



МЕЂУНАРОДНА ИСТРАЖИВАЧКА  
АКАДЕМИЈА НАУКА И УМЕТНОСТИ - МИАНУ  
INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH ACADEMY OF  
SCIENCE AND ART - IRASA

---

**IRASA**  
**International Scientific Conference**  
**SCIENCE, EDUCATION,**  
**TECHNOLOGY AND INNOVATION**  
**SETI V 2023**



**Book of Proceedings**

Belgrade,

October 14, 2023



IRASA International Scientific Conference  
SCIENCE, EDUCATION, TECHNOLOGY AND INNOVATION

**SETI V 2023**

Book of Proceedings



*Publisher*

IRASA – International Research Academy of Science and Art

*For the Publisher*

Academician Prof. Vladica Ristić, PhD

*Editors*

Academician Prof. Vladica Ristić, PhD

Academician Prof. Marija Maksin, PhD

Academician Prof. Jelena Bošković, PhD

*Print run*

150

*Printed by*

Instant system

Belgrade, 2023

**ISBN 978-86-81512-11-1**

Publication of the Book of Proceedings has been co-financed by the Ministry of Science, Technological Development and Innovation of the Republic of Serbia.



## Reviewers

Academician Prof. Vladica Ristić, PhD (Serbia)  
Academician Prof. Jelena Bošković, PhD (Serbia)  
Academician Prof. Marija Maksin, PhD (Serbia)  
Academician Prof. Vera Popović, PhD (Serbia)  
Academician Prof. Juan Sánchez Monroe, PhD (Cuba)  
Academician Prof. Shailja Vasudeva, PhD (India)  
Academician Aleksandar Slaev, PhD (Bulgaria)  
Academician Prof. Mirko Smoljić, PhD (Croatia)  
Academician Prof. Hadžib Salkić, PhD (Bosnia and Hercegovina)  
Academician Prof. Enes Huseinagić, PhD (Bosnia and Hercegovina)  
Academician Prof. Vera Gantner, PhD (Croatia)  
Academician Ana Perić Momčilović, PhD (Switzerland)  
Academician Prof. Slobodanka Đolić, PhD (Serbia)  
Academician Slavka Zeković, PhD, Principal  
Research Fellow (Serbia)  
Academician Prof. Vjekoslav Budimirović, PhD (Serbia)  
Academician Prof. Mirsad Tarić, PhD (Bosnia and Hercegovina)  
Academician Prof. Dobrica Vesić, PhD (Serbia)  
Academician Prof. Radivoj Prodanović, PhD (Serbia)  
Academician Prof. Slavko Vukša, PhD (Serbia)  
Prof. Viliana Vasileva, PhD (Bulgaria)  
Prof. Alina-Mihaela Stoica, PhD (Romania)  
Prof. Tatjana Gerginova, PhD (R. North Macedonia)  
Prof. Elizabeta Miskoska-Milevska, PhD (R. North Macedonia)  
Prof. Ana Selamovska, PhD (R. North Macedonia)  
Prof. Željko Lakić, PhD (Bosnia and Hercegovina)  
Prof. Miloš Nožinić, PhD (Bosnia and Hercegovina)



## **SPECIFIC REACTIONS OF PLANTS TO ABIOTIC STRESSES WITH PHYSIOLOGICAL BIOCHEMICAL AND MOLECULAR PERSPECTIVES**

*Jelena Bošković<sup>114</sup>; Jelena Mladenović<sup>115</sup>; Vera Popović<sup>116</sup>; Vladica Ristić<sup>117</sup>;  
Aleksandar Stevanović<sup>118</sup>; Ljubica Šarčević-Teodosijević<sup>119</sup>*

### **Abstract**

Plant abiotic stress responses are vital yield restricting aspect in agriculture. Recent technology in plant biology allows research of such stress responses at a molecular scale in plants. Plants responses to abiotic stress are often considered as a complex process. Systems biology approaches allow visualizing and understanding how plant life works to overcome abiotic stress. These technologies have made noticeable contributions to the modern day improvements in our knowledge of plant biology. So, in this review, omics studies and the system biology approach towards abiotic stress tolerance in plants are highlighted. Therefore, the recent advances in biological sciences, such as transcriptomics, metabolomics, and proteomics, have assisted our understanding of the stress tolerant strategies adopted by plants, which could be further utilized to breed tolerant species.

**Key words:** *Abiotic stress, plants genomics, proteomics, transcriptomics, system biology.*

### **Introduction**

Abiotic stresses have always been a major concern for agronomic crops in terms of yield reduction. Plants are vulnerable to abiotic stresses, which include drought, salinity, and the accretion of heavy metals. The data have portrayed an alarming situation, with a crop yield reduction of 70% caused by abiotic stresses, which is

---

<sup>114</sup> Jelena Bošković, Full professor, PhD, Academician of IRASA, Metropolitan University, Tadeuša Koščušskog 63, Belgrade, Republic of Serbia, jelena.boskovic@metropolitan.ac.rs

<sup>115</sup> Jelena Mladenović, Bsci in ecology, University Business Academy in Novi Sad, Faculty of Economics and Engineering Management in Novi Sad, Cvečarska 2, 21000 Novi Sad, Republic of Serbia, jelenamladenovic3110@gmail.com

<sup>116</sup> Vera Popović, Principal Research Fellow, Full Professor, PhD, Academician of IRASA, Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops, Maxim Gorky 30, Novi Sad, Republic of Serbia, vera.popovic@ifvcns.ns.ac.rs; vera.popovic@nsseme.com

<sup>117</sup> Vladica Ristic, Full Professor, PhD, Academician of IRASA, Metropolitan University, Faculty of Applied Ecology "Futura", Republic of Serbia, vladicar011@gmail.com

<sup>118</sup> Aleksandar Stevanović, Lecturer, PhD, Academician of IRASA, Academy of Applied Technical Studies, Belgrade, Republic of Serbia, organic.polj.72@gmail.com

<sup>119</sup> Ljubica Šarčević-Todosijević, Sanitary Medical School of Applied Sciences „Visan“, Tošin bunar 7a, Belgrade, Republic of Serbia, ljsarcevic@gmail.com



considered the major constraining factor to crop productivity (1, 2). Natural resources are diminishing, which poses a serious question to agriculture stakeholders: how can food demand be managed for a rapidly growing population? Plants are always at the verge of risk when grown under natural conditions and often encounter abiotic stresses. Under such conditions, plants have developed some strategies that they adopt according to the prevailing conditions. Some plants can escape, whilst others try to avoid the lethal effects of the stresses (3, 4). However, some plants adopt a tolerant mechanism. Nevertheless, among all the mentioned strategies, they have to lose a certain amount of yield, but the tolerant mechanism is somehow less destructive for plants in comparison to other adopted strategies. Scientists have revealed that this process is very complex and engages with multiple processes, such as extensive modifications to metabolic and biochemical levels, which lead to the alteration of morpho-physiological pathways [5]. Furthermore, some changes in transcription and translational processes have also been noted. The advantage here is it clubs based descriptive approaches based on data integration predictive approaches based computational simulation that helps biologists to retrieve information from data gathered with the multitude of omics technologies and synthesised network models and also to study their response and pattern of growth in a simple and predictive manner. This approach brings down differences between biological studies and integrates them with computer science, mathematics, physics and chemistry. However, the essence of this area of study is still under debate over it to be a multidimensional data analysis field or dynamic modelling technique.

Plants are devoid of escaping as they are sessile and thus face the environmental condition as it is. Due to this, evolution has helped them to develop flexibility in their reaction to environmental stress caused by both abiotic as well as biotic factors like pathogen and pest attacks, sunlight/UV, drought, temperature, and nutritional stress. This flexibility refers to the genetic potential of the plant optimised for its survival in a wide range of environmental stress. Duplication events at the genome level in the past have led to the diversification of duplicated genes and pathways. Even such events and their analysis are not simple as they are complicated and the variable environment will elicit complex responses resulting from a different group of pathways and their components. This is also affected by the growth stage and the nutritional status of the system involved. Because of such complexities studying responses at the molecular level with the help of transcript and protein profiling is a difficult task to follow. Biological research has always been an integrative approach with the involvement of physiological, morphological, molecular, biochemical and genetic information pieces as seen in the case of plant breeding and ecological studies [4]. System biology studies have extended this practice to genome-scale, offering answers to complex issues by enabling virtual test and analysis and hypothesis testing [5]. The research at present is focused on to model stress response mechanisms of plants and to define their effect on many such different plant processes [6]. Recently these efforts are reinforced by an extensive range of software [7], made to develop an advanced and intuitive projection of the experimental data, and for designing networks and models that can allow users to generate new hypotheses for future



research. The principal needs for plant growth are carbon source, energy (light), water, and mineral nutrient. Abiotic stress is defined as physical environmental conditions that hamper the normal plant life processes, thereby reducing growth as well as yield below optimum levels. And the response of a plant to such stresses can be dynamic and complex (8; 9) as they can be both elastic (reversible) and plastic (irreversible). The effect of stress response faced by a plant depends on either the plant tissue or an organ as a whole. For example, transcriptional responses to stress in roots are specific, distinctive and are quite diverse depending on the stress involved (10). The level and duration of stress (acute vs chronic) also have a significant effect on the nature of the response (11; 12). Water stress or water deficit can inhibit plant growth by decreasing water uptake by the expanding cells, as a result altering the enzymatic and rheological properties of the plant cell wall; for instance, by the activity of ROS (reactive oxygen species) on the enzyme of the cell wall. Rather than this, water stress also affects the interaction of cell wall components; calcium and pectate and ultimately reacts on cell wall non-enzymatically [13]. Furthermore, the activity of aquaporin and xylem embolism also affect the water conductance to the expanding cells [14]. The initial water stress primarily inhibits growth before hampering the process of photosynthesis or respiration [15]. To study all these mechanisms, it is essential to know the process of abiotic stress response in plants. So, in this review, the different omics approach towards abiotic stress response in plants is elaborated along with the integrated system biological approaches which will help to understand the perspective towards abiotic stress response in plants.

### **Genomic Study of Plants in Response to Abiotic Stress**

The changing environmental conditions lead to trigger an extensive range of abiotic stress responses in plants, which may affect growth inhibition due to changing of transport and metabolic processes. Among other stresses, ion imbalance and hyperosmotic stress primarily influence by abiotic stress. Abiotic stress can increase the production and accumulation ROS, which was not at all good and may be detrimental to plants at higher concentrations and ultimately results in oxidative stress. This oxidative stress brings a significant imbalance in a cell compartment and leads to serious physiological challenges. Excess production of ROS causes damage to the biomolecules like proteins, carbohydrates, lipids, DNA and sometimes leading to apoptosis. Rather than this commencement of stress can also trigger some initial sensors (mostly unknown) which result into activation of the cytoplasmic Calcium ions and protein signaling pathways that show the way to physiological changes through stress-responsive gene expression. In case of both in vivo (greenhouse, field) and in vitro (tissue cultures) situation plant expose to different environmental stress such as water stress, osmotic and salinity stress, temperatures stress, wounding, light conditions, and atmospheric variables is of utmost importance. The plant response is unusual and complexed as it triggers and influences the integration of stress effects and responses at diverse underlying levels of organisation over space and time [16]. Under field conditions, these responses are often synergistically or antagonistically



affected by the superimposition of additional stresses. Mainly, drought and salinity are two major abiotic factors that draw up the boundaries of crop productivity [17]. To enhance crop productivity, it is important to recognise the mechanism of plant responses to environmental stress. All those abiotic stress in no way works alone and a complicated mechanism of diverse interacting environmental elements contributes at varying ranges to the general stress. The transduction pathways for osmotic and different environmental stress responses are probably to be very complex and could contain signal molecules which include ABA, cyclic nucleotides, and inositol polyphosphates. Thus, the best mechanism(s) by which plant life responds to drought or excessive salinity stays unresolved. Consequently, engineering genes that defend and preserve the character and shape of cell additives can enhance tolerance to stress. However, on the molecular level, the maximum of the modifications are probably the result of changes with inside the expression of genes. Therefore, it is critical to discover the applicable genes and represent their regulation in reaction to water and/ or salinity stress [18].

### **Gene expression in response to drought stress**

When plant exposed to drought, a large number of genes regulation were identified. To get the indepth knowledge about the specific function of these genes and their role in plant adaptation to water scarcity a broad range of tools, from gene expression patterns to the use of transgenic plants are being utilised. Many physiological parameters that structure out the plant adaptation to drought stress such as root size and depth, phenology, hydraulic conductivity and the storage of reserves are usually linked with structure and development of plant are more constitutive rather than stress induced. Rather than this tolerance to drought in plant largely lies in its ability to get rid of surplus radiation, concomitant stress under natural conditions. The physiological and molecular changes responsible for leaf photo protection mainly those in relation to thermal dissipation, and oxidative stress is being actively researched. The results revealed that most of the drought-regulated genes are also responsive to light (KNAT3, KNAT4, SEN1, DIN9, DIN10, and ACP4) and/ or circadian rhythm (e.g., CCA1, WNK1, and FSD1), indicating towards the fact that drought might affect a plant's light and circadian cycles and/or vice versa [19]. Rather than this, some drought-regulated genes are also responsible for multiple stresses and stimuli. For example, RD29B, COR47, and ERD14 have been identified to be both responsive to water deficiency and low-temperature stress; while RD20 and RD22 are identified as responsive to salinity and desiccation; VSP2 is suggested to be responsive to high temperature, oxidative stress, insect, aridness, salinity and wounding. It was reported that 67 genes that shown responses to all nine stress treatments (cold, osmotic stress, salt, drought, genotoxic stress, ultraviolet light, oxidative stress, wounding, and high temperature) [20]while RHL41 (At5g59820) only revealed responsive against salinity, wounding, heat, cold, oxidative stress, light stimulus and chitin. In recent times, emerging microarray technology has been used to discover stress-responsive genes in Arabidopsis [20]. To a certain extent, this technology has also been employed



to study soybean gene transcription under water stress in root and shoot (leaf) tissues at vegetative stage. For improvement of the stress tolerance of plants by gene transfer, mostly stress-inducible genes were used [21]. Even though hundreds of genes are identified to be involved in abiotic stress response only a few numbers of them have been well characterised [22], the functions of the remaining genes unclear and there are probably still more genes yet to be discovered.

### **Gene expression in response to salinity stress**

There are numerous evidences illustrating the changes in the gene expression occurring in plants in the aftermath of exposure to salt. During the last decade, a large number of salt-responsive genes have been identified and analysed. Salinity tolerance exists in a broad range, from very high plants (*Beta vulgaris*) to extremely low plants (*Citrus spp.*). Salt-tolerant genes were identified from salt tolerance species gives a direction to a better understanding of the mechanisms that differentiate them from their salt-sensitive counterparts. A number of different approaches have been undertaken to identify genes whose expression is influenced by salinity in plants. A plethora of techniques has been employed to identify and isolate genes whose expressions are influenced by salinity. Most of these experimental designs involve screening cDNA libraries that have been isolated from plants cells exposed to salt treatment. Differential screening with probes that are derived from mRNA isolated from salt-stressed and non-stressed plant tissue is one of the most successful methods used to isolate salt-responsive cDNA clones from these libraries. Nowadays, salt-sensitive mutants of yeast used cDNAs encoding proteins increase the salt tolerance. According to Pulla and coworkers [23] reported that cDNA clone having an S-adenosyl-L-methionine synthetase (SAMS) gene, called PgSAM (isolated from a commercial medicinal plant called *Panax ginseng*), gives the protection against different abiotic stresses. The prediction towards the encoding of a precursor protein of 307 amino acid residues may share high homology with a number of other plants SAMS [24]. There was a significant homology between the partial amino acid sequence of the protein and the nucleotide sequence of CSA with the mammalian glutathione peroxidase (GP). There was an increased level of expression of Cit-SAP in NaCl treated cultured citrus cells as well as in citrus plants irrigated with saline water, its homology to GP point out to the possibility that salt stress may be increasing the production of free radicals. Another study in rice (*Oryza sativa*) using cDNA microarray including about 1700 independent cDNAs was carried out to recognise cold, drought, high salinity, and/or ABA inducible genes. This analysis identified a total of 73 genes as stress-inducible candidate genes of which 62, 57, 43 and 32 genes were induced by drought, high salinity, ABA and cold respectively. Out of these 73 stress-inducible rice genes, 51 have already been reported in Arabidopsis with a similar function or a gene name. Transcriptome analysis also revealed novel stress inducible genes, suggesting some differences between Arabidopsis and rice in terms of their response to stress [25].





### **Gene expression in response to nutrient stress**

Amount of nutrient and mineral present interfere with the regular plant growth and development. Therefore one of the crucial goals of the plant breeding is to achieve high nutrient potency. Transcription analysis and micro array studies of 6172 genes in shoots and roots of *Arabidopsis* performed to check with macronutrients (like Nitrogen and Phosphorus), and/or microelements (like iron or Zinc) associated with P depletion. It was reported that near about 30% of these genes (nearly 1835 genes) were shown up or down regulation by two folds or more during the first 72 h under Phosphorus deprivation. These genes show a broad range of functions. In roots system more number of genes show down regulation (296 genes were specifically inhibited and 141 specifically upregulated) where as in shoot system the reverse occurred (617 down regulated and 488 upregulated genes) [26]. It's significant to point out that an adaptative reprogramming undoubtedly desires the more of downregulated genes than upregulated genes. Expression and signal transduction pathways embody transcription factors, macromolecule kinases, and enzymes related to phosphoinositide metabolism [27]. For instance, a 104-kDa macromolecule, SAP 104 (that accumulates in rice seedlings in response to several abiotic stress conditions) and immunological homologues of rice SAP 104 have been detected in many species both monocots and dicots. It might be projected that plants experiencing stress would require a strong protein turnover machinery that can degrade stress damaged and environmentally regulated proteins.

### **Gene expression in response to heavy metal stress**

Despite the fact that much of the progress made in the analysis of gene expression done at the mRNA levels have shared a depth understanding of plant's response to heavy metals, there are still many avenues to be discovered regarding the functional translated portions of a plant genome. A number of cellular regulatory proteins or signalling proteins participating in cell growth, apoptosis were targeted due to the carcinogenic effect of metals. Some factor was responsible for the expression of protective genes involved in DNA repair, powering immune system, restricting the proliferation of damaged cells, and inducing apoptosis [28]. Reported by many previous researchers most toxic stresses are due to heavy metal exposure that affects gene expression, through the modulation of genes such as NF-kB, AP-1, and p53 activities. Plants under heavy metal stress respond via distinct mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathways, cellular signalling mechanisms in roots due to an increased level of copper and cadmium ions. For instance, it was seen in alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*) seedlings that the exposure to a higher amount of copper or cadmium ions activated four distinct MAPKs: SIMK, MMK2, MMK3, and SAMK were also reported [29]. Meta stress induces the formation of small metal-binding peptides called phytochelatins [30], they encode phytochelatase synthases and regulates the metal detoxification process in eukaryotes [31]. Phytochelatins also sequestered phytochelatase-metal complexes into the vacuoles to assist tolerance for heavy metal



stress. Tonoplast localized Abc2 transporter mediates phytochelatin by accumulation in vacuoles and thereby confers cadmium tolerance [32]. Expression of the serine acetyltransferase (SAT) gene family in *Arabidopsis thaliana* was studied in response to exposure to heavy metal cadmium (Cd). Expression of all the SAT genes was upregulated at different levels by Cd treatment in the leaf lamella, trichomes, root and stem cortex [33].

## **Transcriptomics: A Key to Understanding Abiotic Stress Responses in Plants**

Transcriptome profiling study contributes to a transparent understanding of plant responses to abiotic stresses. Recently, transcriptome analysis aided by next-generation sequencing (NGS), RNA-seq for sRNAs and their relevance in genomics research, have improved plant genomic resources [34]. Five thousand three hundred sixty-five variably expressed probe sets were identified. Alamo, a switch grass cultivar opened to heat stress, exploiting switch grass Affymetrix gene chips [35]. By analysing transcriptomes under heat stress, 16 common genes have been identified in four monocots; switch grass, rice, wheat and maize. As most of them were associated with protein refolding processes; they can be exploited as valuable biomarkers for identifying heat sensitive plant genotypes. Wakasa and coworkers worked on RNA sequencing-mediated expression profiling in the transgenic rice plants, produced as a result of homologous recombination, in which endogenous genomic *OsIRE1* (ER stress sensor/transducer) was replaced by missense alleles that were defective in ribonuclease activity [36]. These results give direction towards mining valuable information about the ER stress response and the discovery of new ER stress-related genes. A comparison of plant faces under laboratory conditions and in the field are exposed to several types of stresses that claim agonistic or antagonistic responses or at the same time a number of potentially unrelated responses to a specific stress condition.

Asimilar result was reported by Rasmussen and coworkers by comparing transcriptome changes in ten different *Arabidopsis thaliana* ecotypes under diverse stresses and their combinations [37]. This study revealed that a majority of the transcriptome changes *i.e.*, 61%, in response to double stresses were not projected from the responses to the single stress treatments. In light of this research, researchers have outlined coexpression network modules responding to single as well as combined stresses. Studies on RNA-seq analysis of *Chenopodium quinoa* under four different water treatments (field capacity to drought) exhibited an overlap among drought stress tolerance and other similar abiotic stress mechanisms [38]. Kudapa and coworkers [39] employed several Sanger EST collections of chickpea, along with sequence data from two different Next Generation Sequencing platforms (Illumina and FLX/454) of chickpea, to produce an extensive transcriptome assembly (CaTA v2). Additionally, NIPGR (National Institute of Plant Genome Research, India) has developed the Chickpea Transcriptome Database (CTDB), which can provide comprehensive datasets and information about the chickpea transcriptome. Apart



from NGS, another technique; subtractive cDNA suppression hybridisation (SSH) technology has proved to be very effective in transcriptomic studies in revealing stress responses [40]. Transcriptome sequencing of chrysanthemum plants under dehydration stress using the Illumina sequencing also cleared the understanding of the molecular mechanisms of dehydration stress responses [41]. Zhu and coworkers [42] employed a comparative microarray analysis approach to explore the transcriptome changes in cotton under five different abiotic stresses. Their study revealed the functional genes and also the stress-related pathways. This suggested an interaction of responsive genes or pathways towards multiple abiotic stresses in the cotton seedlings. Transcriptomic technologies can be exploited to provide a deep understanding and unbiased representation of the transcript datasets, which is very crucial in non-model plants that lack genome sequence information [43]. However, the frequent inconsistency between protein levels and loads of cognate gene transcripts suggests the requirement of complementary analysis of the proteome for future validation of candidate genes and their pathways [44].

### **Proteomics: A Closer Look at Translatome Regulating Cellular Responses**

Basically, gen-Omics studies depend on transcriptome analysis over ever changing external conditions so as to explore transcripts that are differentially regulated, and sometimes it is hypothesised that changes in transcript levels might result in corresponding changes in macromolecule levels. However, it has been shown by many workers that macromolecule levels don't usually essentially corresponds to messenger RNA levels [45; 46] Anderson and co-authors [47] reported that there is a very low level of correlation in quantity between mRNA and protein abundance. Protein level can be regulated by changing either the rate of synthesis, stability of the mRNA, or the stability of the protein itself [48]. The study of translatome is in reference to the pool of all RNAs that are present with ribosomes purified using an affinity tag. Study of the translatome or proteome can confirm the presence as well as gives a direct measure of the quantity present. Proteins are the functional entities or translated portion of the genome that play a crucial role in plant stress response. It allows us to understand the protein networks and metabolic pathways involved in stress tolerance mechanism. Identification of a master regulator protein that plays a key role in the abiotic stress response pathway is of utmost importance for developing or modelling a genetically engineered crop so as to allow us to understand stress response. Halbeisen co-authors [49] analysed the transcriptome along with the translatome in yeast cells which were exposed to different stresses, to study the discrepancy between transcripts and the protein levels. Their analysis suggested that transcriptome and translatome show a strong coordinated response, particularly under severe stress. In *A. thaliana*, an investigation was undertaken to study the translational regulation under control and sublethal hypoxia stress conditions by precise mapping of ribosome footprints (RFs) on mRNAs, the results showed that there was nearly 100-fold variation in the efficiency of translation of the mRNAs under each



condition. Such studies have illuminated scientific research about the peculiarity of posttranscriptional and translational regulation controlled by a lower level of external stress [50]. Translational efficiency of individual mRNAs of *A. thaliana* seedling after exposure to temperature stress was studied by Yanguéz co-authors [51] using genome wide analysis and they concluded that translation exerts a wide regulation on gene expression. Unlike some mRNAs where the translation is severely re-pressed, translation of homeostasis and also stress-related mRNAs do follow a differential pattern. Their work established that mRNAs with specific characteristic features, such low 5-UTR G + C content and small cDNA length, are translated preferentially. Proteomics have been extensively employed by researchers to solve the problem of heavy metal stress. *Brassica juncea* L. roots, under Cd stress, overexpress sulfite reductase and O-acetyl serine sulfhydrylase proteins because of the reduction of sulfate to its cysteine form [52]. Proteomics study involving leaf mesophyll tonoplast of *Hordeum vulgare* L, revealed an MRP like ABC transporter along with two novel CAX transporters (CAX1a and CAX5), indicating Cd<sup>2+</sup> transport into the vacuole [53]. Additionally, in *Glycine max* L. leaf samples, the abundance of both Hsp70 as well as peroxiredoxin were reported [54] and up-regulation of Cd- chelating pathways proteins were reported in different plant species viz. *A. thaliana*, *G. max* and *Linum usitatissimum*. [55] [56] [57] [58]. Similar work has also been carried out in *Lupinus albus* roots with B deficiency, where proteins that are involved in cell division or metabolic processes were down-regulated [59]. Increased expression of proteins involved in ROS detoxification, defence responses, photosynthesis and chloroplast organisation were reported in *Zea mays* under Cr stress [60].

## **Metabolomics: Pinning Down the Stress-Responsive Pathways**

In recent years, metabolomics provided a new horizon to plant stress related studies and has become an indispensable tool in deciphering the molecular mechanisms underlying stress responses [61]. Exposure to stresses makes the plant metabolism to undergo reconfiguration to maintain the metabolic homeostasis and generation of compounds that face the stress. In light of recent developments, like metabolomics and systems biology approaches, detailed information about the crucial components of metabolic pathways have been obtained. Metabolomic studies of *A. thaliana* plants studied under drought stress has revealed that the accumulation of different metabolites, such as amino acids such as gamma amino butyrate (GABA), proline, tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle metabolites and raffinose family oligosaccharides, and which are known to be produced in response to drought stress in plants [62]. ABA-dependent transcriptional regulation which is responsible for activation of many stress-related metabolic pathways, have been reported using mutant and transcriptomic approach [62]. Metabolite profiling has revealed a temporal distinction in *A. thaliana* leaves when subjected to mild osmotic stress [63]. Correlating with the transcriptional response matured leaves expressed typical drought-responsive genes like proline, erythritol and putrescine that gradually decreased in expanding leaves [64]. The same was observed in metabolic studies that pointed out the variable



response and degrees of desiccation of plant metabolism temporally. As expected, amino acid metabolism showed that most of the amino acids accumulated in severely desiccated leaves as compared to mildly desiccated leaves.

Metabolite profiling done in maize and wheat when exposed to water stress conditions suggested a common change in the levels of metabolites like branched-chain amino acids (BCAAs) [65]. Verslues and Juenger [66] reported an important role of metabolic regulation that pointed out the regulation of photosynthesis and accumulation of osmolytes as a drought stress response in *A. thaliana*. Caldana and co-workers [67] analysed transcriptome and metabolome profiling of *A. thaliana* subjecting it to eight different environmental conditions. Metabolic response under high light displayed an accumulation of photorespiratory intermediates like glycine and glycolate in the early phase. Interestingly, they have also reported similar responses during the midphase of high light stress and low-temperature treatments, including accumulation of fructose, phenylalanine and shikimate, and a decrease in succinate. However, the physiological basis of such overlapped responses is currently not well understood. Kusano and co-workers [68] analysed the effect of UV light on *A. thaliana* metabolism and reported a biphasic response. In the early phase of stress, major changes in levels of primary metabolites, including ascorbate derivatives, were seen. Classically defined UV-B protectants, such as flavonoids and phenolics, showed mid- to late-term responses. Cell priming upon early exposure to UV-B involving reprogramming of the metabolism can be suggested for efficient diversion of carbon towards aromatic amino acid precursors of the phenyl propanoid pathway [69]. Metabolite profiling done in *A. thaliana* leaves has helped in explaining the metabolic basis of darkness induced senescence and the function of mitochondrial alternative; electron transport pathway under dark treatment [70]. In other metabolite profiling studies, an increase in BCAAs, *i.e.* leucine, valine, isoleucine, and other amino acids sharing synthetic pathways with BCAAs *i.e.* threonine, lysine and methionine were reported under abiotic stress conditions [71]. The authors have suggested BCAAs function to be as compatible osmolytes since drought stress leads to an increase in accumulation of BCAAs in the plant tissues. Interestingly, protein degradation also serves as an alternative respiratory substrate for plants under stress [72]. All of these techniques have greatly increased our understanding related to candidate genes, proteins and their pathways playing major roles in plant stress responses but there is still a long arena to be explored. More recently, researchers have tried to combine either two or all three *Omics* approaches to have a holistic view of stress responses [73]. Zeng and co-workers [74] have combined these *Omics* approaches to study the alkaloid biosynthesis in *Macleaya sp.* Phosphate-deficient studies in *A. thaliana* roots done by Lan coworkers [75] showed multiple levels of gene regulation thereby suggesting integrated measurement, interpretation of changes in protein and transcript is sufficient for generating a complete package of the components critical for stress responses.

A data warehouse of maize; OPTIMAS-DW has been developed by Colmsee and co-workers [76]. It is capable of handling various data domains, integrates data from these domains (such as metabolomics, ionomics, transcriptomics, proteomics,



phenomics) and enables the user to explore different systems biology questions. Amiour and coworkers [77] studied the potential use of 'Omics' studies for a better understanding of whole-plant nitrogen. Srivastava and co-workers [78] put forward a data evaluation strategy to derive an efficient way of compiling complex and multi-platform datasets to obtain major biological information in transgenic *Populus* plants harbouring superoxide dismutase gene. It provided system-level information on the responses to oxidative stress and ROS metabolism.

## **Systems Biology Approach towards Abiotic Stress Response in Plants**

### **Co-expression analyses identifying regulatory hubs**

Investigation of co-expression of candidate genes utilising online, analytical scientific instruments, like ATTED-II [79], is a significant utilisation of transcriptomics data. For knowing consistency ties and finding major genes, this methodology is extremely stimulating. MYB transcription factors managing glucosinolate biosynthesis in *Arabidopsis* were identified by Hirai and co-authors [80] owing to S and N deficiency approach of metabolomics and transcriptomics. In glucosinolate digestion, genes and also the metabolites were discovered to be coordinately controlled [81]. A quality co-articulation network investigation of 1094 microarrays of *Arabidopsis* was conducted by Mao and co-authors using a non-target methodology and recognised 382 modules [82].

Photosynthesis, protein unity and response to oxidative stress were three primary components with the most hubs. Using the InferGene software programme, Carrera and coworkers [83] analysed the *Arabidopsis* genome to develop a regulatory model for it. Ten numbers of genes, including *KAN3* (auxin), *MYB29* (gibberellin), *MYB121* (abscisic acid), *ERF1* (ethylene) and *ANAC0366* (stress response TFs), were projected as the most relevant expressed genes in the *Arabidopsis* genome. While researching the drought response, Lorenz and coworkers [84] found a number of hubs in transcriptional units of loblolly pine roots. An inositol transporter gene thioredoxin, a cardiolipin synthase or phosphatidyl transferase gene, 9-cis-epoxycarotenoid dioxygenase gene, SnRK2 kinase gene and zeatin O-glucosyltransferase gene have been the highly marked and ranked hubs established [84]. The key regulators of the pathway of phospholipid metabolism, the signalling pathway of ABA biosynthesis and the pathway of cytokine metabolism are all these genes. The coexpression analysis was examined by Weston and coworkers [85] to find a way to identify six abiotic stress reaction modules in *Arabidopsis*, including Calcium signal transduction genes and ankyrin-repeat protein genes. Using this approach and analysis, tests were conducted to investigate the response of heat and light on various plant species and evidence were reported involving heat shock proteins, heat tolerance, ROS, photosynthesis and metabolism of oligosaccharides [86]. In addition, auxin was also found to interact with the ABA thus raising the plant's ABA sensitivity (90).

Polymerase silencing (ADP-ribose) improved the greater threshold to light stress in *Arabidopsis* [91]. Reduced oxidative stress and enhanced energy use efficiency were



part of the increased abiotic stress tolerance [92]. Kusano and co-authors [93] conducted a time series experiment utilizing both metabolomics as well as transcriptomics analyses on the effects of Ultraviolet-B light on *Arabidopsis*. They found that plants responded in two stages by upregulating primary metabolites during the first stage and by producing secondary defensive metabolites, in phenolics, in the second phase. Phenolic induction corresponded to transcripts that were participating in the phenylpropanoid pathway. However, the primary metabolism transcripts were less stable, showing that some mechanisms could regulate this pathway [94].

### **Integration of Omic Approach**

The integration of transcript analysis and metabolite profiling has accomplished some convergence of methods; it could be most easily documented in this way to represent the reasoning of those downstream effects of changed transcription [95]. The increasing focus on metabolomics research is evident in the generation of metabolite detectors and repositories that integrate metabolite profiling and transcript profiling [96]. The lack of information on metabolomic flux parameters [97], that could be mitigated by the use of new powerful tools and procedures for imaging of NMR, which is a known weakness at present [97]. Experiments should have based on the performance of protein molecules or groups of proteins [98]. Specific profiling frameworks can bring together information on processes dependent on abiotic stress that must be fit into a plant-wide stress repository by bioinformatics to create novel phenotypes and also allows the exporting of this information to crop species. The genetic reaction of plants to abiotic stresses is usually described as a dynamic process based on the regulation of stress-related gene transcription. Recent results have, however, pointed towards new layers of complexity and regulation. In the plants exposed to abiotic stress, upstream biochemical molecules are responsible for the regulation of schedules and quantities of particular stress responses. The actual transcriptome that promotes the stress response is defined through post-transcriptional mechanisms compared to RNA processing, alternative splicing, as well as RNA silencing [98]. Many posttranslational adjustments like sumoylation and ubiquitination control the triggering of previously molecules existing outside regulatory proteins to maintain a rapid stress response. Besides that, there has been a bridge between these mechanisms that clearly demonstrates additional and overlaid levels of complexity in response to the environmental conditions. The system of posttranscriptional and posttranslational changes maintains downstream stress-related gene expression that are temporally and spatially appropriate.



## Future Perspectives studies

In this review paper, the genomic studies, transcriptomic studies, proteomics studies as well as the metabolomics studies of plants in response to abiotic stress were discussed. Systems biology of plant stress responses calls for a massive quantity of genome statistics for the microarray research from samples mainly in diverse stress conditions. Methods like nuclear magnetic resonance and mass spectroscopy need to be advanced in addition to identifying a larger quantity of proteins as well as metabolites in plant samples. Computational strategies to make strong models that capture the complexities of plant biological processes also are required. In this review, the systems biology approach along with the integration of omic approach towards abiotic stress response in plants was also discussed. The aggregate of data integration and modelling can also additionally assist in making the *digital plant* grow to be a reality. The capacity to perform in silico experiments in such a plant will revolutionize the study of plant systems biology. The linkage of key regulatory locations or genes to its phenotypic tendencies will permit for fast development in genetic manipulation in crop plants. Omics research has accrued many statistics at transcript, protein and metabolite levels to understand the survival potential of plants in abiotic stress.

Climate change and agriculture productivity are directly linked. It is the leading cause of several environmental stresses, including drought, salinity, and metal toxicity in soil and the environment. An increase in these environmental stresses is a major global concern for crop productivity and food security. The deleterious effects of these environmental stresses hamper plant physiological, biochemical, and molecular mechanisms, including ROS scavenging, metabolic energy supply, signal transduction, redox homeostasis, glycolysis, and the biosynthesis of carbohydrates and nucleotides. Moreover, increased intrusions have led to environmental contamination with a toxic concentration of heavy metals/metalloids. Furthermore, the uptake of these toxic metals/metalloids leads to their enhanced accumulation in food, which causes serious health problems in human beings. These emerging threats are devastating for global crop production; thus, a comprehensive understanding of the biological processes is required to cope with toxic metals/metalloid toxicity in the emerging technological era, and it is important to develop climate-smart plants that are adaptive to extreme environments.

In plants, the stress tolerance pattern against abiotic stress is more complex to develop and engineer as compared to biotic stress due to the involvement of various signaling pathways. Therefore, the expression of a gene(s), with the combination of some proteins and metabolites, is preeminent concerning the signaling and regulatory pathways that are involved in stress tolerance. Stakeholders are continuously working to improve the genetic structure of plants and achieve significant progress; however, the complexities of the various mechanisms that are involved in stress tolerance capabilities are still under examination. With the technological advancements in operating tools and omics approaches, scientists are systematizing genetic codes into improved combinations that will be more effective against abiotic stresses. Therefore,





more studies should be carried out by adopting the multidisciplinary approaches of omics technology, i.e., transcriptomics, metabolomics, and proteomics, to modify important cash crops against the future threats of abiotic stresses.

### Acknowledgements

The organizer gratefully acknowledges the work done by Scientific Committee of the SETI V 2023 International Scientific Conference for efforts done for the success of this event.

### References

- [1] Ma, Y.; Liu, K.; Zhang, C.; Lin, F.; Hu, W.; Jiang, Y.; Tao, X.; Han, Y.; Han, L.; Liu, C. Comparative root transcriptome analysis of two soybean cultivars with different cadmium sensitivities reveals the underlying tolerance mechanisms. *Genome* 2021, 99, 1–16.
- [2] Jewell, M.C.; Campbell, B.C.; Godwin, I.D. Transgenic plants for abiotic stress resistance. In *Transgenic Crop Plants*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2010; pp. 67–132.
- [3] Ernst, W.H.; KRAUSS, G.J.; Verkleij, J.A.; Wesenberg, D. Interaction of heavy metals with the Sulphur metabolism in angiosperms from an ecological point of view. *Plant Cell Environ.* 2008, 3, 123–143.
- [4] Cramer, G.R.; Urano, K.; Delrot, S.; Pezzotti, M.; Shinozaki, K. Effects of abiotic stress on plants: A systems biology perspective.
- [5] BMC Plant Biol. 2011, 11, 1–14. Janicka-Russak, M.; Kabała, K.; Burzynski, M.; Kłobus, G. Response of plasma membrane H<sup>+</sup>-ATPase to heavy metal stress in *Cucumis sativus* roots. *J. Exp. Bot.* 2008, 59, 3721–3728.
- [6] World Health Organisation. A Report about Drought. 2022. Available online: <https://www.who.int/health-topics/drought>
- [7] Yadav, B.; Jogawat, A.; Rahman, M.S.; Narayan, O.P. Secondary metabolites in the drought stress tolerance of crop plants: A review. *Gene Rep.* 2021, 23, 101040.
- [8] Garzón, T.; Gunsé, B.; Moreno, A.R.; Tomos, A.D.; Barceló, J.; Poschenrieder, C. Aluminium-induced alteration of ion homeostasis in root tip vacuoles of two maize varieties differing in Al tolerance. *Plant Sci.* 2011, 180, 709–715.
- [9] Hayat, S.; Khalique, G.; Irfan, M.; Wani, A.S.; Tripathi, B.N.; Ahmad, A. Physiological changes induced by chromium stress in plants: An overview. *Protoplasma* 2012, 249, 599–611.
- [10] Anjum, S.A.; Ashraf, U.; Zohaib, A.; Tanveer, M.; Naeem, M.; Ali, I.; Nazir, U. Growth and development responses of crop plants under drought stress: A review. *Zemdirbyste* 2017, 104, 267–276.
- [11] Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K. Gene networks involved in drought stress response and tolerance. *J. Exp. Bot.* 2007, 58, 221–227.
- [12] Zhu, J.K. Plant salt tolerance. *Trends Plant Sci.* 2001, 6, 66–71.



- [13] Zhao, G.Q.; Ma, B.L.; Ren, C.Z. Growth, gas exchange, chlorophyll fluorescence, and ion content of naked oat in response to salinity. *Crop Sci.* 2007, 4, 123–131.
- [14] FAO Soils Portal 2020. Available online: <http://www.fao.org/soils-portal/soilmanagement/management-ofsome-problem-soils/salt-affected-soils/moreinformation-on-salt-affected-soils/en/>
- [15] Kavamura, V.N.; Esposito, E. Biotechnological strategies applied to the decontamination of soils polluted with heavy metals. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 2010, 28, 61–69.
- [16] Miransari, M. Hyper accumulators, arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and stress of heavy metals. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 2011, 29, 645–653.
- [17] Shahid, M.; Pinelli, E.; Dumat, C. Review of Pb availability and toxicity to plants in relation with metal speciation; Role of synthetic and natural organic ligands. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2012, 219, 1–12.
- [18] Gill, S.S.; Hasanuzzaman, M.; Nahar, K.; Macovei, A.; Tuteja, N. Importance of nitric oxide in cadmium stress tolerance in crop plants. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 2013, 63, 254–261.
- [19] Wang, C.Q.; Wang, T.; Mu, P.; Li, Z.C.; Yang, L. Quantitative trait loci for mercury tolerance in rice seedlings. *Rice Sci.* 2013, 20, 238–242.
- [20] Srivastava, G.; Kumar, S.; Dubey, G.; Mishra, V.; Prasad, S.M. Nickel and Ultraviolet-B stresses induce differential growth and photosynthetic responses in *Pisum sativum* L. seedlings. *Biol. Trace Elem. Res.* 2012, 149, 86–96.
- [21] Fidalgo, F.; Azenha, M.; Silva, A.F.; de Sousa, A.; Santiago, A.; Ferraz, P.; Teixeira, J. Copper-induced stress in *Solanum nigrum* L. and antioxidant defense system responses. *Food Energy Secur.* 2013, 2, 70–80.
- [22] Afridi, M.S.; Van Hamme, J.D.; Bundschuh, J.; Khan, M.N.; Salam, A.; Waqar, M.; Munis, M.F.H.; Chaudhary, H.J. Biotechnological approaches in agriculture and environmental management-bacterium *Kocuria rhizophila* 14ASP as heavy metal and salt-tolerant plant growth-promoting strain. *Biologia.* 2021, 76, 3091–3105.
- [23] DalCorso, G.; Manara, A.; Furini, A. An overview of heavy metal challenge in plants: From roots to shoots. *Metallomics* 2013, 5, 1117–1132.
- [24] Farias, J.G.; Antes, F.L.; Nunes, P.A.; Nunes, S.T.; Schaich, G.; Rossato, L.V.; Nicoloso, F.T. Effects of excess copper in vineyard soils on the mineral nutrition of potato genotypes. *Food Energy Secur.* 2013, 2, 49–69.
- [25] Bailey-Serres, J.; Parker, J.E.; Ainsworth, E.A.; Oldroyd, G.E.; Schroeder, J.I. Genetic strategies for improving crop yields. *Nature* 2019, 575, 109–118.
- [26] Sheteiwy, M.S.; Ali, D.F.I.; Xiong, Y.C.; Brestic, M.; Skalicky, M.; Alhaj Hamoud, Y.; Ulhassan, Z.; Shaghaleh, H.; AbdElgawad, H.; Farooq, M.; et al. Physiological and biochemical responses of soybean plants inoculated with Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and Bradyrhizobium under drought stress. *BMC Plant Biol.* 2021, 21, 1–21.
- [27] Cui, G.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, W.; Lang, D.; Zhang, X.; Li, Z.; Zhang, X. Response of carbon and nitrogen metabolism and secondary metabolites to drought stress and salt stress in plants. *J. Plant Biol.* 2019, 62, 387–399.



- [28] Da Silva, E.C.; Nogueira, R.; da Silva, M.A.; de Albuquerque, M.B. Drought stress and plant nutrition. *Plant Stress* 2011, 5, 32–41.
- [29] Hussain, H.A.; Hussain, S.; Khaliq, A.; Ashraf, U.; Anjum, S.A.; Men, S.; Wang, L. Chilling and Drought Stresses in Crop Plants: Implications, cross Talk, and Potential Management Opportunities. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2018, 9, 393.
- [30] Sharma, A.; Zheng, B. Melatonin mediated regulation of drought stress: Physiological and molecular aspects. *Plants* 2019, 8, 190.
- [31] Arif, Y.; Singh, P.; Siddiqui, H.; Bajguz, A.; Hayat, S. Salinity induced physiological and biochemical changes in plants: An omic approach towards salt stress tolerance. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 2020, 156, 64–77.
- [32] Wang, Y.; Wang, J.; Guo, D.; Zhang, H.; Che, Y.; Li, Y.; Zhang, H. Physiological and comparative transcriptome analysis of leaf response and physiological adaptation to saline alkali stress across pH values in alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*). *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 2021, 167, 140–152.
- [33] Afridi, M.S.; Mahmood, T.; Salam, A.; Mukhtar, T.; Mehmood, S.; Ali, J.; Khatoon, Z.; Bibi, M.; Javed, M.T.; Sultan, T.; et al. Induction of tolerance to salinity in wheat genotypes by plant growth promoting endophytes: Involvement of ACC deaminase and antioxidant enzymes. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 2019, 139, 569–577.
- [34] Methenni, K.; Abdallah, M.B.; Nouairi, I.; Smaoui, A.; Zarrouk, M.; Youssef, N.B. Salicylic acid and calcium pretreatments alleviate the toxic effect of salinity in the Oueslati olive variety. *Sci. Hortic.* 2018, 233, 349–358.
- [35] Betzen, B.M.; Smart, C.M.; Maricle, K.L.; MariCle, B.R. Effects of increasing salinity on photosynthesis and plant water potential in Kansas salt marsh species. *Trans. Kans. Acad. Sci.* 2019, 122, 49–58.
- [36] Cambridge, M.L.; Zavala-Perez, A.; Cawthray, G.R.; Mondon, J.; Kendrick, G.A. Effects of high salinity from desalination brine on growth, photosynthesis, water relations and osmolyte concentrations of seagrass *Posidonia australis*. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 2017, 115, 252–260.
- [37] Ali, S.; Jin, R.; Gill, R.A.; Mwamba, T.M.; Zhang, N.; Islam, F.; Ali, S.; Zhou, W. Beryllium stress-induced modifications in antioxidant machinery and plant ultrastructure in the seedlings of black and yellow seeded oilseed rape. *Biomed Res. Int.* 2018.
- [38] Ali, S.; Gill, R.A.; Ulhassan, Z.; Najeeb, U.; Kanwar, M.K.; Abid, M.; Mwamba, T.M.; Huang, Q.; Zhou, W. Insights on the responses of Brassica napus cultivars against the cobalt-stress as revealed by carbon assimilation, anatomical changes and secondary metabolites. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 2018, 156, 183–196.
- [39] Ulhassan, Z.; Ali, S.; Gill, R.A.; Mwamba, T.M.; Abid, M.; Li, L.; Zhang, N.; Zhou, W. Comparative orchestrating response of four oilseed rape (*Brassica napus*) cultivars against the selenium stress as revealed by physio-chemical, ultrastructural and molecular profiling. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 2018, 161, 634–647.
- [40] Ulhassan, Z.; Gill, R.A.; Ali, S.; Mwamba, T.M.; Ali, B.; Wang, J.; Huang, Q.; Aziz, R.; Zhou, W. Dual behavior of selenium: Insights into physio-biochemical,



- anatomical and molecular analyses of four Brassica napus cultivars. *Chemosphere* 2019, 225, 329–341.
- [41] Ulhassan, Z.; Huang, Q.; Gill, R.A.; Ali, S.; Mwamba, T.M.; Ali, B.; Hina, F.; Zhou, W. Protective mechanisms of melatonin against selenium toxicity in Brassica napus: Insights into physiological traits, thiol biosynthesis and antioxidant machinery. *BMC Plant Biol.* 2019, 19, 1–16.
- [42] Ulhassan, Z.; Gill, R.A.; Huang, H.; Ali, S.; Mwamba, T.M.; Ali, B.; Huang, Q.; Hamid, Y.; Khan, A.R.; Wang, J. Selenium mitigates the chromium toxicity in Brassica napus L. by ameliorating nutrients uptake, amino acids metabolism and antioxidant defense system. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 2019, 145, 142–152.
- [43] Yang, S.; Ulhassan, Z.; Shah, A.M.; Khan, A.R.; Azhar, W.; Hamid, Y.; Hussain, S.; Sheteiwy, M.S.; Salam, A.; Zhou, W. Salicylic acid underpins silicon in ameliorating chromium toxicity in rice by modulating antioxidant defense, ion homeostasis and cellular ultrastructure. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 2021, 166, 1001–1013.
- [44] Mwamba, T.M.; Islam, F.; Ali, B.; Lwalaba, J.L.; Gill, R.A.; Zhang, F.; Farooq, M.A.; Ali, S.; Ulhassan, Z.; Huang, Q.; et al. Comparative metabolomic responses of low-and high-cadmium accumulating genotypes reveal the cadmium adaptive mechanism in Brassica napus. *Chemosphere* 2020, 250, 126308.
- [45] Salam, A.; Khan, A.R.; Liu, L.; Yang, S.; Azhar, W.; Ulhassan, Z.; Zeeshan, M.; Wu, J.; Fan, X.; Gan, Y. Seed priming with zinc oxide nanoparticles downplayed ultrastructural damage and improved photosynthetic apparatus in maize under cobalt stress. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2021, 423, 127021.
- [46] Keunen, E.; Remans, T.; Bohler, S.; Vangronsveld, J.; Cuypers, A. Metal-induced oxidative stress and plant mitochondria. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2011, 12, 6894–6918.
- [47] Aslam, M.; Aslam, A.; Sheraz, M.; Ali, B.; Ulhassan, Z.; Najeeb, U.; Zhou, W.; Gill, R.A. Lead Toxicity in Cereals: Mechanistic Insight Into Toxicity, Mode of Action, and Management. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2021, 11, 2248.
- [48] Dubey, S.; Shri, M.; Gupta, A.; Rani, V.; Chakrabarty, D. Toxicity and detoxification of heavy metals during plant growth and metabolism. *Environ. Chem. Lett.* 2018, 16, 1169–1192.
- [49] Morkunas, I.; Wozniak, A.; Mai, V.C.; Rucin'ska-Sobkowiak, R.; Jeandet, P. The role of heavy metals in plant response to biotic stress. *Molecules* 2018, 23, 2320.
- [50] Umezawa, T.; Fujita, M.; Fujita, Y.; Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K.; Shinozaki, K. Engineering drought tolerance in plants: Discovering and tailoring genes to unlock the future. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 2006, 17, 113–122.
- [51] Lan Thi Hoang, X.; Du Nhi, N.H.; Binh Anh Thu, N.; Phuong Thao, N.; Phan Tran, L.-S.J.C.g. Transcription factors and their roles in signal transduction in plants under abiotic stresses. *Curr. Genom.* 2017, 18, 483–497.
- [52] Valliyodan, B.; Nguyen, H.T. Understanding regulatory networks and engineering for enhanced drought tolerance in plants. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 2006, 9, 189–195.



- [53] Manavalan, L.P.; Guttikonda, S.K.; Phan Tran, L.S.; Nguyen, H.T. Physiological and molecular approaches to improve drought resistance in soybean. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 2009, 50, 1260–1276.
- [54] Tran, L.S.P.; Nishiyama, R.; Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K.; Shinozaki, K. Potential utilization of NAC transcription factors to enhance abiotic stress tolerance in plants by biotechnological approach. *GM Crops* 2010, 1, 32–39.
- [55] Yang, J.H.; Lee, K.H.; Du, Q.; Yang, S.; Yuan, B.; Qi, L. A membrane-associated NAC domain transcription factor XVP interacts with TDIF co-receptor and regulates vascular meristem activity. *New Phytol.* 2020, 226, 59–74.
- [56] Wray, G.A.; Hahn, M.W.; Abouheif, E.; Balhoff, J.P.; Pizer, M.; Rockman, M.V.; Romano, L.A. The evolution of transcriptional regulation in eukaryotes. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 2003, 20, 1377–1419.
- [57] Hrmova, M.; Hussain, S.S. Plant Transcription Factors Involved in Drought and Associated Stresses. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2021, 22, 5662.
- [58] Shiu, S.H.; Shih, M.C.; Li, W.H. Transcription factor families have much higher expansion rates in plants than in animals. *Plant physiol.* 2005, 139, 18–26.
- [59] Baillo, E.H.; Kimotho, R.N.; Zhang, Z.; Xu, P. Transcription factors associated with abiotic and biotic stress tolerance and their potential for crops improvement. *Genes* 2019, 10, 771.
- [60] Brevedan, R.; Egli, D. Short periods of water stress during seed filling, leaf senescence, and yield of soybean. *Crop Sci.* 2003, 43, 2083–2088.
- [61] Van Asten, P.J.; Fermont, A.; Taulya, G. Drought is a major yield loss factor for rainfed East African highland banana.
- [62] *Agric. Water Manag.* 2011, 98, 541–552. Wohlbach, D.J.; Quirino, B.F.; Sussman, M.R. Analysis of the Arabidopsis histidine kinase ATHK1 reveals a connection between vegetative osmotic stress sensing and seed maturation. *Plant Cell* 2008, 20, 1101–1117.
- [63] Ichimura, K.; Shinozaki, K.; Tena, G.; Sheen, J.; Henry, Y.; Champion, A.; Heberle-Bors, E. Mitogen-activated protein kinase cascades in plants: A new nomenclature. *Trends Plant Sci.* 2002, 7, 301–308.
- [64] Rodriguez, M.C.; Petersen, M.; Mundy, J. Mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling in plants. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 2010, 61, 621–649.
- [65] Tena, G.; Asai, T.; Chiu, W.L.; Sheen, J. Plant mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling cascades. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 2001, 4, 392–400.
- [66] Xu, J.; Zhang, S. Mitogen-activated protein kinase cascades in signaling plant growth and development. *Trends Plant Sci.* 2015, 20, 56–64.
- [67] Jagodzick, P.; Tajdel-Zielinska, M.; Ciesla, A.; Marczak, M.; Ludwikow, A. Mitogen-activated protein kinase cascades in plant hormone signaling. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2018, 9, 1387.
- [68] Ichimura, K.; Mizoguchi, T.; Yoshida, R.; Yuasa, T.; Shinozaki, K. Various abiotic stresses rapidly activate Arabidopsis MAP kinases ATMPK4 and ATMPK6. *Plant J.* 2000, 24, 655–665.



- [69] Chini, A.; Grant, J.J.; Seki, M.; Shinozaki, K.; Loake, G.J. Drought tolerance established by enhanced expression of the CC-NBS-LRR gene, ADR1, requires salicylic acid, EDS1 and ABI1. *Plant J.* 2004, 38, 810–822.
- [70] Vlad, F.; Rubio, S.; Rodrigues, A.; Sirichandra, C.; Belin, C.; Robert, N.; Merlot, S. Protein phosphatases 2C regulate the activation of the Snf1-related kinase OST1 by abscisic acid in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 2009, 21, 3170–3184.
- [71] Bartels, D.; Sunkar, R. Drought and salt tolerance in plants. *CRC Crit. Rev. Plant Sci.* 2005, 24, 23–58.
- [72] Mustilli, A.C.; Merlot, S.; Vavasseur, A.; Fenzi, F.; Giraudat, J. Arabidopsis OST1 protein kinase mediates the regulation of stomatal aperture by abscisic acid and acts upstream of reactive oxygen species production. *Plant Cell* 2002, 14, 3089–3099.
- [73] Murata, Y.; Pei, Z.M.; Mori, I.C.; Schroeder, J. Abscisic acid activation of plasma membrane Ca<sup>2+</sup> channels in guard cells requires cytosolic NAD(P)H and is differentially disrupted upstream and downstream of reactive oxygen species production in *abi1-1* and *abi2-1* protein phosphatase 2C mutants. *Plant Cell* 2001, 13, 2513–2523.
- [74] MacRobbie, E.A. Evidence for a role for protein tyrosine phosphatase in the control of ion release from the guard cell vacuole in stomatal closure. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2002, 99, 11963–11968.
- [75] Frank, W.; Munnik, T.; Kerkmann, K.; Salamini, F.; Bartels, D. Water deficit triggers phospholipase D activity in the resurrection plant *Craterostigma plantagineum*. *Plant Cell* 2000, 12, 111–123.
- [76] Katagiri, T.; Takahashi, S.; Shinozaki, K. Involvement of a novel Arabidopsis phospholipase D, AtPLD $\delta$ , in dehydration-inducible accumulation of phosphatidic acid in stress signalling. *Plant J.* 2001, 26, 595–605.
- [77] El-Maarouf, H.; D'Arcy-Lameta, A.; Gareil, M.; Zuily-Fodil, Y.; Pham-Thi, A.T. Cloning and expression under drought of cDNAs coding for two PI-PLCs in cowpea leaves. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 2001, 39, 167–172.
- [78] Sang, Y.; Zheng, S.; Li, W.; Huang, B.; Wang, X. Regulation of plant water loss by manipulating the expression of phospholipase D $\alpha$ . *Plant J.* 2001, 28, 135–144.
- [79] Gampala, S.S.; Hagenbeek, D.; Rock, C.D. Functional interactions of lanthanum and phospholipase D with the abscisic acid signaling effectors VP1 and ABI1-1 in rice protoplasts. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2001, 276, 9855–9860.
- [80] Peters, C.; Li, M.; Narasimhan, R.; Roth, M.; Welti, R.; Wang, X. Nonspecific phospholipase C NPC4 promotes responses to abscisic acid and tolerance to hyperosmotic stress in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 2010, 22, 2642–2659.
- [81] Seki, M.; Narusaka, M.; Ishida, J.; Nanjo, T.; Fujita, M.; Oono, Y.; Kamiya, A.; Nakajima, M.; Enju, A.; Sakurai, T.; et al. Monitoring the expression profiles of 7000 Arabidopsis genes under drought, cold and high-salinity stresses using a full-length cDNA microarray. *Plant J.* 2002, 31, 279–292.
- [82] Curran, A.; Chang, I.-F.; Chang, C.-L.; Garg, S.; Miguel, R.; Barron, Y.; Li, Y.; Romanowsky, S.; Cushman, J.; Gribskov, M.; et al. Calcium-Dependent Protein



- Kinases from Arabidopsis Show Substrate Specificity Differences in an Analysis of 103 Substrates. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2011, 2, 36.
- [83] Huang, K.; Peng, L.; Liu, Y.; Yao, R.; Liu, Z.-b.; Li, X.-f.; Yang, Y.; Wang, J. Arabidopsis calcium-dependent protein kinase AtCPK1 plays a positive role in salt/drought-stress response. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2018, 498, 92–98.
- [84] Zou, J.-J.; Wei, F.-J.; Wang, C.; Wu, J.-J.; Ratnasekera, D.; Liu, W.-X.; Wu, W.-H. Arabidopsis Calcium-Dependent Protein Kinase CPK10 Functions in Abscisic Acid- and Ca<sup>2+</sup>-Mediated Stomatal Regulation in Response to Drought Stress. *Plant Physiol.* 2010, 154, 1232–1243.
- [85] Bouché, N.; Scharlat, A.; Snedden, W.; Bouchez, D.; Fromm, H. A Novel Family of Calmodulin-binding Transcription Activators in Multicellular Organisms. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2002, 277, 21851–21861.
- [86] Albrecht, V.; Weinl, S.; Blazevic, D.; D'Angelo, C.; Batistic, O.; Kolukisaoglu, Ü.; Bock, R.; Schulz, B.; Harter, K.; Kudla, J. The calcium sensor CBL1 integrates plant responses to abiotic stresses. *Plant J.* 2003, 36, 457–470.
- [87] Wu, H.; Shabala, L.; Shabala, S.; Giraldo, J.P. Hydroxyl radical scavenging by cerium oxide nanoparticles improves Arabidopsis salinity tolerance by enhancing leaf mesophyll potassium retention. *Environ. Sci. Nano* 2018, 5, 1567–1583.
- [88] Zhang, W.-W.; Meng, J.-j.; Xing, J.; Yang, S.; Guo, F.; Li, X.-G.; Wan, S. The K<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporter AhNHX1 improved tobacco tolerance to NaCl stress by enhancing K<sup>+</sup> retention. *J. Plant Biol.* 2016, 60, 259–267.
- [89] Wu, H.; Shabala, L.; Barry, K.; Zhou, M.; Shabala, S. Ability of leaf mesophyll to retain potassium correlates with salinity tolerance in wheat and barley. *Physiol. Plant.* 2013, 149, 515–527.
- [90] Liu, J.; Li, G.; Chen, L.; Gu, J.; Wu, H.; Li, Z. Cerium oxide nanoparticles improve cotton salt tolerance by enabling better ability to maintain cytosolic K<sup>+</sup>/Na<sup>+</sup> ratio. *J. Nanobiotechnology* 2021, 19, 153.
- [91] Kesari, R.; Lasky, J.R.; Villamor, J.G.; Des Marais, D.L.; Chen, Y.-J.C.; Liu, T.-W.; Lin, W.; Juenger, T.E.; Verslues, P.E. Intron-mediated alternative splicing of Arabidopsis P5CS1 and its association with natural variation in proline and climate adaptation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2012, 109, 9197–9202.
- [92] Cecchini, N.M.; Monteoliva, M.I.; Alvarez, M.E. Proline dehydrogenase is a positive regulator of cell death in different kingdoms. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 2011, 6, 1195–1197.
- [93] González-Morales, S.; Solís-Gaona, S.; Valdés-Caballero, M.V.; Juárez-Maldonado, A.; Loredó-Treviño, A.; Benavides-Mendoza, A. Transcriptomics of Biostimulation of Plants Under Abiotic Stress. *Front. Genet.* 2021, 12, 583888.
- [94] Santaniello, A.; Scartazza, A.; Gresta, F.; Loreti, E.; Biasone, A.; Di Tommaso, D.; Piaggese, A.; Perata, P. Ascophyllum nodosum Seaweed Extract Alleviates Drought Stress in Arabidopsis by Affecting Photosynthetic Performance and Related Gene Expression. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2017, 8, 1362.]



- [95] Oh, J.; Kwon, Y.; Jun Hyeok, K.; Noh, H.; Hong, S.-W.; Lee, H. A dual role for MYB60 in stomatal regulation and root growth of *Arabidopsis thaliana* under drought stress. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 2011, 77, 91–103.
- [96] Punia, H.; Tokas, J.; Malik, A.; Sangwan, S.; Rani, A.; Yashveer, S.; Alansi, S.; Hashim, M.J.; El-Sheikh, M.A. Genome-Wide Transcriptome Profiling, Characterization, and Functional Identification of NAC Transcription Factors in Sorghum under Salt Stress. *Antioxidants* 2021, 10, 1605.
- [97] Barragán, V.; Leidi, E.O.; Andrés, Z.; Rubio, L.; De Luca, A.; Fernández, J.A.; Cubero, B.; Pardo, J.M. Ion Exchangers NHX1 and NHX2 Mediate Active Potassium Uptake into Vacuoles to Regulate Cell Turgor and Stomatal Function in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 2012, 24, 1127–1142.
- [98] Gilroy, S.; Suzuki, N.; Miller, G.; Choi, W.-G.; Toyota, M.; Devireddy, A.R.; Mittler, R. A tidal wave of signals: Calcium and ROS at the forefront of rapid systemic signaling. *Trends Plant Sci.* 2014, 19, 623–630.
- [99] Gilroy, S.; Suzuki, N.; Miller, G.; Choi, W.-G.; Toyota, M.; Devireddy, A.R.; Mittler, R. A tidal wave of signals: Calcium and ROS at the forefront of rapid systemic signaling. *Trends Plant Sci.* 2014, 19, 623–630.
- [100] Barragán, V.; Leidi, E.O.; Andrés, Z.; Rubio, L.; De Luca, A.; Fernández, J.A.; Cubero, B.; Pardo, J.M. Ion Exchangers NHX1 and NHX2 Mediate Active Potassium Uptake into Vacuoles to Regulate Cell Turgor and Stomatal Function in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 2012, 24, 1127–1142.]
- [101] Gilroy, S.; Suzuki, N.; Miller, G.; Choi, W.-G.; Toyota, M.; Devireddy, A.R.; Mittler, R. A tidal wave of signals: Calcium and ROS at the forefront of rapid systemic signaling. *Trends Plant Sci.* 2014, 19, 623–630.





IRASA International Scientific Conference  
SCIENCE, EDUCATION, TECHNOLOGY AND INNOVATION

**SETI V 2023**

Book of Proceedings



CIP - Каталогизacija у публикацији Народна библиотека Србије, Београд

0/9(082)(0.034.2)

IRASA. International Scientific Conference Science, Education, Technology and Innovation (5 ; 2023 ; Beograd)

Book of Proceedings [Електронски извор] / [IRASA] International Scientific Conference Science, Education, Technology and Innovation SETI V, Belgrade, October 14, 2023 ; [editors Vladica Ristić, Marija Maksin, Jelena Bošković]. - Belgrade : IRASA - International Research Academy of Science and Art, 2023 (Belgrade : Instant System). - 1 elektronski optički disk (CD-ROM) ; 12 cm

Sistemski zahtevi: Nisu navedeni. - Nasl. sa naslovne strane dokumenta. - Tiraž 150. - Napomene i bibliografske reference uz radove. - Bibliografija uz svaki rad. - Abstracts.

ISBN 978-86-81512-11-1

a) Наука -- Зборници b) Технологија -- Зборници v) образовање -- Зборници  
g) Животна средина -- Зборници d) Одрживи развој -- Зборници  
đ) Национална безбедност -- Зборници

COBISS.SR-ID 128307465