

The molecular markers for majority of approximately 69 Lr genes (Huerta Espino et al. 2011) were reported (Purnhauser et al. 2000) while further were extended by other ones mostly at 2D (Dholakia et al. 2013) or 7D chromosomes (Huseinova et al. 2013) where various Lr genes were located (Mc Intosh 1998). Diagnostic value of molecular markers regarding vertical resistance was discussed by Sefing et al. (2011). It was hypothetical that more of them had to be similar by relative prolonged activity achieved through hydrolytic stability as Lr 15, pronounced to be inadequate for practical glyphosate tolerance application at all. Simultaneously, molecular markers for recognizing tolerant varieties become insufficient because different located Lr genes were not defined respecting characters discovered by novel methods. However, similar method as described in the above chapter appeared to be the solution for extensive preliminary recognizing of the wheat varieties tolerant to glyphosate.

Material and Methods

In the trial for estimation of single glyphosate influence, nine wheat varieties were simultaneously sown in pots (30 x 25 x 15 cm). Density was approximately one plant per 50 mm² while distance between rows was 2 cm. Varieties were grown in the greenhouse at average air temperature around 20°C during March and April achieved by cover reducing 70% of heat and 10% of light. Daily watering of the soil from below pots was stopped two days before glyphosate was applied. Near equal plants in the time when second leaf started to appear were selected with aim to avoid possible errors due to different initial growth between treated and control plants of the varieties. Equal four trials by content and distribution of varieties they were halved by cover and sprayed once or twice daily successively with 0.5 ml glyphosate in 1 l water solution 0.5 dl on 0.5 m² using the hand sprayer simulating dose of 0.5 l/ha recommended for practice. One of the pots where the glyphosate was applied twice was permanent artificially lighted from source 1 m distanced from above. At least five different treated plants of each variety were considered representative sample for up ground parts length estimation performed fifteen days after the first treatment, while average values were used for effect determination. LGR value of control lines represented average divided sum of first leaf and stem with second one length fifteen days from treatment, while yellowing of the first leaves was also determined five days previous by scale 1-5 (segments of 20% of area) or on second one simultaneous.

According to formula for growth equalizing (Jerkovic et al. 2014a) in the recent study possible

errors were below 2% and were not significant for differentiation of the glyphosate effects as was in the trial when Lr NILs were studied. Growth reduction when trial was daily lighted were not all proportional at same herbicide dose explained by different light intensity in particular parts of greenhouse, but were with results of triple sprayed Lr NILs. Furthermore, when the results of Lr NILs were compared, three analogue groups of genes responsible for glyphosate degradation were again recognized (Tab. 1). Variety Pobeda exposed characteristic as fourth one confirming presence of nonspecific Lr genes presence. Lr genes in varieties Rapsodia, Simonida, Tavita and NS 40S had to be classified in the first group by growth reduction below 6%. By similarity to second one, Gora and Metka were as Lr 19 while Enigma as was Lr 29 NIL. The Lr genes in the varieties were also previously recognized by parasite interactions with different isolates through effect on LP or RT and comparison with NILs (Jerkovic, unpublished data). The largest difference in growth reduction of 20% between permanent and natural lighted trial also confirmed the presence of the gene as was in Lr 24 NIL in the variety Ilina. By recently performed trial the stated hydrolytic instability of its gene was confirmed by relative increased late yellow area of first leaves after prolonged dry period from common for other lines 40% to 60% (2 or 3) to 80% (4). It was recognized on variety NS 40S but earlier than on Ilina, while effect of Lr 15 was excluded according to studies of gluten degradation (Jerkovic et al. 2013). Lr 3a proposed to be in the variety, had to be particular, intermediate hydrolytic stable with more extended approach ability in comparison to discussed genes from the second group but not able to cleave last single external phosphorus residua. Such, could be explained the often same effect to parasite isolate as Lr 1 (Jerkovic et al. 2013) as well as was not expected enhanced resistance when accumulated. Stated characteristic was supported by relative increased LGR to 0.67. No effect of similar genes to Lr 24 like were Lr 2a and Lr 9 in NILs on glyphosate degradation was linked to lack of approach ability to targeted element these time external linked single phosphorus. At last mentioned character and cleaved linkage strength appeared to be correlated while approach ability was found to be independent. Such, nine mine groups of parasite races specific effective Lr genes were predicted to already exist according to characters of Lr genes recognized through parasite free interactions studies.

When herbicide was applied on lines found to be resistant to glyphosate, the resistance to *Puccinia* spp. was increased (Anderson et al. 2005) more than when Lr NILs were focused former. Similar appearance was recognized when soybean rusts were such interacted (Feng et al. 2005).

Table 1. Growth reduction by glyphosate of wheat varieties seedlings, LGR after 15 and maximum yellowing of first leaf after 10 days

Variety	LGR of 4 th treatment control	1. 2 x 0.5 l-ha Perm. artific. lighted T : K	2. 2x 0,5 l-ha T : K	Difference between 1 st and 2 nd treatment	3. 1x 0,5 l-ha T : K	4. 1x 0,5 l-ha T : K	Yellowing of 4 th treated plants
Simonida	0.67	0.86	0.92	0.06	0.89	0.98	3
Rapsodija	0.57	0.85	0.89	0.04	0.95	0.97	3
Tavita	0.64	0.92	0.98	0.06	0.90	0.95	3
NS 40S	0.67	0.73	0.79	0.06	0.80	0.87	4
Enigma	0.64	0.82	0.95	0.13	0.86	0.94	3
Gora	0.71	0.76	0.90	0.14	0.76	0.83	2-3
Metka	0.65	0.81	0.92	0.11	0.81	0.81	2-3
Ilina	0.67	0.69	0.89	0.20	0.70	0.85	4
Average	0.67	0.81	0.91	0.09	0.84	0.90	
Pobeda	0.61	0.85	0.76	-0.09	0.86	0.90	2

However, the effect of all specific Lr genes had to be ensured by parasite entrance across consequential water deficit in cell. Mostly the enhancement of the resistance with respect of durability of the trait was achieved by combinations of nonspecific and specific Lr genes (Kolmer 1996, Oelke & Kolmer 2004). The appearance was linked to temporary water deficit consequential in lower infection efficiency or decreased reaction type (De Vallavieille-Pop et al. 1995, Niks & Dekens 1991, Jerkovic et al. 2013b). Genes from different groups according to glyphosate degradation Lr 9, Lr 19 and Lr 24 were to parasitic isolate same effective (Jerkovic et al. 1992). By nowadays studies, the appearance had to be explained by same ratio of adequate linked targeted elements in the cell wall (Harder & Chong 1984, Koegel et al. 1988).

The tolerance based on different distribution of glyphosate recognized when *Lolium perene* was grown in Missouri (Nandula et al. 2008) could be related to relative decreased LGR or increased SAGR, characters dependable of environment conditions and controlled by various complementary nonspecific Lr genes (Jerkovic et al. 2012). Variety Rapsodia with relative highest decreased LGR to 0.57 similar as was of NS 8041 (Jerkovic et al. 2014a) also had these genes obvious accumulated with Lr 22b (Jerkovic et al. 2013b). Respecting aforementioned explanation of tolerance the second leaves of these genotypes were expected to be effected by glyphosate, but it was opposite after 15 days confirming Lr 1 gene stable

activity while Lr 24 and additional various effective one had to be in the mentioned line.

When recognized interactions of Lr genes and pesticides were assumed, the Lr 16 and Lr 29 appeared to be behind most or all nowadays recognized accelerated degradations of pesticides. Such, one could conclude that they had to be stable and present in wheat varieties. If assortment was handled according to sustainable economic *Puccinia triticina* control based on varieties containing different Lr genes, suggested by Jerkovic et al. (2013a), unfortunately than, potential practical tolerance to this herbicide in semiarid region should be also temporary in all or only partially applicable. According to nowadays stated pathways, respecting at first more time for original herbicide residua elimination before transfer in seed, consequences on growth and then facilitated recovering of chlorophyll, glyphosate apply near wheat heading become solution because of temporary expected enough increased temperature or drought stress necessary for Lr 29 or Lr 19 genes activity even parasite free. The enzymes for magnesium relist from former sulphate were recognized and facilitated faster chlorophyll synthesis after fungicide application (Jerkovic et al. 2012), while from phosphate they were not indicated respecting active elements amount in herbicide and dosage. According to related current studies as well as stated residua in seed of glyphosate ready crops in 2013 by Biotech, it was considered no possibility for residua degradation out of wheat cell.

Conclusions

The specific Lr genes accumulations expected to be more effective by simultaneous various glyphosate residua degradations or of genes as was Lr 15 were not recognized. Assuming the all of the aspects, varieties like were Gora, Metka and Enigma were predicted to be practically useful for multiple pest reduction by one treatment in semiarid regions before wheat heading respecting more time for original residua elimination and light intensity. According to results of the variety Pobeda the time for suggested Lr genes activity in parasite free conditions facilitated by drought or heath stress had to be within approximately ten days after treatment. Treatment of variety Ilina appeared to be risky according to possible no effect at all. On others containing more hydrolytic stable gene like Simonida, Rapsodia and Tavita the negative effect of glyphosate have to be more avoided but expected consequence was not original residua containing linked carbon to phosphorus transfer in seed as well as their relative more frequented presence than by using of all other effective Lr genes.

References

- Anderson, J.A., & Kolmer, J.A. (2005). Rust control in glyphosate tolerant wheat following application of the herbicide glyphosate. *Plant Disease* 89: 1136-1142.
- Browder, L.E. (1985). Parasite: Host: Environment specificity in the cereal rusts. *Ann. Rev. Phytopathol.*, 23: 201-222.
- Castle, L.A., Siehl, D.I., Gordon, B., Patten, P.A., Chen, Y.H., & Bertain, S. (2004). Discovery and directed evaluation of a glyphosate tolerance gene. *Science* 304: 1151-1154.
- Chen, X., & Line, R.F. (1995). Gene action in wheat cultivars for durable, high temperature, adult plants resistance and interactions with race specific, seedling resistance to *Puccinia striiformis*. *Phytopathology* 85: 567-572.
- De Vallavieille-Pop, C., Huber, L., Leconte, M., & Goyeau, H. (1995). Comparative effects of temperature and interrupted wet periods on germination, penetration and infection of *Puccinia recodita* f.sp. *tritici* and *P. striiformis* on wheat seedlings. *Ecology and Epidemiology* 86: 409-420.
- Dholakia, B.B., Rajwade, A.V., Hosmani, P., Khan, R.R., Chavan, S., Reddy, D.M.R., Lagu, M.D., Bansal, U.K., Saini, R.G., & Gupta, V.S. (2013). Molecular mapping of leaf rust resistance gene *Lr15* in hexaploid wheat. *Molecular Breeding* 31: 743-747.
- Duke, S.O., & Powles, S.B. (2008). Glyphosate: A once in a century herbicide. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 64(4): 319-325.
- Duke, S.O., & Powles, S.B. (2009). Glyphosate-resistant crops and weeds: now and the future. *Ag Bio Forum* 12(3): 12-18.
- Feng, C.C., Baley, G.J., Clinton, P.W., Bunkers, J.G., Alibhai, F.M., Paulitz, C.T., & Kidwell, K.K. (2005). Glyphosate inhibits rust diseases in glyphosate-resistant wheat and soybean. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 102(48): 17290-17295.
- Harder, D.E., & Chong, P. (1984). Structure and physiology of haustoria. In: AP Roelfs, WR Bushnell (eds.), *Cereal rust*. Vol. I, Academic Press, pp 431-473.
- Huerta-Espino, J., Singh, P.P., Germain, S., McCallum, B.D., Park, R.F., Chen, W.Q., Bhardwey, S.C., & Goheay, H. (2011). Global status of wheat leaf rust caused by *Puccinia triticina*. *Euphytica* 179: 143-160.
- Huseynova, I.M., Guliyeva, F.B., Rustamova, S.M., Jalal, A., & Aliyev, J.A. (2013). PCR-based molecular markers linked to the leaf rust resistance gene Lr19 in different bread wheat cultivars. *Advances in Biological Chemistry* 3: 153-158.
- Jerkovic, Z. (1992). Identifying genes for *Puccinia recondite* f.sp. *tritici* resistance in wheat lines. *J. of Genetics and Breeding* 46: 163-166.
- Jerković Z., & Jevtic R. (2012). A solution for economical and safe wheat protection from parasites. *Proceedings of ECO-conference, Safe food*, 97-102.
- Jerkovic, Z., & Prijic, Z. (2012a). Leaf rust specific resistance genes effect on degradation of fungicides with sulfur. *Plant protection* 63(1): 279, 29-35.
- Jerkovic, Z., Prijic, Z., & Djuric, V. (2013). Effect of accumulated resistance genes to *Puccinia triticina* on transfer of seed proteins. *Ratar. Povrt.* 50: 60-64.
- Jerkovic, Z., Jevtic R., Lalosevic M., & Prijic Z. (2013a). Integrated protection from prevalent wheat pathogens in semiarid regions. *Journal of agricultural sciences* 58(1): 1-18.
- Jerkovic, Z., Prijic, Z., Djuric, V., Jevtic, R., & Lalosevic, M. (2013b). Functions of Lr genes beside reduction of *Puccinia triticina*. *Ratar. Povrt.* 50: 6-12.
- Jerkovic Z., Prijic Z., Jevtic R., & Lalosevic, M. (2014). Interaction of two neonicotinoid insecticides and Lr genes focusing wheat growth and residua. *Plant Prot. Sci.*, received in May.
- Jerkovic Z, Prijic Z, Jevtic R, Orbovic, B., & Lalosevic, M. (2014a). Lr genes facing glyphosate considered by residua, influence on wheat growth and leaf rust development. *J. Plant Dis. Protect.*, received in May.
- Jolivet, P., Bergeron, E., & Kein, P. (1992). Oxidation of Elemental Sulfur and Sulfite by Chloroplasts. *Phyton-Ann. Rei Bot.*, Horn 32: 59- 62
- Kolmer J.A. (1996). Genetics of resistance to wheat leaf rust. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 34: 435-455.
- Mishra, A.N, Kaushal, K., Yadav S.R., Shriheker, G.S., & Pandey, H.N. (2005). A leaf rust resistance gene, different from Lr 34 associated with leaf tip necrosis in wheat. *Plant Breeding* 124(5): 517-519.
- Niks, R.E., & Dekens, R.G. (1991). Prehaustorial and posthaustorial resistance to wheat leaf rust in diploid wheat seedlings. *Phytopathology* 81: 847-851.
- Oelke, L.M. & Kolmer, J.A. (2004). Characterization of leaf rust resistance in hard red spring wheat cultivars. *Plant Dis.* 88: 1127-1133.
- Purnhouser, L, Gylai, G., Csozsz, M., Hesky, L., & Mesterhazy, A., (2000). Identification of Leaf Rust Resistance Genes in Common Wheat by Molecular Markers. *Acta Phytopathol. Entomol. Hung.* 35(1): 31-36.
- Serfing, A., Kramer, I., Lind, V., Shliphake, E. & Ordojn, F. (2011). Diagnostic value of molecular markers for Lr genes and characterization of leaf rust resistance of German winter wheat cultivars with regard to the stability of vertical resistance. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* 130(4): 559-575.
- Nandula, V. K., Reddy K.N. Poston D.H., Rimando A.M. & Duke S.O. (2008). Glyphosate Tolerance Mechanism in Italian Ryegrass (*Lolium multiflorum*) from Mississippi. *Weed Science* 56(3): 344-349.
- Yaniccari, M., Tambussi, E., Istilart, C., & Castro, A.M., (2012). Glyphosate effects on gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence responses of two *Lolium perenne* L. biotypes with differential herbicide sensitivity. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 57: 210-217.

Tolerantnost sejanaca sorti pšenice preko ubrzanе degradacije glifosata

Zoran Jerković · Željana Prijic · Radivoje Jevtic ·
Branka Orbovic · Mirjana Lalošević

Sažetak: Dužine nadzemnih delova sejanaca devet sorti pšenice gajenih u staklari bile su redukovane 4-20%. Testirane su pri temperaturama oko 20°C tokom proleća posle dva dnevno uzastopna tretmana herbicidom na bazi glifosata (0,5 ml u 1l vodenog rastvora, 0,5 dl na 0,5 m²). Na osnovu razlika između stalno veštački i dnevno osvetljenog ponavljanja prepoznate su četiri grupe sorti, što je potvrdilo rezultate dobijene kod izogenih linije različitih gena otpornosti prema prouzrokovачu lisne rđe (NIL) testiranih tokom zime. Rast sorte Pobeda bio je usporeniji kada nije bila permanentno osvetljena te je prepoznat uobičajen efekat herbicida. Tolerantnost drugih sorti je bila povezana sa ubrzanom degradacijom glifosata te uticajem posledične fosforne kiseline na inhibiciju hlorofila. Sorte Rapsodija, Simonida i Tavita su po reakciji prema herbicidu bile slične Lr 1 i Lr 21 liniji. Ubrzano žućenje lista NS 40S bilo je zavisno od gena Lr 3a neuticajnog na poslednje fosforne residue nakon otpuštanja kiseonika, dok je sličan efekat hidrolitički najstabilnijeg Lr 15 u NIL isključen na osnovu analiza uticaja na degradaciju glutena. Na osnovu pomenutih kriterijuma, sorte Gora i Metka su sadržale Lr 19, Enigma Lr 29 a Ilina Lr 24. Poštujući pre svega više vremena za eliminaciju originalnih rezidua herbicida blizu klasanja, česte temperature uslove povoljne za aktivnost Lr gena, posledice na rast te brži oporavak hlorofila, za tretman u to doba u semiaridnim regionima, sorte s Lr genima kao Gora, Metka i Enigma su prepoznate kao moguće praktično rešenje. Tretman sorte Ilina je rizičan usled očekivanog izostanka efekta a ostalih usled rezidua.

Ključne reči: glifosat, herbicidi, Lr geni, sejanac, sorte pšenice, tolerantnost