup>2</sup>, Tomislav ŽIVANOVIĆ<sup>2</sup>, Mile IVANOVIĆ<sup>1</sup>, Vojislav MIHAILOVIĆ<sup>1</sup>, Aleksandar MIKIĆ<sup>1</sup>, Slobodan KATIĆ<sup>1</sup> i Dragan MILIĆ<sup>1</sup>

> <sup>1</sup> Institut za ratarstvo i povrtarstvo, Novi Sad <sup>2</sup> Poljoprivredni fakultet, Zemun-Beograd, Srbija

## Izvod

U radu je korišćeno pet divergentnih genotipova crvene deteline (dve sorte: Junior, Diana i tri populacije: Vlaška, BL-3, M-11) koje su dialelno ukrštene. Eksperimentalni deo ogleda je bio urađen na oglednom polju Zavoda za krmno bilje Naučnog Instituta za ratarstvo i povrtarstvo u Novom Sadu. Tokom 2001 i 2002 godine izvršeno je testiranje dobijenog potomstva u odnosu na ispitivane osobine (dužina stabljike, debljina stabljike, dužina centralne liske, prinos zelene mase po biljci, vreme početka cvetanja, perzistentnost i forma rasta). Analizom fenotipske varijanse može se zaključiti da je aditivan način delovanja gena imao dominantnu ulogu u nasleđivanju: dužine stabljike, prinosa zelene mase po biljci, forme rasta, a kao najčešći način nasleđivanja ispoljila se parcijalna dominacija. Veće učešće dominantne varijanse u ukupnoj genotipskoj varijansi, kao i niske vrednosti heritabilnosti u užem smislu ( $h_n^2$ ) su dobijene za: debljinu stabljike (16,67 % i 23,07 %). Tokom obe godine (2001-2002) analizom path koeficijenta utvrđen je značajan direktan efekat dužine stabljike na prinos zelene mase po biljci crvene deteline (9,09\*, odnosno 6,23\*).

Primljeno 5. jula 2005. Odobreno 15. februara 2006.