



## Profile of phenolic compounds in *Trifolium pratense* L. extracts at different growth stages and their biological activities

Sanja Vlaisavljević, Biljana Kaurinović, Mira Popović & Sanja Vasiljević

To cite this article: Sanja Vlaisavljević, Biljana Kaurinović, Mira Popović & Sanja Vasiljević (2017) Profile of phenolic compounds in *Trifolium pratense* L. extracts at different growth stages and their biological activities, International Journal of Food Properties, 20:12, 3090-3101, DOI: [10.1080/10942912.2016.1273235](https://doi.org/10.1080/10942912.2016.1273235)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/10942912.2016.1273235>



© 2017 Taylor & Francis Group, LLC



Published online: 12 Apr 2017.



[Submit your article to this journal](#)



Article views: 904



[View related articles](#)



[View Crossmark data](#)



Citing articles: 14 [View citing articles](#)



## Profile of phenolic compounds in *Trifolium pratense* L. extracts at different growth stages and their biological activities

Sanja Vlaisavljević<sup>a</sup>, Biljana Kaurinović<sup>a</sup>, Mira Popović<sup>a</sup>, and Sanja Vasiljević<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Biochemistry and Environmental Protection, Faculty of Sciences, University of Novi Sad, Novi Sad, Serbia; <sup>b</sup>Department of Forage Crops, Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops, Novi Sad, Serbia

### ABSTRACT

Although *Trifolium pratense* (Red Clover) is considered to be one of the leading crops for livestock grazing, it could also be used as a potential source of bioactive compounds in phytopharmacy. The aim of this study was to investigate the phenolic content and its biological activity at the growth phases (30 cm, 50 cm, and bud) of this plant. The phenolic compounds in methanolic extracts of *T. pratense* leaves at three growth stages, obtained by Microwave Assisted Extraction, were quantified using the HPLC-ESI-MS/MS technique, and their antioxidant and antimicrobial activity were assessed. Isoflavonoids, genistein, and daidzein, as well as other phenols, p-hydroxybenzoic and caffeic acids, kaempferol 3-O-glucoside, quercetin 3-O-glucoside, and hyperoside were found in all the extracts, but the content of these compounds was the highest in the extract of the plant at the lowest growth stage (30 cm, vegetative). Therefore, this extract showed the best antioxidant potential and it was most effective against bacterial strains such as *Escherichia coli* and *Enterobacter aerogenes*. These results indicated that red clover has potential health benefits, and that growth phase contributes to its biological activity. The extract of red clover at the growth stage of 30 cm is a great source of bioactive compounds and could be used in phytotherapy and nutrition.

### ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 29 August 2016  
Accepted 13 December 2016

### KEYWORDS

Antibacterial activity;  
Antioxidant activity;  
HPLC-ESI-MS/MS technique;  
Phenols; *Trifolium pratense* L

## Introduction

*Trifolium pratense* L. (Fabaceae) is widely spread forage crop in temperate and subtropical regions of both hemispheres. In Serbia, red clover is the second most important perennial forage legume.<sup>[1]</sup> Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops in Novi Sad began a concentrated effort on the collection of red clover in order to obtain as much genetic variability as possible for the subsequent breeding of this crop. Research of the current Institute's red clover collection has been started on the characterization and evaluation of the most important morphological, biological, phytochemical, and agronomic traits in the field conditions. This species has both agronomic importance and many health benefits. The bioactive compounds have been identified in this plant exhibit health benefits for humans, including antioxidative and anti-inflammatory properties.<sup>[2,3]</sup> These bioactive compounds also occur in a normal diet, in a transformed form, via meat of livestock that feed on clover as well as milk and dairy products.<sup>[4]</sup> There are several reports on polyphenols with emphasis on isoflavonoid contents.<sup>[5–7]</sup> The isoflavonoids belong to the group of phytoestrogens that are used in hormone replacement therapy.<sup>[8]</sup> It is well known that they are wide spread in many plants.<sup>[9]</sup> The most studies which are related to investigation of their activity and content were focused on extracts *Glycine max* (Soya)<sup>[10]</sup> and *Cimicifuga racemosa*. The aim of some other studies was to evaluate the biological activity of *T. pratense* as a potential source of dietary supplements and herbal remedies administered as an alternative to the conventional hormonal replacement therapy.<sup>[11–13]</sup> The activity and benefits of phenolic compounds in red clover, used in human nutrition, have

received little attention. **Only certain classes of phenolic compounds, presented in red clover**, have been described and mentioned in previous works.<sup>[14–17]</sup> Hence, it encouraged us to extend them through analyzing the phenol profile and study some of *in vitro* biological activities of methanolic extracts. Investigations were carried out on the dry plant at three stages of growth, starting from the assumption that the growth may have a significant influence on the content of phenolic compounds and therefore on the antioxidant and antimicrobial properties. The obtained results could represent a novel opportunity for human food science and health promotion.

Phytochemical investigation of methanolic extracts included qualitative and quantitative determination of 44 selected phenolic compounds using the LC/MS-MS technique. Also, the total phenolics and flavonoids were quantified. The antioxidant activity of the MeOH extracts of *T. pratense* was determined *in vitro* via neutralization of the 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH<sup>\*</sup>) radical, hydroxyl (OH<sup>\*</sup>) radical, superoxide anion (O<sub>2</sub><sup>•-</sup>) radical, nitric oxide (NO<sup>\*</sup>), and hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>), as well as measuring total reducing potential of the examined samples and the inhibition of lipid peroxidation (LPx) in the Fe<sup>2+</sup>/ascorbate induction system. Furthermore, a collection of six test organisms, including both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacterial strains, was used for the evaluation of antibacterial activity.

## Materials and methods

### Standards and reagents

Deionized water was produced using the Elga Lab Water Purelab Option-Q water purification system. HPLC gradient grade methanol and p.a. methanol were purchased from J.T. Baker (Deventer, The Netherlands) (purity > 98% by HPLC), and p.a. formic acid (purity > 98% by HPLC) and DMSO from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Reference standards of the compounds used in this study were obtained from Sigma–Aldrich Chem (Steinheim, Germany), Fluka Chemie GmbH (Buchs, Switzerland), and from Chromadex (Santa Ana, USA).

### Plant material

The leaves of cultivated *Trifolium pratense* L. (*Una* variety) were collected from planting at Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops, BačkoGradište – Rimski Šanac in the Vojvodina Province, Republic of Serbia. Samples: No 1: *Trifolium pratense* L. – 30 cm heights of growth, vegetative, stage of forming leaf rosette; No 2: *Trifolium pratense* L. – 50 cm heights of growth, vegetative, the beginning stage of forming stems and branching; No 3: *Trifolium pratense* L. – bud phase. Red clover herbage was dried at 60°C for about 48 h. Particular attention was paid to making sure that the samples were homogenized and ground to a particle size of  $\bar{A} = 0.8$  mm

### Extracts preparation

The extracts were prepared using the Microwave Assisted Extraction. The method was used for the first time in this study. Experiment was performed with a single-mode Discover BenchMate microwave reactor from CEM Corporation (Matthews NC USA) with a maximum output power of 300 W and with an IR temperature sensor positioned at the bottom of the cavity below the vessel. The microwave reactor covers a variety of reaction conditions in open- (up to 125 mL) and closed-vessel systems (up to 50 mL filling volume). Extraction in the present study was performed in the open-vessel system. Since the experiments were carried out in temperature control mode, the microwave magnetron power constantly regulates itself from a maximum value at the beginning of heating (thus reaching the desired temperature faster) to a lower one after the set temperature of 70°C is reached and also during the experiment to keep the sample of water heated at 70°C. Previously chopped dried plant material (5 g) was placed in the flask (100 mL) and coated with 50 mL of methanol (MeOH). The extraction lasted 20 min. After the filtration

the solvent evaporated *in vacuo* at 45°C. Dried extracts were dissolved in 70% MeOH (v/v) to obtain 100 mg/mL (stock solution).

### **LC-MS/MS quantification of the selected phenols and flavonoids**

The analyses were performed on the Agilent Technologies 1200 series HPLC with Agilent Technologies 6410A series electrospray ionization triple-quadrupole MS/MS with electrospray ionization (ESI). The injection volume for all samples was 5 µL. The separation was achieved using a Zorbax Eclipse XDB-C18 (50 mm × 4.6 mm × 1.8 µm (Agilent Technologies)), and thermostated at 50°C, with a flow rate of 1 mL/min of mobile phase whose composition is: *A* = 0.05% aqueous formic acid, *B* = methanol. Gradient elution was performed using the following solvent gradient: starting with 30% *B*, reaching 70% *B* in 6 min, and holding until 12 min, with post-time of 3 min. Detection of eluted components, ionized by ESI was performed in dynamic Selected Reactions Monitoring mode (SRM), in following ion source: negative ion polarity, nebulizer gas (N<sub>2</sub>), flow 9 L/min, pressure 40 psi, temperature 350° C, capillary voltage 4 kV. Peak areas in the chromatograms were read using the Agilent Mass Hunter Workstation Software-Qualitative Analysis (Ver. B.03.01). The calibration curves were constructed from peak areas of different concentrations of the reference standard (from 1.53 ng/mL to 25.0.10<sup>3</sup> ng/mL) using the equation for linear regression obtained from the calibration curves ( $R^2 > 0.995$ ). LC-MS/MS data for standard compounds are presented in [Table 1](#).

### **Total phenolic content**

A modification of the method of Fukumoto and Mazz<sup>[18]</sup> was performed.<sup>[19]</sup> Extracts were used in concentration of 0.125, 0.25 and 0.5 mg ml<sup>-1</sup>. Gallic acid (0.625 to 100 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>), was used as a standard. Thirty microliters of each extract or standard solution, except in a blank probe when only the solvent was used, was added to 150 µL of 0.1 molL<sup>-1</sup> FC reagent and mixed with 120 µL of sodium carbonate (7.5%) after 10 min. The mixture was incubated in the dark at room temperature (2 h). The absorbance of the resulting solution was measured at 760 nm. The phenolics concentration was determined by comparison with the standard calibration curve of gallic acid, and results are presented as a mean value of triplicate tests. The total phenol value was expressed as milligrams of gallic acid equivalents (GAE) per gram of dry weight (dw) of extract, calculated according to the standard calibration curve.

### **Total flavonoid content**

The aluminum chloride colorimetric assay described by Jia et al.<sup>[19]</sup> adapted for 96-well microplates, was used to determine the total content of flavonoids. Test samples were prepared in concentrations 1.0, 2.0, and 4.0 mg ml<sup>-1</sup>, whereas quercetin solutions were prepared ranging from 0.625 to 80 µg ml<sup>-1</sup> and used as a standard. Thirty microliters of extract or standard solution was diluted with 90 µL of methanol, and 6 µL of 10% aluminum chloride (substituted with distilled water in blank probe), 6 µL of 1 mol/L potassium acetate, and 170 µL of distilled water were added. Absorbance at 415 nm was determined after 30 min. All samples were made in triplicate, and mean values of flavonoid content are expressed as milligrams of quercetin equivalents (QE) per gram of dry weight (dw) of extract, calculated according to the standard calibration curve.

### **DPPH Assay**

Plant extracts were tested for the scavenging effect on the DPPH radical according to Sanchez-Moreno et al.<sup>[20]</sup> Synthetic antioxidants, BHT and BHA, were used as a positive control. Ten microliters of the examined extract solutions, in series of different concentrations (2.50–125.00 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>), was added to 100 µL of 90 µmolL<sup>-1</sup> DPPH solution in methanol and the mixture was diluted with 190 µL of methanol. In control, the exact amount of the extract was substituted with solvent,

**Table 1.** LC-MS/MS data for standard compounds.

| Compound                   | LC-MS/MS conditions for quantification of standard compounds |                     |                   |                      |                        |
|----------------------------|--|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
|                            | Retention time (min)   | Precursor ion (m/z) | Product ion (m/z) | Collision energy (V) | Fragmentor voltage (V) |
| Hinic acid                 | 0.52   | 191                 | 85                | 20                   | 150                    |
| Gallic acid                | 0.61   | 169                 | 125               | 10                   | 90                     |
| Catechin                   | 0.75   | 289                 | 245               | 10                   | 150                    |
| Protocatechuic acid        | 0.80   | 153                 | 109               | 9                    | 105                    |
| Epigallocatechin gallate   | 0.80   | 457                 | 169               | 16                   | 165                    |
| Chlorogenic acid           | 0.84   | 353                 | 191               | 10                   | 100                    |
| Epicatechin                | 1.01   | 289                 | 245               | 10                   | 150                    |
| 2,5-Dihydroxybenzoic acid  | 1.05   | 153                 | 109               | 9                    | 100                    |
| p-Hydroxybenzoic acid      | 1.11   | 137                 | 93                | 10                   | 80                     |
| Aesculetin                 | 1.12   | 177                 | 133               | 15                   | 105                    |
| Caffeic acid               | 1.17   | 179                 | 135               | 10                   | 100                    |
| Vanillic acid              | 1.26   | 167                 | 108               | 15                   | 100                    |
| Syringic acid              | 1.32   | 197                 | 182               | 7                    | 90                     |
| p-Coumaric acid            | 1.68   | 163                 | 119               | 9                    | 90                     |
| Scopoletin                 | 1.73   | 191                 | 176               | 8                    | 80                     |
| Umbelliferone              | 1.76   | 161                 | 133               | 19                   | 120                    |
| Ferulic acid               | 1.89   | 193                 | 134               | 11                   | 90                     |
| Sinapic acid               | 1.89   | 223                 | 193               | 17                   | 100                    |
| Vitexin                    | 1.91   | 431                 | 311               | 22                   | 200                    |
| Luteolin 7-O-glucoside     | 2.15   | 447                 | 285               | 30                   | 230                    |
| Hyperoside                 | 2.18   | 463                 | 300               | 30                   | 200                    |
| Rutin                      | 2.21   | 609                 | 300               | 42                   | 135                    |
| Quercetin 3-O-glucoside    | 2.25   | 463                 | 300               | 30                   | 210                    |
| Apiin                      | 2.61   | 563                 | 269               | 36                   | 250                    |
| o-Coumaric acid            | 2.63   | 163                 | 119               | 5                    | 100                    |
| Myricetin                  | 2.70   | 317                 | 179               | 20                   | 150                    |
| Apigenin 7-O-glucoside     | 2.71   | 447                 | 284               | 30                   | 190                    |
| Quercitrin                 | 2.78   | 447                 | 300               | 27                   | 190                    |
| Kaempferol 3-O-glucoside   | 2.85   | 431                 | 268               | 41                   | 135                    |
| Secoisolariciresinol       | 2.87   | 361                 | 165               | 26                   | 130                    |
| 3,4-Dimethoxycinnamic acid | 3.00   | 207                 | 103               | 7                    | 110                    |
| Baicalin                   | 3.36   | 445                 | 269               | 22                   | 140                    |
| Daidzein                   | 3.43   | 253                 | 208               | 31                   | 145                    |
| Matairesinol               | 3.66   | 357                 | 122               | 24                   | 130                    |
| Quercetin                  | 3.68   | 301                 | 151               | 15                   | 130                    |
| Naringenin                 | 3.86   | 271                 | 151               | 16                   | 130                    |
| Cinnamic acid              | 3.91   | 147                 | 103               | 5                    | 100                    |
| Luteolin                   | 3.96   | 285                 | 133               | 25                   | 135                    |
| Genistein                  | 4.13   | 269                 | 133               | 32                   | 145                    |
| Kaempferol                 | 4.52   | 285                 | 285               | 0                    | 130                    |
| Apigenin                   | 4.64   | 269                 | 117               | 25                   | 130                    |
| Isorhamnetin               | 4.79   | 315                 | 300               | 21                   | 160                    |
| Chrysoeriol                | 4.81   | 299                 | 284               | 20                   | 125                    |
| Baicalein                  | 5.15   | 269                 | 269               | 0                    | 165                    |
| Amentoflavone              | 5.81   | 537                 | 375               | 35                   | 220                    |

and in the blank probe, only methanol (290  $\mu\text{L}$ ) and extract (10  $\mu\text{L}$ ) were mixed. After 1 h, measurements of absorbance were done at 515 nm.

### **Hydroxyl-radical ( $\text{HO}^{\bullet}$ ) scavenger capacity assay**

Scavenging capacity was determined by monitoring the chemical degradation of 2-deoxy-D-ribose.<sup>[21]</sup> A 50  $\mu\text{molL}^{-1}$  solution of 2-deoxy-D-ribose in phosphate buffer pH 7.4 (0.1 mL) was mixed with 20  $\mu\text{L}$  of extract (concentration ranging from 2.5 to 100  $\mu\text{gml}^{-1}$ ) or solvent in control, 0.1 mL  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  (0.015%), 0.1 mL  $\text{FeSO}_4$  (10  $\text{mmolL}^{-1}$ ) and diluted with 2.7 mL of phosphate buffer, pH 7.4. Three milliliters of phosphate buffer and 20  $\mu\text{L}$  of extract were added in the blank probe. After incubation at 37°C for 1 h, a 0.1 mol/L EDTA solution (0.2 mL) was added to all the samples. Thiobarbituric

acid-reactivity was developed by adding 2 mL of aqueous mixture containing TBA (3.75 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>), HClO<sub>4</sub> (1.3%), and trichloroacetic acid (0.15 g mL<sup>-1</sup>), followed by heating at 100°C for 10 min. The absorbance of the cooled mixtures was measured at 532 nm.

### **Superoxide anion scavenger capacity (O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>) assay**

The capability of extracts to neutralize superoxide anion formed by the reduction of nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT) with NADH mediated by phenazinemetosulfate (PMS) under aerobic conditions was conducted according to Cos et al. (1998).<sup>[22]</sup> The mixture of 0.2 mL NBT (144 μmol L<sup>-1</sup>), 10 μL of extract (concentration ranging from 2.50 to 125.0 μg mL<sup>-1</sup>, substituted with solvent in control), 0.1 mL of NADH (0.68 mmol L<sup>-1</sup>), and freshly prepared PMS (60 μmol L<sup>-1</sup>) was diluted with 1.1 mL of phosphate buffer, pH 8.3. The blank probe was prepared by mixing 1.5 mL of buffer and 10 μL of extract. Absorbance was measured at 560 nm after 5 min.

### **NO scavenger capacity assay**

Test of nitric oxide radical (NO<sup>•</sup>) scavenging capacity was based on method of Green et al. (1982), adapted for 96-well microplates.<sup>[23]</sup> The reaction mixture containing sodium nitroprusside (10 mmol L<sup>-1</sup>, 75 μL), phosphate buffer, pH 7.4 (75 μL) and extract (10 μL, concentration ranging from 2.50 to 125.0 μg mL<sup>-1</sup>) or standard solution (BHT, BHA) was incubated at 25° C for 90 min. Ten microliters of extract and 150 μL of buffer were added in the blank probe. After incubation, 150 μL of solution prepared by mixing equal amounts of sulfanilamide (2% in 4% phosphoric acid) and *N*-(1-naphthyl) ethylenediaminedihydrochloride (0.2%) was added to the reaction mixture and allowed to stand for 3 min. The absorbance of these solutions was measured at 546 nm against appropriate blanks.

### **Reduction of the H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>**

Scavenging activity on H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was carried out according to the method of Ruch et al. (1989).<sup>[24]</sup> A solution of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (40 mM) was freshly prepared in 0.05 M KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). The samples (from 2.50 to 50.00 μg mL<sup>-1</sup>) were mixed with phosphate buffer (3.4 mL) and 40 mM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (0.6 mL).

### **Inhibition of lipid peroxidation**

The inhibition of lipid peroxidation was modified from the methods of Buege and Aust<sup>[25]</sup> and Fukuzawa et al.<sup>[26]</sup> The inhibition of lipid peroxidation was determined by measuring the intense color of adduct produced in the reaction between 2-thiobarbituric acid (TBA) and malondialdehyde (MDA), as an oxidation product in the peroxidation of membrane lipids. The commercial preparation of liposomes 'PRO-LIPO S' (Lucas-Meyer) pH = 5-7 was used as a model system of biological membranes. The liposomes, 225-250 nm in diameter, were obtained by dissolving the commercial preparation in demineralized water (1:10), in an ultrasonic bath. The content of the MDA (TBARS) was determined by measuring the absorbance of adduct at 532 nm. In a test tube, a suspension of liposomes (50 μL) was incubated with 0.01 M FeSO<sub>4</sub> (20 μL), 0.01 M ascorbic acid (20 μL), and extract (10 μL; concentration ranging from 0.5 to 100 μg mL<sup>-1</sup>, substituted with solvent in control) in 0.05 M KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> buffer (2.90 mL, pH 7.4, 3 mL final solution). Samples were incubated at 37°C for 1 h. LP was terminated using the reaction with 1.5 mL of TBA reagent and 0.2 or 0.1 mL of EDTA, heated at 100°C for 20 min. After precipitated proteins were cooled and centrifuged (4000 rpm for 10 min), the content of the MDA (TBARS) was determined by measuring the absorbance of adduct at 532 nm.

### Reducing power – FRAP assay

To evaluate the reducing power of the extracts, the ferric ion reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) assay,<sup>[27]</sup> modified for 96-well microplates, was undertaken. Extracts were prepared in concentration of 0.5, 1.0, and 2.0 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>, in which ascorbic acid ranging from 1.25 to 140 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> was used to create a standard curve. FRAP reagent was prepared by mixing 10 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> 2,4,6-tripyridyl-s-triazine (TPTZ) in 40 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> HCl, 0.02 mol/L FeCl<sub>3</sub>, and acetate buffer, pH 3.6, in ratio of 1:1:10, respectively. Following the addition of extract or ascorbic acid (10 µL) to 290 µL of FRAP reagent (substituted with distilled water in the blank probe), absorbance at 593 nm was determined after 6 min. Three replicates were performed for each sample and mean values of reducing power were expressed as milligrams of ascorbic acid equivalents (AAE) per gram of dry weight of extract, calculated according to the standard calibration curve.

### Antimicrobial activity

#### Bacterial cultures

The antimicrobial activity of the extract tested against the bacterial strains: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (ATCC 35554), *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 11775), *Enterococcus faecalis* (ATCC 19433), *Enterobacter aerogenes* (ATCC 13048), *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 25923), and *Bacillus cereus* (ATCC 11778). Microorganism strains were employed for the determination of antimicrobial activity. The cultures of the test bacteria were grown 20–24 h in Müller-Hinton agar (Torlak, Belgrade, Serbia) at 37°C.

#### Disc diffusion assay

Evaluation of the antibacterial activity of the samples was performed according to the Kirby–Bauer disk-susceptibility standard method. Agar disc diffusion method was used for the evaluation of the antibacterial activity of the samples. The strains were grown on Mueller–Hinton agar slants at 37°C for 24 h and checked for purity. After the incubation, the cells were washed off the surface of agar and suspended in sterile physiological solution. The number of cells in 1 mL of suspension for inoculation measured by McFarland nefelometer was 5×10<sup>7</sup> CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>.<sup>[28]</sup> One mL of this suspension was homogenized with 9 mL of melted (45°C) Mueller–Hinton agar and poured into Petri dishes. On the surface of the agar, 6 mm diameter paper discs (Bioanalyse Ltd., Ankara, Turkey) were applied and impregnated with 15 µL of samples. The plates were incubated 24 h at 37°C and the diameters of the resulting zones of inhibition (ZI) were measured and expressed in mm.<sup>[28]</sup> The diameters of the resulting zones of inhibition (ZI) were measured and expressed in mm.

#### Microdilution assay

This method was performed in order to determine the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC).<sup>[29]</sup> Minimal concentration of the extracts which prevents the visible growth of bacteria represents the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC). Microtiter plates (Spektar, Čačak, Serbia) were filled with nutrient broth, double dilution series of essential oils (from 0.35 to 45.4 % v/v) and suspension of bacteria in the ratio 10:1:0.1. Tests were performed in triplicates at each concentration. Microtiter plates were left at 37°C over night and the growth was compared with control well without oils. Determination of MBC was done when 100 µL from the well with no turbidity was plated in Petri plates with nutrient agar and left for 24 h. Two fold dilutions of essential oils in 0.5% v/v TWEEN 80 due to their lipophilicity were analyzed in the concentration range from pure oil to 1:500. Control wells without tested compounds or tested bacteria were also included for each plate. Minimal concentration of the extracts which prevents the visible growth of bacteria represents the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC).

## Statistical analysis

All measurements were performed in triplicate. The percentage of inhibition  $I$  (%) (obtained by different concentration) for each radical species was calculated using the following equation:  $I$  (%) =  $100 \times (A_{\text{blank}} - A_{\text{sample}}) / A_{\text{blank}}$ , where  $A_{\text{blank}}$  was the absorbance of the control reaction and  $A_{\text{sample}}$  was the absorbance of the examined samples, corrected for the value of the blank probe. From the obtained  $I$  (%) values, the  $IC_{50}$  values (which represented the concentrations of the examined extracts that caused 50% neutralization) were determined by linear regression analysis, using Origin software, version 8.0. All of the results were expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD of three different trials. A comparison of the group means and the significance between the groups were verified by one-way ANOVA. Statistical significance was set at  $p < 0.05$ .

## Results and discussion

### LC-MS/MS analysis of the selected phenols and flavonoids

Evaluation of phytochemical composition of extracts of samples No1 (30 cm growth), No2 (50 cm growth), and No3 (bud phase) included qualitative and quantitative analysis of 43 selected phenolic components (15 phenolic acids, 23 flavonoids, 3 coumarins, and 2 lignans; Table 1) using a specific and highly selective LC-MS/MS technique, whilst the MRM mode was applied as the preferred acquisition method. Targeted MS analysis using MRM allows monitoring of the specific ions of targeted analytes, which enables more accurate quantification and increases the lower detection limit. Data concerning the content of examined phenolics are presented in Table 2.

From the literature data it can be concluded that isoflavones are the most common compounds in *T. pratense* extracts. Plant isoflavones are mostly present in the glycoside form in plant. They can be transformed into their corresponding aglycones by intestinal microflora, high temperature or by addition of acid.<sup>[5,7,14]</sup>

However, tested extracts in this work contained many other phenols. Their identification is also important for a better understanding of the biochemical mechanisms and interactions which occur between them. This the first study done on the Una variety of red clover phenolic production related to the growth stage under the environmental conditions typical of Vojvodina, Serbia (45°39'58.02"N 19°04'51.16"E).

Daidzein and genistein were detected in all three methanolic extracts of samples No1 (30 cm growth), No2 (50 cm growth), and No3 (bud). The highest content of these compounds was determined in the sample No1 (1.527  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  of daidzein and 0.588  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  of genistein). This result (Table 2) can be explained by the fact that the difference in daidzein and genistein content among the growth stages reflects biochemical changes occurring during growth, as well as differences in reactions to biotic and abiotic stresses at different growth stages.<sup>[15]</sup>

Fifteen phenolic acids were examined in this study. The largest amount of caffeic acid was detected in the sample of *T. pratense* No 1 (30 cm growth) (1.041  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ), while protocatechuic acid, 2,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid and p-coumaric acid were found only in the extracts of No 1 (30 cm growth) and No 2 (50 cm growth). In addition, very low amounts of apigenin and luteolin were quantified in all three samples. Evidently, investigated *T. pratense* extracts were rich in glycosides, kaempferol 3-O-glucoside, quercetin 3-O-glucoside (8.544  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ; 24.243  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  in extract No1-30 cm growth) while their corresponding aglycones were found in the traces (kaempferol, quercetin). The most dominant flavonol glycosides identified in all three samples of *T. pratense* was hyperoside that possess cytoprotective properties, but the largest amount of this compound was found in sample No 1 (30 cm growth) (45.259  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ). Furthermore, attempts were made to detect selected compounds from other classes of flavonoids, such as flavanones or flavonols, but only naringenin was detected in No1 (30 cm growth) and No2 (50 cm growth) extracts. Interestingly, the derivate of coumarin, aesculetin, was detected in all of three samples of *T. pratense*, whereas it was the most common in the sample No1 (30 cm growth). The most dominant, health-benefiting phenols were found in extract of the *T. pratense* in the lowest growth



**Table 2.** Quantification of selected phenolics in examined *T. pratense* MeOH extracts.

| Compound                   | Content of selected phenolics in <i>T. pratense</i> extract ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ of dw) |                                |                                |
|----------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|                            | No 1  | No 2                           | No 3                           |
| <b>Phenolic acids</b>      |   |                                |                                |
| Hinic acid                 | nd <sup>b</sup>   | Nd                             | nd                             |
| Galic acid                 | nd  | Nd                             | nd                             |
| Protocatechuic acid        | 0.197 $\pm$ 0.004 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.079 $\pm$ 0.003 <sup>b</sup> | nd                             |
| Chlorogenic acid           | nd  | Nd                             | nd                             |
| 2,5-Dihydroxybenzoic acid  | 0.088 $\pm$ 0.001 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.087 $\pm$ 0.001 <sup>a</sup> | nd                             |
| p-Hydroxybenzoic acid      | 0.713 $\pm$ 0.034 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.180 $\pm$ 0.021 <sup>b</sup> | 0.042 $\pm$ 0.004 <sup>c</sup> |
| Caffeic acid               | 1.041 $\pm$ 0.052 <sup>a</sup>  | <sup>b</sup> 0.922 $\pm$ 0.043 | 0.086 $\pm$ 0.011 <sup>c</sup> |
| Vanillic acid              | nd  | Nd                             | nd                             |
| Syringic acid              | nd  | Nd                             | nd                             |
| p-Coumaric acid            | 0.246 $\pm$ 0.027 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.080 $\pm$ 0.013 <sup>b</sup> | nd                             |
| Ferulic acid               | nd  | Nd                             | nd                             |
| Sinapic acid               | nd  | Nd                             | nd                             |
| o-Coumaric acid            | nd  | Nd                             | nd                             |
| 3,4-Dimethoxycinnamic acid | nd  | Nd                             | nd                             |
| Cinnamic acid              | nd  | Nd                             | nd                             |
| <b>Flavonoids</b>          |   |                                |                                |
| Apigenin                   | 0.320 $\pm$ 0.037 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.144 $\pm$ 0.041 <sup>b</sup> | 0.012 $\pm$ 0.001 <sup>c</sup> |
| Apigenin 7-O-glucoside     | 0.288 $\pm$ 0.052 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.034 $\pm$ 0.001 <sup>b</sup> | 0.014 $\pm$ 0.002 <sup>c</sup> |
| Apigein                    | nd  | Nd                             | nd                             |
| Vitexin                    | 0.246 $\pm$ 0.001 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.080 $\pm$ 0.001 <sup>b</sup> | Nd                             |
| Amentoflavone              | 0.345 $\pm$ 0.001 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.018 $\pm$ 0.002 <sup>b</sup> | Nd                             |
| Baicalein                  | nd  | Nd                             | Nd                             |
| Baicalin                   | nd  | Nd                             | Nd                             |
| Daidzein                   | 1.527 $\pm$ 0.077 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.878 $\pm$ 0.064 <sup>b</sup> | 0.121 $\pm$ 0.031 <sup>c</sup> |
| Genistein                  | 0.588 $\pm$ 0.056 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.561 $\pm$ 0.038 <sup>a</sup> | 0.031 $\pm$ 0.004              |
| Isorhamnetin               | nd  | Nd                             | Nd                             |
| Kaempferol                 | 0.062 $\pm$ 0.001   | 0.015 $\pm$ 0.001              | Nd                             |
| Kaempferol 3-O-glucoside   | 8.544 $\pm$ 0.095   | 1.344 $\pm$ 0.081              | 0.277 $\pm$ 0.049              |
| Chrysoeriol                | nd  | Nd                             | Nd                             |
| Luteolin                   | 0.229 $\pm$ 0.032 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.028 $\pm$ 0.003 <sup>b</sup> | 0.011 $\pm$ 0.001 <sup>c</sup> |
| Luteolin 7-O-glucoside     | nd  | 0.032 $\pm$ 0.004              | Nd                             |
| Quercetin                  | 0.128 $\pm$ 0.042 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.018 $\pm$ 0.002 <sup>b</sup> | 0.017 $\pm$ 0.002 <sup>b</sup> |
| Quercetin 3-O-glucoside    | 24.243 $\pm$ 0.371 <sup>a</sup>   | 3.137 $\pm$ 0.109 <sup>b</sup> | 0.817 $\pm$ 0.016 <sup>c</sup> |
| Quercitrin                 | nd  | Nd                             | Nd                             |
| Hyperoside                 | 45.259 $\pm$ 0.628 <sup>a</sup>   | 5.624 $\pm$ 0.212 <sup>b</sup> | 1.540 $\pm$ 0.059 <sup>c</sup> |
| Rutin                      | 0.157 $\pm$ 0.027 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.020 $\pm$ 0.001 <sup>b</sup> | Nd                             |
| Myricetin                  | nd  | Nd                             | Nd                             |
| Naringenin                 | 0.062 $\pm$ 0.005   | 0.014 $\pm$ 0.002              | Nd                             |
| Epicatechin                | nd  | Nd                             | Nd                             |
| Catechin                   | nd  | Nd                             | Nd                             |
| Epigallocatechin gallate   | nd  | Nd                             | Nd                             |
| <b>Coumarins</b>           |   |                                |                                |
| Umbelliferon               | nd  | Nd                             | Nd                             |
| Aesculetin                 | 0.606 $\pm$ 0.033 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.293 $\pm$ 0.028 <sup>b</sup> | 0.076 $\pm$ 0.017 <sup>c</sup> |
| Scopoletin                 | nd  | Nd                             | Nd                             |
| <b>Lignans</b>             |   |                                |                                |
| Matairesinol               | nd  | Nd                             | Nd                             |
| Secoisolaricresinol        | nd  | Nd                             | Nd                             |

<sup>b</sup>Nd – not detected Data are means  $\pm$ SD of three measurements. In each different letters mean significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ )

stage. In view of the quantitative content of main phenolic compounds, there are some implications for potential biological activity of this sample. The quantified polyphenols play an important role in prevention of cancers, cardiovascular diseases, expressing strong antioxidant effects, increasing the activities of antioxidant enzymes and related to that and also decreasing the oxidative damage to tissues.<sup>[12,16]</sup> Therefore, further investigation should be directed toward wider quantification and identification of other bioactive compounds presented in the plant at the lowest growth stage (30 cm growth, vegetative) as well as investigation of *in vivo* antioxidant activity.

### Total phenolic content, total flavonoid content, and in vitro antioxidant activity

The data presented in Table 3 indicated that the highest total phenol as well as flavonoid content of *T. pratense* was observed in the extract No 1 (30 cm growth). There are no reports of studies on antioxidant activity of *T. pratense* in different growth stage. The antioxidant activity of *T. pratense* extracts has been evaluated in a series of *in vitro* assays. Methanolic extracts of samples No1 (30 cm growth), No2 (50 cm growth), and No3 (bud phase) were evaluated for their scavenging capacity of DPPH<sup>•</sup>, OH<sup>•</sup>, O<sub>2</sub><sup>•-</sup>, NO<sup>•</sup> radicals, neutralization of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and inhibition of LP and their activity compared to synthetic antioxidants BHA and BHT. Obtained results were given in Table 3. According to the results obtained for the values of investigated system, it can be concluded that all of the assessed extracts were able to reduce the stable radical DPPH, but the extract of the sample No1 (30 cm growth) was exhibited by shown the best scavenging capacity (22.98±0.14 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>). The greatest ability to neutralize O<sub>2</sub><sup>•-</sup> has extract of *T. pratense* No1 (30 cm growth) (25.73±0.36) and this ability is a bit weaker compared to the one exhibited by the synthetic antioxidants. Similar results were obtained examining the neutralization of NO radical where the extract No1 (30 cm growth) exhibited the strongest inhibitory effect (11.23±0.45 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>). Inhibition of NO radicals is very significant, having in mind the ability to neutralize the superoxide anion radicals as well. The extract No1 (30 cm growth) is especially suited in this process since it neutralizes both superoxide anion radical and NO radical. Scavenging capacity of all extracts to OH<sup>•</sup> is significantly higher than those of BHT and BHA. Hence, *T. pratense* extracts can be used to minimize the adverse effects from the hydroxyl radicals. Hydrogen peroxide itself is not very reactive, but it could be toxic to cells because of its ability to penetrate biological membrane. Based on the results of the spectrophotometric measurement of the H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, all the examined *T. pratense* extracts showed the ability to remove H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. However, this ability is a bit weaker compared to the one exhibited by the synthetic antioxidants, BHT and BHA. All tested extracts exhibited much weaker protective effect of the process of lipid peroxidation than synthetic antioxidants. Among them, the strongest inhibitory effect was shown by MeOH extract No1 (30 cm growth) (53.07±1.72 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>). The extracts No1 showed the strongest antioxidant potential which could be explained by higher content of phenolic compounds presented in it.

### Antibacterial activity

The antibacterial activity of different isoflavones has been described in many studies.<sup>[30]</sup> The antibacterial activity of *T. pratense* extracts against human pathogenic bacteria was not previously

**Table 3.** Total phenolics and flavonoid content, antioxidant potential of extracts of *T. pratense* extracts and standard compounds.

|                      |  | T. pretense                |                            |                            | Standards                 |                           |
|----------------------|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
|                      |  | No1                        | No3                        | No2                        | BHA                       | BHT                       |
| Content              | Total phenolics (mg gallic acid equivalents g <sup>-1</sup> of dw) | 41.96 ± 0.93 <sup>5a</sup> | 36.00 ± 0.55 <sup>b</sup>  | 30.99 ± 1.02 <sup>c</sup>  | -                         | -                         |
|                      | Total flavonoids (mg quercetin equivalents g <sup>-1</sup> of dw)  | 7.32 ± 0.59 <sup>a</sup>   | 5.78 ± 0.30 <sup>b</sup>   | 3.87 ± 0.23 <sup>c</sup>   | -                         | -                         |
| Antioxidant activity | IC <sub>50</sub> values (µg/mL for extracts)                       |                            |                            |                            |                           |                           |
|                      | DPPH <sup>•</sup>  | 22.98 ± 0.14 <sup>c</sup>  | 35.09 ± 0.51 <sup>d</sup>  | 44.85 ± 1.32 <sup>e</sup>  | 11.07 ± 0.07 <sup>a</sup> | 14.32 ± 0.24 <sup>b</sup> |
|                      | HO <sup>•</sup>  | 188.42 ± 1.65 <sup>c</sup> | 204.30 ± 1.84 <sup>d</sup> | 213.06 ± 0.28 <sup>e</sup> | 119.88 ± 0.2 <sup>b</sup> | 108.73 ± 1.3 <sup>a</sup> |
|                      | O <sub>2</sub> <sup>•-</sup>                                       | 25.73 ± 0.36 <sup>c</sup>  | 27.40 ± 6.25 <sup>c</sup>  | 30.77 ± 1.20 <sup>d</sup>  | 8.53 ± 0.49 <sup>a</sup>  | 10.12 ± 0.34 <sup>b</sup> |
|                      | NO <sup>•</sup>  | 11.23 ± 0.45 <sup>c</sup>  | 33.34 ± 0.63 <sup>d</sup>  | 42.89 ± 1.05 <sup>e</sup>  | 62.33 ± 0.10 <sup>a</sup> | 87.90 ± 0.18 <sup>b</sup> |
|                      | H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>                                      | 32.43 ± 0.39 <sup>c</sup>  | 44.10 ± 1.61 <sup>d</sup>  | 55.08 ± 1.05 <sup>e</sup>  | 17.20 ± 0.60 <sup>a</sup> | 20.67 ± 0.63 <sup>b</sup> |
|                      | LP   | 53.07 ± 1.72 <sup>c</sup>  | 68.30 ± 1.12 <sup>d</sup>  | 74.90 ± 1.86 <sup>e</sup>  | 34.76 ± 1.14 <sup>b</sup> | 26.08 ± 0.98 <sup>a</sup> |
|                      | Reducing power (mg of ascorbic acid equivalents (AAE)/g of dw)     |                            |                            |                            |                           |                           |
| FRAP                 | 428 ± 2.60 <sup>c</sup>  | 411.40 ± 0.98 <sup>d</sup> | 376.90 ± 2.35 <sup>e</sup> | 587.70 ± 1.80 <sup>a</sup> | 54.20 ± 1.79 <sup>b</sup> |                           |

<sup>5</sup>Values are means ± SD of three measurements; a,b,c,d,e means in the same row not sharing the same superscript are significantly different ( $p < 0.01$ ).

**Table 4.** Evaluated antibacterial activity of *T. pratense* extracts.

| Tested sample   | Disc diffusion assay (Inhibition zone measured in mm) |                           |                               |                              |                              |                           |
|---|---|---------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
|   | Test microorganisms                                   |                           |                               |                              |                              |                           |
|   | <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>                         | <i>Escherichia coli</i>   | <i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i> | <i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> | <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> | <i>Bacillus cereus</i>    |
| <i>T. pratense</i> No1                                    | abs*  | 13.4 ± 0.25 <sup>5b</sup> | 12.5 ± 0.68 <sup>b</sup>      | 8.6 ± 1.38 <sup>b</sup>      | 7.4 ± 0.64 <sup>b</sup>      | 9.30 ± 0.74 <sup>b</sup>  |
| <i>T. pratense</i> No2                                    | abs   | 5 ± 0.09 <sup>c</sup>     | 3.9 ± 1.04 <sup>c</sup>       | 2.3 ± 1.74 <sup>c</sup>      | 1.2 ± 0.46 <sup>c</sup>      | 2.59 ± 0.77 <sup>c</sup>  |
| Streptomycin  | 13.2 ± 0.11   | 29 ± 0.55 <sup>a</sup>    | 18 ± 0.55 <sup>a</sup>        | 14 ± 0.00 <sup>a</sup>       | 31.2 ± 0.44 <sup>a</sup>     | 19.30 ± 2.20 <sup>a</sup> |
| <i>Agar dilution assay</i><br>(MIC; µg ml <sup>-1</sup> ) |   |                           |                               |                              |                              |                           |
| <i>T. pratense</i> No1                                    | nd <sup>†</sup>                                       | 25                        | 25 <sup>b</sup>               | 50                           | 50 <sup>b</sup>              | 100                       |
| <i>T. pratense</i> No2                                    | Nd  | nd                        | 50 <sup>c</sup>               | nd                           | 100 <sup>c</sup>             | nd                        |
| Streptomycin  | 16  | 4                         | 8 <sup>a</sup>                | 16                           | 2 <sup>a</sup>               | 8                         |

<sup>5</sup>Values are means ± SD of three measurements; <sup>a,b,c</sup> means in the same column not sharing the same superscript are significantly different ( $p < 0.01$ )

\*abs – absence of inhibition zone; <sup>†</sup>nt – not determined.

reported (Table 4). Only one report has confirmed that some other species from *Trifolium* genus, *Trifolium alexandrum*, shows antimicrobial activity.<sup>[31]</sup> Antimicrobial activity of the tasted extracts is the consequence of the fact that phenolic content found in them are partially hydrophobic and are considered to interact with the bacterial cell wall and lipopolysaccharide interfaces by decreasing membrane stability.<sup>[32]</sup> The antibacterial activity of tested MeOH extract of *T. pratense* No 1 (30 cm growth) and *T. pratense* No 2 (50 cm growth) against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria was shown in Table 4. The results obtained from extract of *T. pratense* No 3 (bud phase), were not presented, because the MICs determined were greater than the highest concentration tested. These extracts were more effective in growth inhibition of both *Escherichia coli* and *Enterobacter aerogenes* bacterial strains, where the extract, No1 (30 cm growth), has shown better antibacterial activity than the extract No 2 (30 cm growth). These results could be explained by the fact that presence of greater content of antimicrobial compounds in this extract contributes to its antibacterial properties.

## Conclusion

Variation in phenolic content may have value in determining the best source of a functional food. The present study indicates that the extract of the *T. pratense* at the lowest growth is a valuable source of natural antioxidants which could indicate their use as possible agents in prevention of oxidative-stress and thereby reduce the development of many diseases. This is due to the presence of a higher amount of phenolic compounds that has been identified in this extracts such as genistein, daidzein, p-hydroxybenzoic and caffeic acid, kaempferol 3-O-glucoside, quercetin 3-O-glucoside, hyperoside, aesculetin, as well as glycosides kaempferol 3-O-glucoside, quercetin 3-O-glucoside and apigenin 7-O-glucoside. Due to the presence of the highest content of phenolic compounds in the extract No1, this extract expressed the best antioxidant and antimicrobial activity. These data indicate that growth stage of the plant is one of the important factors that should be taken into account when using this plant in phytomedicine and also in human nutrition.

## Declaration of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## Funding

This work was supported by the Ministry of Science and Environmental Protection of the Republic of Serbia (Project No. 172058).

## References

1. Boller, B.; Schubiger, F.X.; Kölliker, R. *Handbook of Plant Breeding: Fodder Crops and Amenity Grasses*; Springer Science Business Media: New York, **2010**.
2. Nielsen, T.S.; Höjer, A.; Gustavsson, A.M.; Hansen-Møller, J.; Purup, S.J. Proliferative Effect of Whey from Cows' Milk Varying in Phyto-Oestrogens in Human Breast and Prostate Cancer Cells. *Journal of Dairy Science* **2012**, *79*, 143–149.
3. Liu, R.; Xu, B. Inhibitory Effects of Phenolics and Saponins from Commonly Consumed Food Legumes in China against Digestive Enzymes Pancreatic Lipase and  $\alpha$ -Glycosidase. *International Journal of Food Properties* **2015**, *18*, 2246–2255.
4. Kroyer, G.T. Red Clover Extract as Antioxidant Active and Function a Food Ingredient. *Innovative Food Science and Technology* **2004**, *5*, 101–105.
5. Krenn, L.; Unterrieder, I.; Ruprechter, R. Quantification of Isoflavones in Red Clover by High-Performance Liquid Chromatography. *Journal of Chromatography B* **2002**, *777*, 123–128.
6. Drenin, A.A.; Botirov, E.K.; Turov, U.P. A New Isoflavone Glycoside from *Trifolium pratense* L. *Russian Journal of Bioorganic Chemistry* **2001**, *37*, 862–865.
7. Kolodziejczyk-Czepas, J. *Trifolium* Species-Derived Substances and Extracts - Biological Activity and Prospects for Medicinal Applications. *Journal Ethnopharmacology* **2012**, *143*, 14–23.
8. Gründemann, C.; Hertrampf, A.; Sauer, B.; Garcia-Käufer, M.; Zehl, M.; Huber, R. Influence of *Rheum rhaponticum*, *Cimicifuga racemosa* and *Trifolium pratense* Extracts on Breast Cancer Cell Proliferation. *Phytotherapy* **2015**, *36*, 157–163.
9. Rahul, F.A.; Naz, F.; Jyoti, S.; Siddique, Y.H. Health Functionality of Apigenin: A Review. *International Journal of Food Properties* **2016**, 1–42.
10. Garg, S.; Lule, V.K.; Malik, R.K.; Tomar, S.K. Soy Bioactive Components in Functional Perspective: A Review. *International Journal of Food Properties* **2016**, *19*, 2550–2574.
11. Booth, N.L.; Overk, C.R.; Yao, P.; Totura, S.; Deng, Y.; Hedayat, A.S.; Bolton, J.L.; Paul, G.F.; Farnsworth, N.R. Seasonal Variation of Red Clover (*Trifolium pratense* L., Fabaceae) Isoflavones and Estrogenic Activity. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* **2006**, *54*, 1277–1282.
12. Pilšáková, L.; Riečanský, I.; Jagla, F. The Physiological Actions of Isoflavone Phytoestrogens. *Physiological Research* **2010**, *59*, 651–664.
13. Vitale, D.C.; Piazza, C.; Melilli, B.; Drago, F.; Salomone, S. Isoflavones: Estrogenic Activity, Biological Effect and Bioavailability. *European Journal of Drug Metabolism and Pharmacokinetics* **2013**, *38*, 15–25.
14. De Rijke, E.; Zafrá-Gomez, A.; Ariese, F.; Brinkman, U.A.T.; Gooijer, C. Determination of Isoflavone Glucoside Malonates in *Trifolium pratense* L. (red clover) Extracts: Quantification and Stability Studies. *Journal of Chromatography A* **2001**, *931*, 55–64.
15. Andersen, C.; Nielsen, T.S.; Purup, S.; Kristensen, T.; Eriksen, J.; Søgaard, K.; Sørensen, J.; Frette, X.C. Phyto-Oestrogens in Herbage and Milk from Cows Grazing White Clover, Red Clover, Lucerne or Chicory-Rich Pastures. *Animal* **2009**, 3,1189–119512.
16. Lee, M.R.F.; Evans, P.R.; Nute, G.R.; Richardson, R.I.; Scollan, N.D. A Comparison Between Red Clover Silage and Grass Silage Feeding on Fatty Acid Composition, Meat Stability and Sensory Quality of the M. Longissimus Muscle of Dairy Cull Cows. *Meat Science* **2009**, *81*, 738–744.
17. Vlaisavljevic, S.; Kaurinovic, B.; Popovic, M.; Djurendic-Brenesel, M.; Vasiljevic, B.; Cvetkovic, D.; Vasiljevic, S. *Trifolium pratense* L. as a Potential Natural Antioxidant. *Molecules* **2014**, *19*, 713–725.
18. Fukumoto, L.; Mazz, G.C. Assessing Antioxidant and Prooxidant Activities of Phenolic Compounds. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* **2006**, *48*, 3597–3604.
19. Jia, Z.; Tang, M.; Wu, J. The Determination of Flavonoids Content in Mulberry and their Scavenging Effects on Superoxide Radicals. *Food Chemistry* **1999**, *64*, 555–559.
20. Sanchez-Moreno, C.; Larrauri, A.; Saura-Calixto, F. A Procedure to Measure their Anti-Radical Efficiency of Polyphenols. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* **1998**, *76*, 270–2768.
21. Cheesman, H.; Beavis, A.; Eserbauer, H. Hydroxyl-Radical-Induced-Iron-Catalyseddegradation of 2-Deoxyribose. *Biochemical Journal* **1998**, *25*, 649–653.
22. Cos, P.; Ying, L.; Callome, M.; Hu, P.; Cimanga, K.; Van Poel, B.; Pieters, L.; Vlietinck, J.; Van den Berghe, D. Structure-Activity Relationship and Classification of Flavonoids as Inhibitors of Xanthine Oxidase and Superoxide Scavengers. *Journal of Natural Products* **1998**, *61*, 71–76.
23. Green, C.; Wagner, A.; Glogowski, J.; Skipper, I.; Wishnok, S.; Tannenbaum, R. Analysis of Nitrat, Nitrit and [<sup>15</sup>N] Nitrit in Biological Fluids. *Analytical Biochemistry* **1998**, *126*, 131–138.
24. Ruch, R.J.; Cheng, S.J.; Klaunig, J.E. Prevention of Citotoxicity and Inhibition of Intracellular Communication by Antioxidant Catechins Isolated from Chinese Green Tea. *Journal of Carcinogenesis* **1989**, *10*, 1003–1008.
25. Buege, A.J.; Aust, D.S. Microsomal Lipid Peroxidation. In *Methods in Enzymology*; Fleischer, S.; Parker, L.; Ed.; Academic Press: New York, **1998**.

26. Fukuzawa, K.; Seko, T.; Minami, K.; Terao, J. Dynamics of Iron-Ascorbate-Induced Lipid Peroxidation in Charged and Uncharged Phospholipid Vesicles. *Lipids* **1993**, *28*, 497–503.
27. Benzie, I.F.F.; Strain, J.J. The Ferric Reducing Ability of Plasma (FRAP) as a Measure of “Antioxidant Power”: The FRAP Assay. *Analytical Biochemistry* **1996**, *239*, 70–76.
28. NCCLS (National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards) Approved Standard M100-S12., NCCLS. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests of bacteria that grow aerobically. PA: Wayne; **2002**.
29. Zgoda, J.R.; Porter, J.R. A Convenient Microdilution Method for Screening Natural Products against Bacteria and Fungi. *Pharmaceutical Biology* **2001**, *39*, 221–225.
30. Liu, G.; Liang, J.-C.; Wang, X.-L.; Li, Z.-H.; Wang, W.; Guo, N.; Wu, X.-P.; Shen, F.-G.; Xing, M.-X.; Liu, L.-H.; Li, L.; Liu, M.-Y.; Yu, L. In Vitro Synergy of Biochanin A and Ciprofloxacin against Clinical Isolates of *Staphylococcus Aureus*. *Molecules* **2011**, *16*, 6656–6666.
31. Khan, A.V.; Ahmed, Q.D.; Shukla, I.; Khan, A.A. Antibacterial Activity of *Trifolium alexandrinum* Linn. Leaves Extracts against Pathogenic Bacteria Causing Tropical Diseases. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine* **2012**, *2*, 189–194.
32. Gursoy, N. Determination of the Antimicrobial and Antioxidative Properties and Total Phenolics of Two “Endemic” Lamiaceae Species from Turkey *Ballotarotundifolia*L. and *Teucriumchamae* drys C. Koch. *Plant Foods for Human Nutrition* **2009**, *64*, 135–40.