

CULTIVOS
HERBACEOS

17th INTERNATIONAL SUNFLOWER CONFERENCE

Vol. 1



Proceedings of the
**17th International
Sunflower Conference**

Vol. 1



Córdoba, Spain
June 8-12, 2008

Sponsored by The International Sunflower Association, Paris, France



Instituto de Investigación y Formación Agraria y Pesquera
CONSEJERÍA DE AGRICULTURA Y PESCA



International Sunflower
Association

Proceedings of the 17th International Sunflower Conference
Córdoba, Spain. June 8-12, 2008

Editor: Leonardo Velasco

Editorial Committee:

José M. Fernández-Martínez

Luis García-Torres

Pedro González

José M. Melero-Vara

Francisco Orgaz

Begoña Pérez-Vich

Francisco Villalobos

Organizing Committee:

Chairman: Juan Domínguez: Institute for Research and Training in Agriculture and Fisheries (IFAPA).

Deputy Chairman: Juan Fernández Pérez LIMAGRAIN IBERICA

ISA Secretariat: Laurencine Lot

ISA Secretary General: André Pouzet

Luis Carlos Alonso Arnedo. KOIPESOL S.A.

José M. Fernández Martínez. Institute for Sustainable Agriculture (CSIC)

José Antonio García de Tejada. ARLESA Semillas

José Rafael García Ruiz. Institute for Research and Training in Agriculture and Fisheries (IFAPA)

Luis López Bellido. University of Cordoba

José M. Melero Vara. Institute for Sustainable Agriculture (CSIC)

Leonardo Velasco. Institute for Sustainable Agriculture (CSIC)

Photography: Spanish landrace of confectionary sunflower collected by L. Velasco and B. Pérez-Vich in Villarta de San Juan, Ciudad Real, Spain, on October 10, 2007.

Foreword

The proceedings of the 17th *International Sunflower Conference* contain 142 contributions from scientists of 24 countries. They include plenary lectures in several disciplines and regular communications presented in posters during the conference and discussed in the corresponding workshops. The manuscripts are classified by disciplines. They offer a good picture of the current state of the art of sunflower research and cultivation around the world.

The manuscripts in the *Proceedings* have been reviewed by an editorial committee with the main objective of helping the authors to improve their manuscripts through a critical reading. The authors received the edited manuscripts together with the comments of the reviewers and then went on to draft their final version. All the manuscripts received have been published in the *Proceedings*. The contents of the manuscripts are the responsibility of the authors. They should be considered as being privileged communications that require the express consent of the authors to be reprinted in part or as a whole. We wish to thank both the members of the Editorial Committee for their dedication to the task of editing such a large number of manuscripts, as well as all the authors for their collaboration throughout the whole edition process.

The Organizing Committee would also like to thank Diana Badder and José A. Palacios for their excellent editorial assistance in the preparation of these *Proceedings*. We are indebted to the Spanish Association of Sunflower Breeders (Asociación Española de Mejoradores de Girasol), which collaborated actively in the organization of the conference, and, very especially, to Juan Parejo, who was in charge of the financial side.

Finally, we would like to thank all the participants in the conference, who have contributed to its success by a careful preparation and revision of manuscripts and posters, presentation of their research in the workshops, and stimulating discussions throughout the conference on the scientific and technical aspects of sunflower research and cultivation in the world.

The Organizing Committee
17th International Sunflower Conference
Córdoba, Spain. June 8-12, 2008

Volume 1

Table of Contents

PLENARY

Research progress in sunflower diseases and their management Ferenc Virányi.....	1
Could a crop model be useful for improving sunflower crop management? Francis Flénet, Philippe Debaeke, Pierre Casadebaig.....	13
Phenotypic plasticities of yield, phenological development and seed traits Victor Sadras, Abelardo de la Vega.....	19
Sunflower germplasm development utilizing wild <i>Helianthus</i> species Chao-Chien Jan, Gerald J. Seiler, Thomas J. Gulya, Jiujuan Feng.....	29
Current advances in sunflower oil applications Rafael Garcés, Joaquín J. Salas-Liñan, Mónica Venegas-Calcrón, Enrique Martínez-Force.....	45
Sunflower in Spain: Past and present trends in an international context Luis Carlos Alonso.....	53

DISEASE RESISTANCE AND PATHOLOGY

Phomopsis control in sunflower using products of biogenic origin I.I. Begunov, V.T. Piven, A.T. Podvarko, V.Y. Ismailov, T. Gulya.....	69
Verticilosis en germoplasma de girasol Julio González, Nora Mancuso, Pedro Ludueña, Antonio Ivancovich.....	73
Towards <i>Sclerotinia</i> resistance – <i>In vitro</i> screening of wild sunflower species Ksenija T. Ajdukovic, Dragana Miladinovic, Nevena Nagl, Sreten Terzic, Sinisa Jocić, Vladimir Miklič	77
Correlation between macronutrient content and sunflower resistance to <i>Sclerotinia sclerotiorum</i> measured by sclerotia infection of stem Dragana Miladinovic, Slobodanka Pajevic, Ana Marjanovic-Jeromela, Petar Sekulic, Sinisa Jocić, Vladimir Miklič	81
Races of <i>Plasmopara halstedii</i> on sunflower in separate agroecosystems of Adigea Republic, Krasnodar and Rostov regions in Russia Tatiana Antonova, Maria Iwebor, Nina Araslanova.....	85
Differences in some DNA RAPD-loci of <i>Plasmopara halstedii</i> races affecting sunflower in Krasnodar region of Russia Tatiana Antonova, Saida Guchetl, Maria Iwebor, Tatiana Tchelustnikova.....	91
Relations between spring rainfall and infection of sunflower by <i>Plasmopara halstedii</i> (downy mildew) Denis Tourvieille de Labrouhe, Pascal Walser, Frédéric Serre, Sylvie Roche, Félicity Vear.....	97
Structural aspects regarding formation and emission of <i>Diaporthe (Phomopsis) helianthi</i> ascospores Valentina Androsova, Irina Balakhnina, Thomas Gulya.....	103

- 109 **sunflower downy mildew**
Frédéric Serre, Pascal Walsler, Sylvie Roche, Felicity Vear, Denis Tourvieille de Labrouhe.....
- 115 **Can management of *Pl* genes influence aggressiveness in *Plasmopara halstedii* (sunflower downy mildew)?**
Nachaat Sakt, Jeanne Tourvieille, Pascal Walsler, Felicity Vear, Mireille Ducher, Denis Tourvieille de Labrouhe.....
- 121 **Morphological and molecular identification of *Diaporthe helianthi* from *Xanthium italicum***
Karolina Vrandečić, Drazenka Jurković, Jasenka Cosić, Luca Riccioni, Tomislav Duvnjak Felicity Vear, Hervé Serreys, Aurélie Petit, Frédéric Serre, Jean-Pierre Boudon, Sylvie Roche, Pascal Walsler, Denis Tourvieille de Labrouhe.....
- 125 **Origins of major genes for downy mildew resistance in sunflower**
Roche, Pascal Walsler, Denis Tourvieille de Labrouhe.....
- 131 **Caracterización de la resistencia genética a podredumbre basal en girasol causada por *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* en Argentina**
Daniel Alvarez, Francisco Marraro.....
- 137 **Molecular characterization of a novel *Sunflower chlorotic mottle virus* (SuCMoV) strain**
Nicolas Bejerman, Fabián Giolitti, Sergio Lenardon.....
- 143 **Estimation of sunflower breeding material tolerance to *Diaporthe/Phomopsis helianthi***
Tomislav Duvnjak, Anto Mijić, Ivica Lović, Marija Vratarić, Aleksandra Sudarić, Miroslav Krizmanić, Karolina Vrandečić, Jasenka Cosić.....
- 149 **Study of the expression of shikimate dehydrogenase activity in sunflower genotypes treated with *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum***
Sattar Tahmasebi Entefadi, Gian Paolo Vannozzi, Zohreh Rabei, Ghaseem Abbas Akbari.....
- 157 **Molecular changes in downy mildew-infected sunflower triggered by resistance inducers**
Katalin Korösi, Ferenc Virányi.....
- 163 **Effectiveness of the genetic resistance to *Plasmopara halstedii* under natural conditions and diversity of the pathogen within sunflower fields**
Javier Garcia-López, Rafael Garcia-Ruiz, José Martínez-Aguilar, M. Leire Molinero-Ruiz Denis Tourvieille de Labrouhe, Annette Penaud, Pascal Walsler, Emmanuelle Mestries, Jacques Moïnard, Frédéric Serre, Claire Thiery, Bernard Garric, François Delmotte, Xavier Pimochet.....
- 169 **Determining the sunflower downy mildew risk by soil analysis**
Denis Tourvieille de Labrouhe, Annette Penaud, Pascal Walsler, Emmanuelle Mestries, Jacques Moïnard, Frédéric Serre, Claire Thiery, Bernard Garric, François Delmotte, Xavier Pimochet.....
- 175 **Large scale field evaluations for *Sclerotinia* stalk rot resistance in cultivated sunflower**
Thomas Gulya, Scott Radt, Nikolay Babyshev.....
- 181 **Study on an *in vitro* screening test for resistance to *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* in sunflower**
Miglena Drumeva, Nina Nemova, Ivan Kiryakov.....
- 187 **EST-derived markers highlight genetic relationships among *Plasmopara halstedii* French races**
François Delmotte, Xavier Giresse, Sylvie Richard-Cervera, Felicity Vear, Jeanne Tourvieille, Pascal Walsler, Denis Tourvieille de Labrouhe.....

Effect of sowing date and initial inoculum of <i>Alternaria helianthi</i> on sunflower in the south region of Brazil	
Regina M.V.B.C. Leite, Lilian Amorim, A. Bergamin Filho, Maria Cristina N. de Oliveira, César de Castro.....	193
Effects of nitrogen and water on premature ripening caused by <i>Phoma macdonaldii</i>, a fungal pathogen of sunflower	
Célia Seassau, Emmanuelle Mestries, Philippe Debaeke, Grégory Dechamp-Guillaume....	199
Pathological and morphological evaluation of sunflower isohybrids carrying or not the <i>Rcm-1</i> gene for <i>Sunflower chlorotic mottle virus</i> resistance	
Maria Eugenia Bazzalo, Fabián Giolitti, María Teresa Galella, Alberto León, Sergio Lenardon.....	205
Study of resistance to <i>Sclerotinia</i> head disease in sunflower genotypes	
Sándor Páricsi, Melinda Tar, Rozália Nagyné-Kutni.....	211
<i>Puccinia helianthi</i> Schw., infecciones en híbridos comerciales en Argentina y su evolución durante dos décadas	
N. Huguet, J. Pérez Fernandez, F. Quiroz.....	215
Results regarding the influence of <i>in vitro</i> stress induced by the <i>Phomopsis helianthi</i> filtrate on some physiological indices and on sunflower oil quantity and quality	
Florentina Raducanu, Elena Petcu, Constantin Raducanu, Maria Stanciu, Danil Stanciu....	219
The impact of the new races of broomrape (<i>Orobanche cumana</i> Wallr.) parasite in sunflower crop in Romania	
Maria Pacureanu Joita, Steluta Raranciuc, Emilia Procopovici, Elisabeta Sava, Dumitru Nastase.....	225
Distribution and dissemination of sunflower broomrape (<i>Orobanche cumana</i> Wallr) race F in Southern Spain	
Juan Fernández-Escobar, M. Isabel Rodríguez-Ojeda, Luis Carlos Alonso.....	231
ENTOMOLOGY	
Development of resistance to insect pests attacking the stem and head of cultivated sunflower in the central and northern production areas of North America	
Laurence D. Charlet, Robert M. Aiken, Gerald J. Seiler, Jerry F. Miller, Kathleen A. Grady, Janet J. Knodel.....	237
Integrated pest management of the banded sunflower moth in cultivated sunflower in North Dakota	
Janet J. Knodel, Laurence D. Charlet.....	243
CROP PRODUCTION – MANAGEMENT	
Epicuticular wax content in the pericarp of sunflower fruits (<i>Helianthus annuus</i> L.) grown under moderate water deficit	
María Clara Franchini, Lilia I. Lindström, Luis F. Hernández	249

- The pattern of foraging paths of the Honey bee (*Apis mellifera* L.) can also explain the appearance of located regions with incompletely developed fruits in the sunflower capitulum
Luis F. Hernández..... 255
- The influence of weather conditions on economic characteristics of sunflower hybrids in macro experiments from 1997 to 2007
Branimir Simić, Jasenka Cosić, Ivica Liović, Miroslav Krizmanić, Jelena Postić..... 261
- The appropriate technique for collecting and measuring the amount of floral nectar in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.)
Zvonimir Sakac, Sreten Terzić, Vladimir Miklić 265
- Sunflower and peanut emergence: initial development under sugarcane mulch
Nilza Patricia Ramos, Maria do Carmo de Salvo Soares Novo, Maria Regina Gonçalves Ungaro, Antônio Augusto Lago..... 269
- La agricultura de conservación como sistema viable para combatir el jopo en el girasol
J. R. García-Ruiz, F. Perea-Torres, R. Ordóñez-Fernández, J. García-López..... 275
- Changes in seed oil content of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) as affected by harvesting date
Vladimir Miklić, Simiša Jocić, Dragana Vasić, Nenad Dušančić, Nada Hladni..... 281
- Sunflower yield and root system development under water stress in tropical conditions
Evandro M. Gomes, Maria Regina G. Ungaro, Dirceu B. Vieira..... 287
- Insecticide residues cross-contamination of oilseeds during storage
Sylvie Danguet, Jean-Philippe Loison..... 293
- Evaluating irrigation performance of sunflower in an irrigation scheme of Southern Spain
Franco Castillo-Llanque, Cristina Santos, Ignacio J. Lortie, Margarita García-Villa, Masahiro Tasumi, Javier Estévez, Pedro Gavilán..... 299
- Size reduction of ornamental sunflowers by the application of daminocide
Maria Carolina Sabagh, Francine Lorena Cuquel, Ana Claudia Barneche de Oliveira, Edson Perez Guerra..... 305
- Participatory on-farm sunflower variety evaluation in northern and eastern Uganda
Walter O. Anyanga, Moses Biruma..... 309
- Initial growth of sunflower in soils with high concentrations of boron and heavy metals
Adriana M. Moreno Pires, Cleide A. Abreu, Alaine Renée Coscione, Vinicius Alberti da Silva, Nilza Patricia Ramos..... 315
- Impact des facteurs limitants du rendement du tournesol (*Helianthus annuus* L.) en conditions réelles d'utilisation par les agriculteurs, en Midi-Pyrénées – Etude de cas
V. Thebaud, J.D. Scheiner, J. Dayde..... 319

Planting date effect on yield and yield components of sunflower in Miyaneh region Ali Faramarzi, Mohammad-Bagher Khorshidi.....	325
Some aspects of sunflower crop management in Romania Gheorghe Sin, Marius Botea, Lenuța Drăgan.....	329
Screening and drying conditions for early harvested sunflower Genta Kanai, Hitoshi Kato, Naonobu Umeda, Kensuke Okada, Morio Matsuzaki.....	333
CROP PRODUCTION – PHYSIOLOGY	
Physiological maturity in sunflower. Correspondence between the quantitative and the visual definition Luis F. Hernández, Adelina O. Larsen, Lilia I. Lindström, Liliana B. Iriarte.....	337
Influence of desiccation on germination and field emergence of sunflower Ivica Liović, Marijan Bilandžić, Miroslav Krizmanić, Anto Mijić, Ruža Popović, Ilonka Ivanišić, Tomislav Duvnjak, Branimir Šimić, Jasenka Čosić.....	341
Physiological traits for quantification of drought tolerance in sunflower Elena Petcu, Maria Stanciu, Danil Stanciu, Florentina Raducanu.....	345
El vuelco en el cultivo de girasol: características anatómicas y mecánicas del sistema radical Milena E. Manzur, Antonio J. Hall, Claudio A. Chimenti.....	351
Influence of drought stress on growth, protein expression and osmolyte accumulation in sunflower <i>Helianthus annuus</i> L. c.v. Peredovik Sabine Fulda, Hendrikje Stegmann, Renate Horn.....	357
Development and validation of a model of lodging for sunflower Mariano M. Sposaro, Pete M. Berry, Mark Sterling, Antonio J. Hall, Claudio A. Chimenti	363
Exploring genotypic strategies for sunflower drought resistance by means of a dynamic crop simulation model Pierre Casadebaig, Philippe Debaeke.....	369
Dynamics of dry matter accumulation in sunflower Nenad Dusanic, Vladimir Miklič, Igor Balalic, Velimir Radic, Jovan Crnobarac.....	375
IAA/GA3 quantitative ratio of some sunflower genotypes representing CMS-Rf system Maria Duca, Angela Port.....	381
Genetic-phytohormonal interactions in male fertility and male sterility phenotype expression in sunflower (<i>Helianthus annuus</i> L.) Maria Duca, Angela Port.....	387
Direct and indirect effects of morphophysiological traits on seed yield of sunflower (<i>Helianthus annuus</i> L.) Nada Hladni, Siniša Jocić, Vladimir Miklič, Anto Mijić, Dejana Saftić Panković.....	393
Determination of maximum achene size in sunflower A. Mantese, D. Rondanini, D. Medan, A.J. Hall.....	399
Abscisic acid content of a nondormant sunflower (<i>Helianthus annuus</i> L.) mutant Brady A. Vick, C.C. Jan.....	405
Producción de girasol (<i>Helianthus annuus</i> L.) en valles altos de México J. Alberto Escalante-Estrada, M ^a Teresa Rodríguez-González.....	411

Direct and indirect effects of morphophysiological traits on seed yield of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.)

Nada Hladni¹, Siniša Jocić¹, Vladimir Miklič¹, Anto Mijić², Dejana Saftić Panković¹

¹ Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops, Maksima Gorkog 30, SR- 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia,

E-mail nadah@ifvcns.ns.ac.yu

²The Agricultural Institute Osijek, Južno predgrade 17, HR-31001 Osijek, Croatia

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we have studied the interdependence of seed yield per plant and the following morphophysiological traits: total leaf number per plant, total leaf area per plant, plant height, total seed number per head, head diameter, weight of 1,000 seeds and oil content. Path coefficient analysis was used to separate direct and indirect effects of studied traits on seed yield, and to identify traits that could be used as selection criteria in sunflower breeding. The research was conducted during two vegetation seasons on 21 experimental sunflower hybrids, produced within the breeding program at the Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops. Among the large number of examined traits, significant and highly significant correlations were found. A strong positive correlation between the weight of 1,000 seeds and seed yield (0.791**) was determined. On the contrary, a strong negative correlation between oil content and seed yield was found (-0.649**). The biggest highly significant positive effect on seed yield was determined for the following traits: the weight of 1,000 seeds (0.789**), total seed number per head (0.473**) and total leaf number per plant (0.199**). Total leaf area per plant has demonstrated a significant direct positive effect on seed yield (0.139*). The weight of 1,000 seeds and total seed number per head were the most important traits for seed yield. Based on the coefficient of determination in F₁ generation (R²=0.92), it can be concluded that the influence of all traits involved in the study on total variability of seed yield per plant, was 92%.

Key words: correlations – hybrids – path analysis – quantitative traits.

INTRODUCTION

The basic direction in sunflower breeding at the Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops, Novi Sad is the creation of hybrids with high genetic potential for seed yield (above 5t/ha) and the seed oil content (>50%) providing oil yield per hectare over 2.5 t (Miklič et al., 2008).

Breeding for high seed yield, components of seed yield and creating new sunflower ideotypes demands an increase of genetic variability of sunflower by interspecies hybridization (Škorić et al., 2007).

High seed yield and oil content are the two important criteria for introducing new hybrids in the production. These two traits, however, pose problems for breeders because they are both characterized by low heritability and affected by genotype x environment interaction.

In sunflower breeding for productivity, it is important to find morphophysiological traits, which are easy to score and, at the same time demonstrate a causal connection with the seed yield, and, therefore which could be used as selection criteria (Škorić et al., 2002). The mutual connection of seed yield with morphophysiological traits is often studied by the simple correlation coefficient analysis (Škorić, 1974; Marinković, 1992; Hladni, 2006). Since the simple correlation analysis cannot fully explain the relationships between characters, the path coefficient analysis is introduced for more successful breeding work. This type of analysis enables the partition of correlation coefficients to their components, which, in turn, allows the separation of a direct effect of one variable from indirect effects of other variables, thus giving a clear picture of the individual contribution of each variable to the seed yield.

Positive direct effects of total leaf area and plant height (Hladni et al., 2004), total seed per head (Gonzales et al., 2000) and weight of 1,000 seeds (Marinković, 1992; Gonzales et al., 2000) on seed yield were found. However, different results were obtained for the effects of the oil content on the seed yield. Positive direct effects (Chaudhary and Anand, 1993; Razi and Assad, 1999) as well as negative direct effects (Doddamani et al., 1997) of oil content on seed yield per plant were established.

In this paper, we studied mutual relationships between several morphophysiological traits on one side and seed yield on the other, as well as the direct and indirect effects of these components on seed yield of sunflower hybrids of CMS inbred lines originating from interspecies crosses.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this research, 21 experimental hybrids, developed by using new divergent (A) CMS inbred lines were used. Female inbred lines (NS-GS-1, NS-GS-2, NS-GS-3, NS-GS-4, NS-GS-5, NS-GS-6, NS-GS-7) developed from interspecies hybridization and restorer inbred lines with good combining characteristics (RHA-R-PL-2/1, RHA-N-49, RUS-RF-OL-168) were created at the Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops, Novi Sad.

The experiment was set up at an experimental field of the Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops at Rimski Šančevi, in a randomized complete block system with three replications, during the period of two vegetation seasons. The soil was characterized by 2.8% humus content, moderate content of phosphorus and potassium and pH 6.92 (Vasin et al., 2002).

The basic sample for analysis of the examined trait consisted of thirty plants (ten plants per replication) sampled from middle rows of each block.

Plants in the flowering stage were transferred to the laboratory and the total leaf number per plant (TLN), as well as the total leaf area per plant (TLA; cm²/plant) were measured on the leaf area meter (LI-300-LiCOR, USA). At the stage of physiological maturity the plant height (PH) and head diameter (HD) were measured (cm) in the field. After the harvest, the seed yield (SY) produced in free fertilization for every single plant was measured by technical balance in the laboratory. The number of full seeds per head (total seed number-TSN) was determined by counting. On a random sample of completely pure and air dried seed the weight of 1,000 seeds (M1000S) was determined (g). The analysis of oil content (OC) in seed was carried out nondestructively on a nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) analyzer. The determination of main values and the correlation coefficients (r) was carried out according to Hadživuković (1991). The strength and the direction of the correlation was determined according to the Roemer-Orphalov scale.

Mutual relationships of the examined characteristics and direct and indirect effects on seed yield were analyzed by the path coefficient analysis (Wright, 1921; Dewey and Lu, 1952). Statistical analysis was performed using Mstat C (1991) and SAS System Software (2003) programs.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Knowing the mutual relationships between different yield components as well as the dependence of seed yield on different yield components is an important precondition for a successful application of suitable selection criteria in sunflower breeding. Presence or absence of correlations can contribute to the right choice of examined traits so as to enhance the efficiency of some selection criteria.

Positive highly significant interdependence between SY and M1000S (0.791**), TLA (0.623**), HD (0.446**), TSN (0.369**), is shown in (Table 1). Similar results of highly significant correlations between SY and: M1000S, TSN (Dagustu, 2002; Dušanić et al., 2004), TLA (Merrien et al., 1982; Joksimović et al., 1999; Hladni et al., 2001), and HD (Hladni et al., 2003; Mijić et al., 2006) were obtained by others.

Table 1. Phenotypic coefficient of correlation among analyzed traits

Trait		TLA	PH	HD	TSN	M1000S	OC	SY
		X2	X3	X4	X5	X6	X7	y
TLN	X1	-0.202 ^{ns}	0.566**	-0.452**	-0.075 ^{ns}	0.010 ^{ns}	0.168 ^{ns}	0.087 ^{ns}
TLA	X2		-0.161 ^{ns}	0.602**	0.253*	0.461**	-0.461**	0.623**
PH	X3			-0.544**	0.040 ^{ns}	0.220*	-0.011 ^{ns}	0.199 ^{ns}
HD	X4				0.297*	0.291**	-0.589**	0.446**
TSN	X5					-0.164 ^{ns}	0.090 ^{ns}	0.369**
M1000S	X6						-0.786**	0.791**
OC	X7							-0.649**

** F test significance at level P<0.01 * F test significance at level P<0.05 ns- not significantly different

X1	total leaf number (TLN)	X5	Total seed number per head (TSN)
X2	total leaf area per plant (TLA)	X6	Mass of 1000 seed (M1000S))
X3	plant height (PH)	X7	Oil content (OC)
X4	head diameter (HD)	Y	seed yield per plant (SY)

Highly significant negative interdependence was established between SY and OC (-0.649**), which is in agreement with the research of Doddamani et al. (1997), and in disagreement with the research of Chaudhary and Anand (1993) and Razi et al. (1999).

There was no correlation between TLN and SY, which is in disagreement with the research of Chaudhary and Anand (1993), El-Hosary et al. (1999) and Dagustu (2002), who determined a positive and significant correlation of TLN and SY. Significant positive interdependence was not established

between PH and SY which was detected by others (Marinković, 1992; Hladni i sar., 2003; Mijić et al., 2006).

A positive highly significant interdependence was established between TLN and PH (0.566**); TLA and HD (0.602**); TLA and M1000S (0.461**); HD and M1000S (0.291**). The positive significant connection between TLA and HD was determined by Hladni et al. (2004).

Negative highly significant interdependence was established between M1000S and OC (-0.786**), HD and OC (-0.585**), PH and HD (-0.544**), TLA and OC (-0.461**), TLN and HD (-0.452**). These results are in agreement with the investigations of Punnia and Gill (1994) who determined the negative significant interdependence of M1000S and OC.

The correlation relations were further analyzed by using path coefficient analyses which include the involvement of correlation coefficients in direct and indirect effect on a specific trait (Table 2).

M1000S (0.789**) and TSN (0.473**) have the biggest positive effect on SY, which justifies the existence of a highly significant simple correlation and confirms that these two traits are important components of seed yield. These results are in agreement with the work of Marinković (1992) and Dušanić et al. (2004).

A positive direct influence of TSN on SY was also demonstrated by others (Škorić, 1974; Marinković and Škorić, 1988; Punia and Gill, 1994). A high direct effect of the M1000S on SY was noted both under good water supply conditions as well as under limited water conditions (Razi et al., 1999).

TLN has shown an important direct effect on SY. These results are in agreement with the research of Razi et al. (1999) and Nirmala et al. (2000), but are in disagreement with the results published by Marinković and Škorić (1988).

TLA has shown a positive significant direct effect on seed yield. These results are in agreement with the work by Alba et al. (1979).

Other traits in the investigation have shown a significantly lower direct influence, which means they had an indirect influence through other traits. The direct influence of HD and SY was not significant, which means that the HD had a high and indirect positive influence through M1000S and TSN. Different results were obtained by Green (1980) and Nirmala et al. (2000), who state that HD has a significant direct influence on SY, while according to Škorić (1974), Fick et al. (1974) and Hladni et al. (2004) that influence was negative.

Negative direct influences of OC on SY was not significant which means that OC had a high indirect influence and a negative one through M1000S.

Table 2. Path coefficient analysis of grain yield

Traits	Direct effects	Indirect effects via							r*
		TLN	TLA	PH	HD	TSN	M1000S	OC	
TLN	0.1990*		-0.0281	-0.0373	-0.0151	-0.0354	0.0079	-0.0038	0.082
TLA	0.1390*	-0.0402		0.0106	0.0201	0.1196	0.3637	0.0104	0.6232
PH	-0.0659	0.1126	-0.0224		-0.0182	0.0189	0.1736	0.0002	0.1988
HD	0.0334	-0.0899	0.0837	0.0358		0.1404	0.2296	0.0133	0.4463
TSN	0.4726**	-0.0149	0.0352	-0.0026	0.0099		-0.1294	-0.0020	0.3688
M1000S	0.7889**	0.0020	0.0641	-0.0145	0.0097	-0.0775		0.0178	0.7905
OC	-0.0226	0.0334	-0.0641	0.0007	-0.0197	0.0425	-0.6201		-0.6499

r*- Correlation coefficient

Determination coefficient: R²= 0.918

The differences in acquired results can be explained by different plant material which the authors used in their research.

In sunflower breeding, attention should be paid to the ways in which the increase in morphophysiological components influences the SY.

In this research, with the increase in TLA, HD, M1000S, SY also increased, but OC decreased. Similarly the increase in HD led to the increase in TNL, M1000S and SY, and to the decrease in OC. The increase in TSN and M1000S would cause an increase in SY. In short, the increase in TLN, HD, TSN and M1000S influences the increase in SY.

Path coefficient analysis helped to separate direct and indirect effects of individual traits on SY and identify traits such as M1000S and TSN, which should be used as selection criteria in sunflower breeding.

CONCLUSIONS

A positive highly significant interdependence has been established between seed yield per plant and total leaf area per plant (0.623^{**}), head diameter (0.446^{**}), total seed number per head, (0.369^{**}) and mass of 1000 seed (0.791^{**}). Highly significant negative effect was established between seed yield per plant and oil content (-0.649^{**}).

The path coefficient analysis applied gave a somewhat different picture from what the correlation analysis did. The path coefficient analysis partitioned the direct and indirect effects of the morphophysiological yield components on seed yield of sunflower. It allowed us to detect those components which exhibit the highest effect on yield expression. The data obtained in this investigation, as well as various literature data, indicate that the morphophysiological character: mass of 1000 seed, total seed number per head, total leaf number and total leaf area per plant are the main yield components which should be used as selection criteria in sunflower breeding.

The coefficient of determination (R^2) was 0.92 which indicates that the influence of all traits involved in the study affected 92% of total variability in seed yield per plant.

REFERENCES

- Alba, E., A. Benvenuti, R. Tuberosa, and G.O. Vannozzi. 1979. A path coefficient analysis of some yield components in sunflower. *Helia* 2:25-29.
- Chaudhary, S.K., and I.J. Anand. 1993. Correlation and path-coefficient analysis in F_1 and F_2 generations in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Int. J. Trop. Agric.* 11:204-208.
- Dagustu, N. 2002. Correlations and path coefficient analysis of seed yield components in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Turkish J. Field Crops* 7:15-19.
- Dewey, D.R., and K.H. Lu. 1952. A correlation and path-coefficient analysis of components crested wheat grass seed production. *Agron. J.* 51:515-518.
- Doddamani, I.K., S.A. Patil, and R.L. Ravikumar. 1997. Relationship of autogamy and self-fertility with seed yield and yield components in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Helia* 20(26):95-102.
- Dušanić, N., V. Miklič, J. Joksimović, and J. Atlagić. 2004. Path coefficient analysis of some yield components of sunflower. p. 531-537 (vol. 2). In: Proc. 16th Int. Sunflower Conf., Fargo, ND, USA.
- El-Hosary, A., B. El-Ahmar, and A.E. El-Kasaby. 1999. Association studies in sunflower. *Helia* 22:561-567.
- Fick, G.N., D.E. Zimmer, and D.C. Zimmerman. 1974. Correlation of seed and oil content with other plant and seed characteristics. *Crop Sci.* 14:755-757.
- Gonzales, J., N. Mancuso, and C. Oliva. 2000. Factores genéticos ambientales y correlaciones entre rendimiento y calidad de girasol. p. 105-110 (vol. 2). In: Proc. 15th Int. Sunflower Conf., Toulouse, France.
- Green, V.E. 1980. Correlation and path coefficient analysis of the components of yield in sunflower cultivars (*Helianthus annuus* L.). p. 10-12 (vol. 3). In: Proc. 9th Int. Sunflower Conf., Torremolinos, Spain.
- Hadživuković, S. 1991. Statistički metodi. Drugo prošireno izdanje. Poljoprivredni fakultet, Novi Sad.
- Hladni, N., D. Škorić, and M. Kraljević-Balalić. 2001. Međuzavisnost prinosa i komponenti prinosa kod suncokreta. Zbornik radova sa 1. Međunarodnog Simpozijuma "Hrana u 21. veku", Subotica, Srbija i Crna Gora, 162-167.
- Hladni, N., D. Škorić, and M. Kraljević-Balalić. 2003. Components of phenotypic variability for head diameter in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Genetika* 35:67-75.
- Hladni, N., D. Škorić, M. Kraljević-Balalić, M. Ivanović, Z. Sakač, and D. Jovanović. 2004. Correlation of yield components and seed yield per plant in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). 491-496 (vol. 2). In: Proc. 16th Int. Sunflower Conf., Fargo, ND, USA.
- Hladni, N., D. Škorić, M. Kraljević-Balalić, Z. Sakač, and D. Jovanović. 2006. Combining ability for oil content and its correlations with other yield components in sunflower. *Helia* 29(44):101-110.
- Joksimović, J., J. Atlagić, and D. Škorić. 1999. Path coefficient analysis of some oil yield components in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Helia* 22(31):35-42.
- Marinković, R., and D. Škorić. 1988. Path-coefficient analysis of components of sunflower seed yield (*H. annuus* L.). p. 406-407. In: Proc. 12th Int. Sunflower Conf., Novi Sad, Yugoslavia.
- Marinković R. 1992. Path-coefficient analysis of some yield components of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Euphytica* 60:201-205.
- Miklič, V., N. Hladni, S. Jocić, R. Marinković, J. Atlagić, D. Saftić-Panković, D. Miladinović, N. Dušanić, and S. Gvozdenović. 2008. Sunflower breeding at institute of field and vegetable crops.

- Zbornik radova Instituta za ratarstvo i povrtarstvo, Novi Sad 45(1):31-63.
- Mijić, A., M. Krizmanić, V. Guberac, and S. Marić. 2006. Path koeficijent analiza nekih komponenti prinosa ulja suncokreta (*Helianthus annuus* L.). Poljoprivreda, Osijek 12(1):11-15.
- Merrien, A., R. Blanchet, N. Gelfi, J.P. Rellier, and M. Rollier. 1982. Voies d elaboration ru rendement chez le tournesol sous differents stress hidriques. p. 1-14 (vol. 2). In: Proc. 10th Int. Sunflower Conf. MSTAT-C (Version 2.1.Michigan State University 1991)
- Nirmala, V.S., A. Gopalan, and D. Sassikumar. 2000. Correlation and path-coefficient analysis in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). Madras Agric. J. 86:269-272 .
- Škorić, D. 1974. Correlation among the most important characters of sunflower in F₁ generation. p. 283-289. In: Proc. 6th Int. Sunflower Conf., Bucharest, Romania.
- Škorić, D., R. Marinković, S. Jocić, D. Jovanović, and N. Hladni, N. 2002. Dostignuća i dalji pravci u oplemenjivanju suncokreta i izbor hibrida za setvu u 2002. godini. Zbornik radova Naučnog instituta za ratarstvo i povrtarstvo 36:147-160.
- Škorić, D., S. Jocić, N. Hladni, and G.P. Vannozzi. 2007. An Analysis of heterotic potential for agronomically important traits in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). Helia 30, 46:55-73.
- Patil, B.R., M. Rudradhya, C.H.M. Vijayakumar, H. Basappa, and R.S. Kulkarni. 1996. Correlations and path coefficient analysis in sunflower. J. Oilseeds Res. 13:2:162-166.
- Punna, M.S., and H.S. Gill. 1994. Correlations and path coefficient analysis for seed yield traits in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). Helia 17(20):7-12.
- Razi, H., and M.T. Assad. 1999. Comparison of selection criteria in normal and limited irrigation in sunflower. Euphytica 105:83-90.
- SAS Institute Inc. 2003. SAS for Windows (r) 9.1. Cary, NC. USA.
- Vasin, J., P. Sekulić, and M. Belić. 2002. Characteristics of the chernozem soil at Rimski Šančevi experiments field of Institute of Field and Vegetable crops. Sesiunea anuala omagiala de comunicari si referate stiintifice " 80 de ani de la nasterea prof. dr. Iulian Dracea", 9:153-160.
- Wright, S. 1921. Correlation and causation. J. Agric. Res. 20:557-585.