

## EFFECTS OF FERTILIZATION ON YIELD AND GRAIN QUALITY IN WINTER TRITICALE

Vera Đekić<sup>1</sup>, Milivoje Milovanović<sup>1</sup>, Vera Popović<sup>2</sup>, Jelena Milivojević<sup>1</sup>,  
Mirjana Staletić<sup>1</sup>, Miodrag Jelić<sup>3</sup>, Vesna Perišić<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Small Grains Research Centre, Save Kovacevica 31, Kragujevac, Serbia  
E-mail: veraraj@kg.ac.rs; verarajicic@yahoo.com

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops, Maxim Gorky St. 30, Novi Sad, Serbia

<sup>3</sup>Univerzity of Pristina, Faculty of Agriculture, Kopaonicka bb, Lesak 38219,  
Kosovo and Metohija, Serbia

### ABSTRACT

Study of fertilization effects were conducted in a stationary type of field trial, on a degrading vertisol soil with low pH. Eight variants of mineral nutrition (NK, NP<sub>1</sub>, NP<sub>2</sub>, NP<sub>3</sub>, NP<sub>1</sub>K, NP<sub>2</sub>K and NP<sub>3</sub>K) and untreated control (without nutrition) were tested in the experiment. The rates of nitrogen application were 80 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, and they were applied either individually or in combination with three phosphorus rates and the potassium fertilizer. The highest grain yields under mineral nutrition involving a combination of three mineral elements were: N, P and K (80 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>, 60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>), and under NP<sub>2</sub>K treatment at a rate of 80 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, 80 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> and 60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>. Based on the analysis of variance, it can be concluded that there were highly significant differences in grains yield among years of investigation and highly significant differences at 1000-grain weight and grain test weight.

**Key words:** fertilization, yield, quality, triticale.

### INTRODUCTION

Triticale is cereal species obtained by cross-breeding of wheat and rye. Thanks to its nutritive value which is higher than maize, breeders and scientists for animal nutrition recommend it for all animal species nutrition. It could replace wheat very successfully in animal nutrition portions (Đekić et al., 2012a, b), what is very important regard the fact that demands for food are growing in the world, and wheat yields and soils area are less and less every day. Triticale is especially distinctive because of its high protein content in the grain and beneficial content of important amino acids compared with other cereals.

Triticale potential, grown under optimal conditions, is approximately similar to wheat potential regard the yield, or it is much higher than wheat potential under unfavorable growing conditions (Brown and Graham, 1978). Wheat, triticale and corn comparison present that triticale accumulates more nitrogen than wheat and corn in grain filling

period and grain physiological maturation, what indicates that triticale is much more appropriate species for growing on the nitrogen poor soils (Đekić, 2010). As species, it has important role on soils with marginal characteristics as dry or acid soils, and it is also less demanding regard fertilizers and other chemicals which take place in agronomy. Triticale presents high tolerance to acid soils, as well as good productive results on sandy soils (Đekić et al., 2009). Soil acidity frequently affects agricultural production in Serbia. Triticale was grown successfully in areas where corn did not prosper, as well in the areas with moderate climate (Djekic et al., 2011). It could be generalized that triticale demonstrated very good, up to excellent tolerance in relation to the most important pathogens and small grains pests. Also, triticale presents high adaptability on local agro-ecological conditions which influence on obtaining of its stabile grains yield.

New perspective triticale lines and varieties have more and better filled grain,

higher yield, test weight and flour content, while their proteins and lysine are lower compared with older varieties (Đekić, 2010; Djekic et al., 2011; Milovanovic et al., 2011). Several factors are decisive in triticale yields increasing: the cultivar, cultural practices, agro ecological conditions, local climatic and soil characteristics, mineral nutrition and adequate protection from plant diseases, pests and weeds (Đekić et al., 2010; Milovanovic et al., 2011).

Mineral fertilizers play a vital role on crop yields improving, but one of the main limitations in achieving proven crop potential is imbalanced usage of nutrients, particularly low usage of P compared with N. The optimum rate of P application is important in most crops yields improving (Jelić et al., 2013a; Popović et al., 2011, 2013). Farmers frequently use only nitrogen fertilizers for fodder crops in Serbia, while the usage of P fertilizer is negligible. These crops are often grown on marginal lands. Hence, their production is low and quality is poor.

The objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of different fertilization systems on the grain yield and quality of triticale grown on a vertisol soil. The study was also aimed at optimizing fertilization for maximum profitability in the future triticale production in semi-arid conditions of Central Serbia.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study was carried out in a stationary type of field trial involving fertilization over a three years period (2004/05, 2005/06 and 2006/07). This trial was set up and sown every year in the experimental fields of the Small Grains Research Centre in Kragujevac continuously from 1970, with same variants and stationary plots in crop rotation system. Plot size was 50 m<sup>2</sup>.

Triticale cultivar used in the experiment was Favorit, the dominant cultivar in the production region of Serbia. This investigation included an untreated control and seven variants of fertilization: NK (80 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, 60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>), NP<sub>1</sub> (80 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, 60

kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>), NP<sub>2</sub> (80 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, 80 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>), NP<sub>3</sub> (80 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, 100 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>), NP<sub>1</sub>K (80 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>, 60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>), NP<sub>2</sub>K (80 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, 80 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>, 60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>) and NP<sub>3</sub>K (80 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, 100 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>, 60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>). A non-fertilized variant served as a control.

The trial was set up in a randomized block design with five replications. Fertilization was regular and followed a long-time scheme. Total amounts of phosphorus and potassium fertilizers and half the nitrogen rate are regularly applied during pre-sowing cultivation of soil. The remaining amounts of nitrogen fertilizers were applied in a single treatment at the tillering stage of triticale. It was cultivated in rotation with corn. Production technology was standard. The crop was harvested in the stage of full maturity, and grains yield was measured and adjusted at 14% moisture. Samples were taken to analysis immediately before harvest. Two parameters of grain quality, namely test weight (kg hl<sup>-1</sup>) and 1000-grain weight (g) were analyzed according to standard procedures.

The soil was analyzed by usage of standard physical methods (soil texture, by pipette method, a variant of pyrophosphate method modified by Živković, 1966); chemical methods (soil pH was determined in a 1:2.5 soil-1 M KCl suspension after a half-hour equilibration period; hydrolytic acidity was determined by Ca acetate extraction using Kappen's method; sum of adsorbed base cations-by Kappen's method; humus content-by Kotzmann's method, total nitrogen by the Kjeldahl method, and available P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O levels by the Egner-Riehm Al method).

### Statistical analysis

On the basis of achieved research results the usual variation statistical indicators were calculated: average values, error of the mean and standard deviation. Statistical analysis was performed in the module Analyst Program SAS/STAT (SAS Institute, 2000).

### Study area and soil analysis

The trial was set up on a vertisol soil in a process of degradation, with heavy texture and very coarse and unstable structure. The humus

content in the surface layer of soil was low (2.22%). Reduced humus content in field vertisols profiles suggested the necessity of humification usage while planning fertilization systems, as well as soil ameliorative operations for maintaining and improving of soil adsorption complex. Soil pH indicated high acidity (pH in H<sub>2</sub>O 5.19; pH in KCl 4.27), nitrogen content in soil was medium (0.11-0.15%), while the content of available phosphorus ranged from very low (1.7-2.9 mg 100 g<sup>-1</sup> soil) in the N and NK trial variants to very high (26.9 mg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> 100 g<sup>-1</sup> soil) in the NPK variants of fertilization.

Available potassium contents were high, ranging from 19.5 to 21.0 mg K<sub>2</sub>O 100 g<sup>-1</sup> soil.

### Meteorological conditions

This study was conducted over a three-year period in the Šumadija region, Central Serbia, on a Vertisol soil, at Kragujevac location (44° 22' N, 20° 56' E, 173-220 m a. s. l.), with continental temperate climate which had an average annual temperature of 11.76°C typical for Sumadija district in Serbia and rainfall amount of about 580-790 mm.

Table 1. Middle monthly air temperature and precipitation amount from 2004 to 2007, in the 1961-2004 reference periods at Kragujevac location

Months	Mean monthly air temperature (°C)				The amount of rainfall (mm)			
	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	Average	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	Average
VIII	21.1	20.0	20.7	22.7	92.8	117.8	141.9	58.5
IX	16.2	17.4	17.7	16.6	31.0	115.6	57.4	62.7
X	14.6	11.5	13.3	12.5	50.1	49.0	16.7	45.4
XI	6.9	5.7	7.6	6.9	104.7	54.8	13.7	48.9
XII	3.2	3.4	3.5	1.9	19.7	47.9	51.9	56.6
I	1.4	-1.7	6.1	0.5	36.6	27.9	45.3	58.2
II	-1.7	1.5	6.3	2.4	66.9	38.1	32.1	46.6
III	4.7	5.6	9.1	7.1	44.5	116.1	62.9	32.4
IV	11.6	12.7	12.1	11.6	69.0	86.3	3.6	51.9
V	16.5	16.4	18.2	16.9	70.2	29.6	118.4	57.6
VI	19.3	19.7	22.8	20.0	50.8	84.8	25.3	70.4
VII	21.7	23.0	24.8	22.0	86.2	22.4	10.1	71.5
Average	11.29	11.27	13.52	11.76	722.5	790.3	579.3	660.7

Kragujevac area is characterized by a moderate continental climate, which general feature is uneven distribution of rainfall by months. Data in Table 1 for the investigated period (2004-2007) clearly indicate that the years in which the researches were conducted differed from the typical multi-year average for Kragujevac region, regarding the meteorological conditions.

The average air temperature in 2004/05 and 2005/06 was lower by 0.47°C and 0.49°C and 2006/07 was higher by 1.76°C. The sum of rainfall precipitation in 2004/05 and 2005/06 was higher by 61.8 mm and 129.6 mm, while the sum of rainfall in 2006/07 was

81.4 mm lower than the average of many years and with a very uneven distribution of precipitation per months. Spring months March and April in 2005/06 were surplus of precipitation, what affected unfavorable on the crops. During the March in 2005/06 it was 116.1 mm of rainfall, what was 83.7 mm more compared with the multi-annual average. Regard the high importance of sufficient rainfall amounts during the spring months, particularly May for triticale production, the distribution and amount of rainfall over the growing season 2006/07 were considerably more favorable, what resulted with increment of yields during that year. Apart from the

rainfall deficiency during the spring months and the non-uniform distribution of rainfall across months, an increasing in average air temperatures was also observed.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The average grain yield of triticale significantly varied across years, from 1.812 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2005/06 to 4.016 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2006/07. In the three-year period, the highest average grain yield for all variants investigated was

achieved in the NP<sub>1</sub>K variant with the higher phosphorus rate (4.033 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 2). Fertilization had a significant effect on grain yield (Table 2). The average grain yield was the lowest in the unfertilized control (1.499 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and significantly higher in fertilized treatments, ranging from 3.898 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (NP<sub>2</sub>K-treatment) to 4.033 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (NP<sub>1</sub>K-treatment). NP<sub>3</sub>-treatment fertilization induced a significant increase in grain yield, which was 3.566 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and higher as compared to the control for 2.067 t ha<sup>-1</sup>.

Table 2. Grain yield, 1000-grain weight and test weight of winter triticale in stationary trial in Kragujevac

Traits	Fertilization	Years						Average	
		2004/05		2005/06		2006/07		$\bar{x}$	S
		$\bar{x}$	S	$\bar{x}$	S	$\bar{x}$	S		
Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Control	1.474	0.498	0.895	0.111	2.129	0.923	1.499	0.768
	NK	2.129	0.805	1.558	0.500	2.548	0.950	2.078	0.831
	NP <sub>1</sub>	2.029	0.309	1.410	0.306	3.351	0.897	2.263	0.993
	NP <sub>2</sub>	3.426	1.018	1.507	0.519	4.388	1.731	3.107	1.663
	NP <sub>3</sub>	3.911	1.536	1.981	1.029	4.805	0.896	3.566	1.641
	NP <sub>1</sub> K	4.205	0.629	2.421	0.923	5.473	1.543	4.033	1.648
	NP <sub>2</sub> K	3.694	0.796	2.632	0.771	5.368	1.504	3.898	1.535
	NP <sub>3</sub> K	3.497	1.059	2.091	0.682	4.064	1.445	3.218	1.337
	Average	3.046	1.263	1.812	0.816	4.016	1.654	2.958	1.568
1000-grain weight (g)	Control	43.600	1.158	37.320	0.576	38.680	0.444	39.867	2.886
	NK	43.660	3.980	39.680	0.672	35.880	0.363	39.740	3.937
	NP <sub>1</sub>	45.680	1.092	39.780	0.618	39.620	0.482	41.693	3.006
	NP <sub>2</sub>	46.860	3.513	41.760	0.968	40.500	0.552	43.040	3.461
	NP <sub>3</sub>	47.320	1.501	42.400	0.674	42.600	1.114	44.107	2.582
	NP <sub>1</sub> K	47.860	1.370	40.980	0.832	41.040	1.101	43.293	3.500
	NP <sub>2</sub> K	47.740	1.207	43.160	0.643	42.180	0.415	44.360	2.622
	NP <sub>3</sub> K	46.560	1.176	42.220	1.522	42.200	1.423	43.660	2.478
	Average	46.160	2.546	40.913	1.967	40.337	2.266	42.470	3.464
Test weight (kg hl <sup>-1</sup> )	Control	64.920	1.368	67.238	1.670	70.850	1.575	67.669	2.902
	NK	63.840	1.889	70.440	3.127	71.650	1.166	68.643	4.102
	NP <sub>1</sub>	65.700	2.802	70.210	2.165	71.570	1.559	69.160	3.320
	NP <sub>2</sub>	64.970	1.660	69.170	1.308	72.530	1.610	68.890	3.502
	NP <sub>3</sub>	65.690	1.723	68.690	0.829	72.210	1.615	68.863	3.066
	NP <sub>1</sub> K	66.020	1.289	69.890	0.780	72.370	1.425	69.427	2.923
	NP <sub>2</sub> K	65.620	1.490	70.130	1.635	72.610	1.459	69.453	3.313
	NP <sub>3</sub> K	65.450	1.776	70.290	2.165	72.530	1.145	69.423	3.460
	Average	65.276	1.760	69.507	1.979	72.040	1.445	68.942	3.291

Differences between grains yield of the untreated control and variants with fertilization were statistically highly

significant. Fertilization with nitrogen alone resulted in a statistically highly significant increasing in grains yield, compared with the

untreated control, but further increasing, when nitrogen was applied in combination with P and K fertilizers, did not result in statistically high significance. The study showed that among investigated fertilization variants the highest grain yields were achieved in variant with 80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> nitrogen rate, phosphorus rate of 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and potassium rate of 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> K<sub>2</sub>O. The significantly lower grains yield of triticale was achieved in the NK trial variants, than in the NPK and NP variants resulted from the existing phosphorus deficit in the soil, as well as low pH and high content of mobile Al in the soil solution (Jelić et al., 2013b). Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium applications, particularly on acid soils poorly supplied with these nutrients, have a high effects on the grains yield of oats and other cereal crops (Jelić, 1996; Mohr et al., 2007; Rashid et al., 2007). The significantly lower yield in 2005/06 was due to a decline in total rainfall in the spring and its non-uniform distribution across months, accompanied by higher average air temperatures in these years. According to Đekić et al. (2010, 2012b), the best triticale yields with high quality grain were obtained on warm, bright (high solar radiation) spring weather and cooler summer weather without excessive rains during grains filling.

Thousand grain weight in the test period was highest in 2004/05 (46.16 g), higher than the three-year period by 3.69 g. The control and NK-treatment achieved the lowest average 1000-grain weight during the three years of investigation compared with other tested treatments. During the first year of investigations, the highest average value of 1000-grain weight was achieved in the NP<sub>1</sub>K and NP<sub>2</sub>K-treatment (47.86 g and 47.74 g). During the second year of investigations (2005/06), the highest average value of 1000 grain weight achieved the NP<sub>2</sub>K treatments (43.16 g), while in the third year (2006/07), the highest average values of 1000-grain weight was achieved in the NP<sub>3</sub> and NP<sub>3</sub>K treatments (42.60 g and 42.20 g). A number of authors (Brown et al., 2006; Đekić, 2010; Đekić et al., 2009, 2010, 2012b; Đurić et al., 2013; Jelić et al., 2013a) underlined that

1000-grain weight is a cultivar-specific trait, with considerably higher variations being observed among genotypes than among treatments or environmental factors.

Test weight is an indicator of grain quality, particularly grain monetary value. Table 2 presents average values for grain test weight across years and treatments. During the first year the highest test weight was achieved with NP<sub>1</sub>K-treatment (66.02 kg hl<sup>-1</sup>), while the lowest was in the NK-treatment (63.84 kg hl<sup>-1</sup>). During the second year of investigations, the mass of hectoliter in the NK treatment was the highest with 70.44 kg hl<sup>-1</sup>, while the slightly lower test weight was realized with NP<sub>3</sub>K and NP<sub>1</sub>-treatments (70.29 kg hl<sup>-1</sup> and 70.21 kg hl<sup>-1</sup>). During the third year the highest test weight was achieved at NP<sub>2</sub>K-treatment (72.61 kg hl<sup>-1</sup>), followed by NP<sub>2</sub> and NP<sub>3</sub>K-treatments (72.53 kg hl<sup>-1</sup>), while the lowest test weight was in the control (70.85 kg hl<sup>-1</sup>). The average three-year value of test weight at NP<sub>2</sub>K-treatment was 69.45 kg hl<sup>-1</sup>, while the lowest value was at control treatment (67.67 kg hl<sup>-1</sup>).

Table 3 shows the impact of year, fertilization and interaction of year x fertilization on yield, 1000 kernel weight and test weight. The ANOVA indicated highly significant effects of the year and grain yield ( $F_{\text{exp}}=29.3117^{***}$ ), 1000-grain weight ( $F_{\text{exp}}=79.7706^{***}$ ) and grain test weight ( $F_{\text{exp}}=153.9342^{***}$ ). Highly significant differences in grain yield and 1000-grain weight in the investigated triticale cultivar were found in relation with the fertilization. Furthermore, 1000-grain weight was significantly affected by the year x fertilization interaction ( $P<0.05$ ). The interaction of grain yield and year x fertilization did not show statistical significance ( $P>0.05$ ).

Usage of fertilizers and certain amendments on extremely acid soils in certain years, particularly those less favorable for production, almost certainly had different effects on grain filling, resulting in diverse relationships between productive and qualitative traits (Peterson et al., 2005; Jelić et al., 2013a). Presented

results confirm the opinion of many authors that the traits analyzed are genetically determined, but strongly modified by the

nutrient status of the environment as well as weather conditions (Đekić et al., 2010; Jelić et al., 2013b).

Table 3. Analysis of variance of the tested parameters (ANOVA)

Traits	Effect of year on the analyzed traits			
	Mean sqr. effect	Mean sqr. error	F(df1.2)	P-level
Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	48.8121	1.665278	29.3117	0.000000
1000-grain weight (g)	411.7892	5.162167	79.7706	0.000000
Test weight (kg hl <sup>-1</sup> )	467.0966	3.034393	153.9342	0.000000
Effect of fertilization on the analyzed traits				
Traits	Mean sqr. effect	Mean sqr. error	F(df1.2)	P-level
Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	12.60545	1.82343	6.913061	0.000001
1000-grain weight (g)	50.36381	9.59826	5.247180	0.000033
Test weight (kg hl <sup>-1</sup> )	5.34287	11.17694	0.478026	0.848786
Effect of the year x fertilization interaction on the analyzed traits				
Traits	Mean sqr. effect	Mean sqr. error	F(df1.2)	P-level
Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	0.978823	0.967666	1.011530	0.448610
1000-grain weight (g)	3.908774	2.049000	1.907650	0.034699
Test weight (kg hl <sup>-1</sup> )	2.510641	2.942447	0.853250	0.610616

Table 4 presents the correlation coefficients between the examined traits in the years of study. By testing correlation coefficients between yield and 1000-grain weight and test weight of grain of triticale, positive and medium-dependent statistically average correlations between grain yield and 1000-grain weight were found in all three years (Table 4). However, test weight

was significantly and positively correlated with grain yield only in the second year ( $r=0.44^*$ ). Test weight in 2005/06 and 2006/07 was positively correlated with 1000-grain weight. The results confirm similar data that grain yield and quality formation is affected by both genetic and environmental factors (Dumlupinar et al., 2011; Jelić et al., 2013a).

Table 4. Correlations between the examined traits in 2004-2007

Traits	Grain yield (tha <sup>-1</sup> )	1000-grain weight (g)	Test weight (kg hl <sup>-1</sup> )
Correlations between the examined traits in 2004/05			
Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1.00	0.71 <sup>*</sup>	0.17 <sup>ns</sup>
1000-grain weight (g)		1.00	0.12 <sup>ns</sup>
Test weight (kg hl <sup>-1</sup> )			1.00
Correlations between the examined traits in 2005/06			
Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1.00	0.52 <sup>*</sup>	0.44 <sup>*</sup>
1000-grain weight (g)		1.00	0.23 <sup>*</sup>
Test weight (kg hl <sup>-1</sup> )			1.00
Correlations between the examined traits in 2006/07			
Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1.00	0.54 <sup>*</sup>	-0.06 <sup>ns</sup>
1000-grain weight (g)		1.00	0.24 <sup>*</sup>
Test weight (kg hl <sup>-1</sup> )			1.00

<sup>ns</sup>non significant; <sup>\*</sup> significant at 0.05.

Table 5 shows the correlation coefficients between the analyzed traits, depending on studied fertilization treatments.

Significant negative correlations were observed between 1000-grain weight and test weight in all treatments. Negative and strong correlations were also found between test

weight and 1000-grain weight in the NP<sub>1</sub>K-treatment ( $r = -0.79^{**}$ ), NP<sub>2</sub>K - treatment ( $r = -0.89^{**}$ ) and NP<sub>3</sub>K treatment ( $r = -0.81^{**}$ ), whereas the control, NK, NP<sub>1</sub>, NP<sub>2</sub> and NP<sub>3</sub> showed significant negative correlations between test weight and 1000-grain weight.

Table 5. Correlation coefficients between the analyzed traits, depending on fertilization treatments

Traits	Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1000-grain weight (g)	Test weight (kg hl <sup>-1</sup> )
Correlations between the traits analyzed in the unfertilized control			
Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1.00	0.08 <sup>ns</sup>	0.35 <sup>ns</sup>
1000-grain weight (g)		1.00	-0.60*
Test weight (kg hl <sup>-1</sup> )			1.00
Correlations between the traits analyzed in the NK			
Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1.00	0.03 <sup>ns</sup>	-0.07 <sup>ns</sup>
1000-grain weight (g)		1.00	-0.71*
Test weight (kg hl <sup>-1</sup> )			1.00
Correlations between the traits analyzed in the NP <sub>1</sub>			
Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1.00	-0.16 <sup>ns</sup>	0.20 <sup>ns</sup>
1000-grain weight (g)		1.00	-0.74*
Test weight (kg hl <sup>-1</sup> )			1.00
Correlations between the traits analyzed in the NP <sub>2</sub>			
Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1.00	0.12 <sup>ns</sup>	0.13 <sup>ns</sup>
1000-grain weight (g)		1.00	-0.61*
Test weight (kg hl <sup>-1</sup> )			1.00
Correlations between the traits analyzed in the NP <sub>3</sub>			
Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1.00	0.28 <sup>ns</sup>	0.28 <sup>ns</sup>
1000-grain weight (g)		1.00	-0.74*
Test weight (kg hl <sup>-1</sup> )			1.00
Correlations between the traits analyzed in the NP <sub>1</sub> K			
Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1.00	0.13 <sup>ns</sup>	0.14 <sup>ns</sup>
1000-grain weight (g)		1.00	-0.79 <sup>**</sup>
Test weight (kg hl <sup>-1</sup> )			1.00
Correlations between the traits analyzed in the NP <sub>2</sub> K			
Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1.00	-0.13 <sup>ns</sup>	0.21 <sup>ns</sup>
1000-grain weight (g)		1.00	-0.89 <sup>**</sup>
Test weight (kg hl <sup>-1</sup> )			1.00
Correlations between the traits analyzed in the NP <sub>3</sub> K			
Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1.00	-0.02 <sup>ns</sup>	0.27 <sup>ns</sup>
1000-grain weight (g)		1.00	-0.81 <sup>**</sup>
Test weight (kg hl <sup>-1</sup> )			1.00

<sup>ns</sup> non significant; \* significant at 0.05; \*\* significant at 0.01.

The present results confirm the opinion of many authors that the traits analyzed and their correlations are genetically determined, but are strongly

modified by the nutrient status of the environment and weather conditions (Bolton, 2009; Jelić et al., 2013a; Márton, 2008).

## CONCLUSIONS

On average over the three-year period, the highest average grain yield was achieved in the variant NP<sub>1</sub>K (4.033 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). Treatments NP<sub>2</sub>, NP<sub>3</sub>, NP<sub>2</sub>K and NP<sub>3</sub>K achieved satisfactory results, while the poorest results were achieved by the control, NK and NP<sub>1</sub> treatments.

Considering the average values during 2004-07 period, it was evident that the yields, 1000 grain weight and test weight were highly statistically significantly different between the years (P<0.01).

Effect of fertilization treatments on the grain yield and 1000-grain weight was highly statistically significant. Also, the effect of interaction of the year x fertilization on the 1000-grain weight was significant. Investigations of year x fertilization interactions represent an important basis for further more successful growing, breeding and zoning of triticale.

Significant positive correlations of grain yield and 1000 grain weight in all three years (0.71\*, 0.52\* and 0.54\*, respectively), and positive correlations of 1000-grain weight and test weight in the second year and third year (0.23\* and 0.24\*, respectively) were determined.

## Acknowledgement

The present study is part of the TP 31054 project titled "Development of New Technologies of Small Grains Production on Acid Soils Using Modern Biotechnology" and Project 31022 funded by the Ministry of Education and Science, Republic of Serbia.

## REFERENCES

- Bolton, J., 2009. *Liming effects on the response of potatoes and oats to phosphorus, potassium and magnesium fertilizers*. The J. of Agr. Sci., 89: 87-93.
- Brown, J.C., Graham, J.H., 1978. *Requirements and tolerance to elements by alfalfa*. Agronomy Journal, 70: 367-373.
- Brown, R. A., White, E. M., Burke, J. I., 2006. *Responses of developmental yield formation processes in oats to variety, nitrogen, seed rate and plant growth regulator and their relationship to quality*. J. Agric. Sci. (Camb.) 144: 533-545.
- Đekić, V., Glamočlija, Đ., Staletić, M., Perišić, V., 2009. *Variability of grain yield and yield components of Kg winter triticale cultivars*. Journal of Scientific Agricultural Research, 70, 3: 55-60.
- Đekić, V., 2010. *Effect of triticale production modality on efficiency of feeding mixtures nutrition in broiler chickens*. Doctoral thesis. University of Belgrade, Faculty of Agriculture in Zemun: 1-90.
- Đekić, V., Milovanović, M., Staletić, M., Perišić, V., 2010. *Investigation of yield components Kragujevac varieties winter triticale*. Proceedings of research papers, 16, 1-2: 35-41.
- Đekić, V., Mitrović, S., Šefer, D., Obradović, S., Vukašinović, M., 2012a. *The effect of different varieties of triticale on the product characteristics in broiler chickens*. Veterinarski glasnik, 66, 5-6: 345-353, DOI: 10.2298/VETGL1206345D
- Đekić, V., Popović, V., Milivojević, J., Branković, S., 2012b. *Variability of yield and grain yield components of KG winter triticale varieties*. Bulletin of the alternative plant species, 44, 85: 13-20.
- Djekic, V., Mitrovic, S., Milovanovic, M., Djuric, N., Kresovic, B., Tapanarova, A., Djermanovic, V., Mitrovic, M., 2011. *Implementation of triticale in nutrition of non-ruminant animals*. African Journal of Biotechnology, Nigeria, Afrika, 10 (30): 5697-5704.
- Đurić, N., Trkulja, V., Simić, D., Prodanović, S., Đekić, V., Dolijanović, Ž., 2013. *Analysis grain yield and quality of some cultivars of winter wheat in 2011-2012 year*. Proceedings of Research papers of Institut PKB Agroekonomik, 19, 1-2: 15-21.
- Dumlupinar, Z., Maral, H., Kara, R., Dokuyucu, T., Akkaya, A., 2011. *Evaluation of Turkish oat landraces bases on grain yield, yield components and some quality traits*. Turkish Journal of Field Crops, 16: 190-196.
- Jelić, M., 1996. *The investigation of mineral nutrition of winter wheat growing on smonitza in degradation*. Dissertation. Agricultural Faculty, Belgrade: 1-121.
- Jelić, M., Dugalić, G., Milivojević, J., Đekić, V., 2013a. *Effect of liming and fertilization on yield and quality of oat (Avena sativa L.) on an acid luvisol soil*. Romanian Agricultural Research, 30: 239-248. DII 2067-5720 RAR 2012-239.
- Jelić, M., Đekić, V., Milivojević, J., Dugalić, G., Madić, M., 2013b. *Yield stability parameters triticale on acid soils*. Proceedings, Faculty of Agronomy, 15-16. Mart, Čačak, 18 (20): 93-98.
- Márton, L., 2008. *Impact of Rainfall, Liming, Nitrogen (N), Phosphorus (P2O5), Potassium (K2O), Calcium (CaO), Magnesium (MgO) Mineral Fertilization on Triticale (× Triticosecale Wittmack) Yield in a Monoculture in Hungary*, Cereal Research Communications 36(2): 333-341. DOI: 10.1556/CRC.36.2008.2.13



VERA ĐEKIĆ ET AL.: EFFECTS OF FERTILIZATION ON YIELD AND GRAIN QUALITY  
IN WINTER TRITICALE

- Milovanović, M., Staletić, M., Đekić, V., Nikolić, O., Luković, K., 2011. *Seed production and contribution of KG varieties to biodiversity of small grains in the period 2006-2010*. Economics of Agriculture-Multifunctional Agriculture and Rural Development, Belgrade, EP 2011 (58), SI-1: 103-111.
- Milovanović, M., Perišić, V., Staletić, M., Cvijanović, D., Đekić, V., 2012. *Recent results of triticale breeding in Kragujevac*. Proceedings. 47<sup>th</sup> Croatian and 7<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on Agriculture, 13.-17. Februar, Opatija, Croatia: 294-298.
- Mohr, R. M., Grant, C. A., May, W. E., Stevenson, F. C., 2007. *The influence of nitrogen, phosphorus and potash fertilizer application on oat yield and quality*. Can. J. Soil Sci., 87: 459-468, DOI: 10.4141/CJSS06022.
- Peterson, D.M., Westenberg, D.M., Burrup, D.E., Erickson, C.A., 2005. *Relationships among agronomic traits and grain composition in oat genotypes grown in different environments*. Crop Sci., 45: 1249-1255.
- Popović, V., Glamočlija, Đ., Malešević, M., Ikanović, J., Dražić, G., Spasić, M., Stanković, S., 2011. *Genotype specificity in nitrogen nutrition of malting barley*. Genetika, Belgrade, 43, 1: 197-204. DOI: 10.2298/GENSR1101197P.
- Popović, V., Glamočlija, Đ., Sikora, V., Đekić, V., Červenski, J., Simić, D., Ilin, S., 2013. *Genotypic specificity of soybean [Glycine max. (L) Merr.] under conditions of foliar fertilization*. Romanian Agricultural Research, NARDI Fundulea, 30: 259-270, DII 2067-5720.
- Rashid, M., Ranjha, A. M., Waqas, M., Hannan, A., Bilal, A., Saeed, A., Zafar, M., 2007. *Effect of P fertilization on yield and quality of oat (Avena sativa L.) fodder on two different textured calcareous soils*. Soil and Environ., 26: 33-41.
- SAS/STAT, 2000. User's Guide, Version 9.1.3. SAS Institute Inc.
- Živković, M., 1966. *A comparative study on different methods of preparation of non-carbonated soils for mechanical analysis*. Plant and Soil, 15: 305-321.